This is the published version:


Available from Deakin Research Online:

http://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30002852

Reproduced with the kind permissions of the copyright owner.

Copyright : 2004, Cambridge University Press
Presence and properties of cellulase and hemicellulase enzymes of the gecarcinid land crabs Gecarcoidea natalis and Discoplax hirtipes

Stuart M. Linton* and Peter Greenaway

School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of NSW, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

*Author for correspondence at present address: School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Deakin University – Geelong Campus, Piddons Road, Geelong, VIC 3217, Australia (e-mail: stuart.linton@deakin.edu.au)

Accepted 18 August 2004

Summary

Digestive juice from the herbivorous gecarcinid land crabs Gecarcoidea natalis and Discoplax hirtipes exhibited total cellulase activity and activities of two cellulase enzymes; endo-β-1,4-glucanase and β-1,4-glucosidase. These enzymes hydrolysed native cellulose to glucose. The digestive juice of both species also contained laminarinase, licheninase and xylanase, which hydrolysed laminarin, lichenin and xylan, respectively, to component sugars. The pH optima of β-1,4-glucosidase, endo-β-1,4-glucanase and total cellulase from G. natalis were 4.5, 5.5 and 5.5–7, respectively. In the digestive juice from D. hirtipes, the corresponding values were 4–7, 5.5–7 and 4–9, respectively. The pH of the digestive juice was 6.69±0.03 for G. natalis and 6.03±0.04 for D. hirtipes and it is likely that the cellulases operate near maximally in vivo. In G. natalis, total cellulase activity and endo-β-1,4-glucanase activity were higher than in D. hirtipes, and the former species can thus hydrolyse cellulose more rapidly. β-1,4-glucosidase from G. natalis was inhibited less by gluconolactone (K_i=11.12 mmol L^{-1}) than was the β-1,4-glucosidase from D. hirtipes (K_i=4.53 mmol L^{-1}). The greater resistance to inhibition by the β-1,4-glucosidase from G. natalis may contribute to the efficiency of the cellulase system in vivo by counteracting the effects of product inhibition and possibly dietary tannins. The activity of β-1,4-glucosidase in the digestive juice of D. hirtipes was higher than that of G. natalis.
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Introduction

Plant material contains significant amounts of structural polysaccharides, particularly cellulose and a range of hemicelluloses. These polymers are comprised of sugar units, usually glucose, joined by β-1,4 and β-1,3 glucosidic bonds. Whilst vertebrates generally lack the enzymes necessary to break these bonds, and can only access the sugars via the activity of microorganisms in the alimentary canal, cellulose digestion is common amongst invertebrates, and an increasing number have been shown to possess their own cellulases (Watanabe and Tokuda, 2001).

Crystalline or native cellulose is hydrolysed to its component glucose units by the combined activities of endo-β-1,4-glucanase (EG), cellobiohydrolase (CBH) and β-1,4-glucosidase. Endo-β-1,4-glucanase randomly hydrolyses internal β-1,4-glucosidic bonds of cellulose polymers of four or more glucose units (Scrivenor and Slaytor, 1994; Tokuda et al., 1997; Watanabe et al., 1997). It thus shortens cellulose chains, solubilizes cellulose polymers and provides a substrate for exo-β-1,4-glucosidase. However, it can also catalyse transglycosylation to rejoin the glucose oligomers (Lindner et al., 1983). Cellobiohydrolase attacks the non-reducing ends of cellulose chains and typically cleaves off the glucose-β-1,4 dimer, cellobiose. Cellobiohydrolase is also thought to disrupt the hydrogen bonding in crystalline cellulose, thus allowing the EG to endo-depolymerize. As this enzyme only attacks the ends of chains, its activity will be low unless endoglucanase is present to provide sufficient substrate. In the cockroach Panesthia cribrata and the termite Reticulitermes speratus, CBH activity is also catalyzed by the EG (Scrivenor and Slaytor, 1994; Watanabe et al., 1997). The third enzyme involved in the complete hydrolysis of cellulose to glucose is β-1,4-glucosidase; this enzyme hydrolyses cello-oligosaccharides to glucose.

The term hemicellulose includes a range of alkali-soluble polysaccharides (Bacic et al., 1988). More specifically, they are defined as carbohydrate polymers of either xylose, glucose, mannose or mannose and glucose joined mainly by β-1,4 and β-1,3 glucosidic bonds (Bacic et al., 1988). Xylan, lichenin, β-1,4-glucan and laminarin are common hemicelluloses. Xylan, the next most abundant carbohydrate polymer after cellulose, is a β-1,4-linked polymer of xylose (Puls et al., 1988). Lichenin is a glucose polymer with the glucose units being joined with mainly β-1,4 glucosidic bonds and some β-1,3 glucosidic bonds in the chain (Bacic et al., 1988). Similar
polysaccharides, called mixed linkage β-glucan, are found in cereals and grasses (Terra and Ferreira, 1994). Like lichenin, laminarin (or callose) is a glucose polymer but with the sugars joined principally by β-1,3 glycosidic bonds (Bacic et al., 1988; Terra and Ferreira, 1994). Laminarin is present in the cell walls of fungi, in phloem and in plant wound tissue (Terra and Ferreira, 1994). Laminarin is the chief food reserve of algae (Vonk and Western, 1984). Xylanase, licheninase and laminarinase hydrolyse xylan, lichenin and laminarin, respectively (reviewed by Terra and Ferreira, 1994).

The diet of the gecarcinid land crabs Gecarcinoidea natalis and Discoplax hirtipes mainly consists of green and brown leaves (Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998) of which cellulose and the hemicelluloses are major constituents. Cellulose makes up 12–18% of the dry matter of brown leaves of the fig (Ficus macrophylla) and 11% of the dry matter of green leaves (Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998), while hemicelluloses constitute 18–26% of the dry matter of brown fig leaves (Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998). Both G. natalis and D. hirtipes assimilate substantial amounts of cellulose and hemicellulose from their leaf litter diet (Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998); 43% and 49%, respectively, by G. natalis and 21% and 20%, respectively, by D. hirtipes fed brown leaf litter of F. macrophylla (Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998). As the crabs clearly hydrolyse these fibre components, it is probable that they possess cellulase and hemicellulase enzymes. In the present study, we investigated the presence and characteristics of cellulases and hemicellulases from G. natalis and D. hirtipes. Total cellulase activity and activities of β-1,4-glucosidase, EG, licheninase, laminarinase and xylanase were measured within the digestive juice of both species. Where possible, the kinetics (V_max and K_m) of the cellulase enzymes and the inhibitory constant (K_I) of glucono-δ-lactone on β-1,4-glucosidase were also determined. It was envisaged that differences in the activities of the cellulase enzymes may explain reported differences in the assimilation of cellulose and hemicellulose between these two species.

Materials and methods

Materials

Gecarcinoidea natalis (Pocock 1888) and Discoplax hirtipes (Dana 1851) were collected from rainforest in the Australian Territory of Christmas Island, Indian Ocean and airfreighted to Sydney where they were maintained at 25°C on a 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle. Tapwater was provided for drinking and the crabs were fed with fallen leaves of Ficus macrophylla Desf. ex Pers. subspecies macrophylla.

Methods

Digestive juice used in measurements was taken from the foreguts of experimental animals as follows. The crabs were held ventral side up on a polystyrene board, and a fine polythene tube was inserted into the cardiac stomach via the mouth and oesophagus. A small plastic wedge was used to prevent the mandibles from cutting the tube. Up to 2 ml of dark brown digestive juice could be collected by gentle suction with a 2-ml syringe attached to the tubing. The procedure did not harm the crabs. Fluid was centrifuged at 10 000 g for 5 min, to remove food debris, and the supernatant was used for analyses. Fluid could be stored at 4°C for several days without loss of enzyme activity.

Measurement of enzyme activities

Cellulase activities

Total cellulase activity and activities of β-1,4-glucosidase (celllobiose; EC 3.2.1.21) and EG (EC 3.2.1.4) were measured in digestive juice taken from the cardiac stomach of Gecarcinoidea natalis and Discoplax hirtipes using modified versions of the methods of Schulz et al. (1986) and Hogan et al. (1988). Reactions and incubations were carried out at 40°C in 1.5-ml Eppendorf centrifuge tubes in an Eppendorf thermomixer. Measurement at 40°C allowed direct comparison with data for cellulase activities of other invertebrates. Absorption values of the samples were measured using an LKB Ultraspec II spectrophotometer. Activities of the enzymes are presented per ml of digestive juice. Expression per mg of protein is not meaningful in this situation where crude juice is used since the bulk of the protein does not represent the enzyme of interest, is highly variable and may even be dietary in origin. It is likely that the volume of fluid in the foregut remains relatively constant. Hanes plots derived from the data on cellulase activity at different substrate concentrations were used to determine if enzyme activity followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics. Where this was established, the kinetic parameters (K_m, V_max) were then calculated from the plots.

β-1,4-glucosidase. Activity was measured as the rate of production of glucose from celllobiose (Cat. No. C-7252; Sigma Chemical Corp., St Louis, MO, USA). Digestive juice (25 μl) was mixed with 25 μl of 0.1 mol l⁻¹ acetate buffer (pH 5.5) and 50 μl of either 2.92, 14.61, 29.21 or 58.4 mmol l⁻¹ celllobiose in the same buffer, and the mixture was incubated at 40°C for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 25 μl of 0.3 mol l⁻¹ tri-chloro acetic acid, and excess acid was neutralized with 5 μl of 2.5 mol l⁻¹ K_2CO_3. Precipitated protein was pelleted by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 10 min. A blank (75 μl buffer plus 25 μl digestive juice) and a standard (50 μl of 7 mol l⁻¹ glucose in buffer + 25 μl digestive juice + 25 μl buffer) were prepared for each sample analysed. This enabled correction for the background absorption due to the digestive juice at the wavelength measured.

Glucose concentration was measured in 50 μl (G. natalis) or 25 μl (D. hirtipes) samples of the incubation mixture using a commercial glucose assay kit (Sigma Cat No. 510-A). The 50 μl or 25 μl samples were diluted to a total of 100 μl with water in a 1.5-ml micro test tube. 1 ml of the colour reagent supplied with the kit was then added, and the mixture was vortexed and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After incubation, the absorbance of the samples was read at 445 nm.
Glucono-d-lactone competitively inhibits β-1,4-glucosidase (Scrivener and Slaytor, 1994; Shewale and Sadana, 1981; Santos and Terra, 1985). The inhibitory constant of glucono-d-lactone on β-1,4-glucosidase was also determined by measuring β-1,4-glucosidase activity in the presence of 20 mmol l⁻¹ glucono-d-lactone and 0–58.43 mmol l⁻¹ cellulbiose.

**Endo-β-1,4-glucanase.** Activity was measured as the rate of production of reducing sugars from the substrate, carboxymethyl cellulose (Sigma Cat. No. C-5678). Digestive juice (20 µl) was mixed with 80 µl buffer and 100 µl of 0.1, 0.5, 1 and 2% (w/v) carboxymethyl cellulose in the same buffer. Blanks contained 20 µl of digestive juice and 180 µl of buffer, while standards contained 20 µl of digestive juice plus 100 µl glucose (13 mmol l⁻¹) in buffer and 80 µl buffer. The buffer was 0.1 mol l⁻¹ acetate buffer, pH 5.5, containing 30 mmol l⁻¹ of the β-1,4-glucosidase inhibitor glucono-d-lactone. Samples, standards and blanks were incubated at 40°C for 10 min and the reaction stopped by the addition of 25 µl of 0.3 mol l⁻¹ HCl. Excess acid was then neutralized by the addition of 5 µl of 2.5 mol l⁻¹ K₂CO₃. The reducing sugar produced during the incubation was measured as glucose equivalents by the tetrazolium blue method of Jue and Lipke (1985) using 5 mmol l⁻¹ glucose as a standard. Absorption of samples, standards and blanks was read at 660 nm.

**Total cellulase activity.** Total cellulase activity was measured as the rate of production of glucose from microcrystalline cellulose (Sigmacell 20). Digestive juice (50 µl) was mixed with 100 µl of either 0.1, 0.5, 1 or 2% (w/v) Sigmacell 20 (Sigma Cat. No. S3504) made up in buffer. Suspension of the cellulose was ensured by vortexing the stock cellulose immediately before pipetting. Blanks contained digestive juice and buffer while standards contained digestive juice, buffer and 7 mmol l⁻¹ glucose. The buffer used was 0.1 mol l⁻¹ acetate, pH 5.5. The mixture was incubated and agitated for 60 min at 40°C in an Eppendorf thermomixer before the reaction was stopped by the addition of 25 µl of 0.3 mol l⁻¹ tri-chloro acetic acid. The excess acid was neutralized with 5 µl of 2.5 mol l⁻¹ K₂CO₃ before assay of glucose. The incubation mixture was centrifuged (10,000 g for 10 min) and the glucose concentration determined in a 25 µl or 50 µl aliquot of the supernatant as described for β-1,4-glucosidase.

The Kₘ values for EG and CBH are given as mg substrate ml⁻¹ reaction mixture since the substrates (carboxymethyl cellulose and cellulose) consist of carbohydrate polymers of varying length and do not have a uniform molecular mass.

**Hemicellulase activities**

Activities of the hemicellulase enzymes laminarinase [endo-β-1,3-glucanase (EC 3.2.1.39)], licheninase [endo-β-1,3; 1,4 glucanase (EC 3.2.1.73)], xylanase [endo-β-1,4-xylanase (EC 3.2.1.8)] and 1,4-β-d-xylan xylanohydrolase (EC 3.2.1.37)] were measured in the digestive juice from *D. hirtipes* and *G. natalis*.

**Laminarinase.** Laminarinase activity was measured as the production of reducing sugars from the hydrolysis of laminarin (from *Laminaria digitata*; Sigma Cat. No. L-9634). Digestive juice (20 µl) was mixed with 50 µl of 1% (w/v) laminarin and 130 µl of 0.1 mol l⁻¹ Na acetate buffer, pH 5.5. Blanks and standards were run at the same time. Blanks consisted of 20 µl of digestive juice and 180 µl of assay buffer, while standards consisted of 20 µl of digestive juice, 100 µl of 13 mmol l⁻¹ glucose and 80 µl of assay buffer. Samples, blanks and standards were incubated with agitation at 40°C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 50 µl of 0.3 mol l⁻¹ HCl and neutralized with 10 µl of 2.5 mol l⁻¹ K₂CO₃. Reducing sugars were measured in a 10 µl aliquot as described above.

**Licheninase.** The activity of licheninase was measured by the production of reducing sugars from the hydrolysis of lichenin (from *Cetraria islandica*; Sigma Cat. No. L-6133). Digestive juice (20 µl) was mixed with 100 µl of 0.1% (w/v) lichenin and 80 µl of 0.1 mol l⁻¹ Na acetate buffer, pH 5.5. To correct for the background absorbance of the digestive juice, blanks and standards were run at the same time. Digestive juice (20 µl) and 180 µl of assay buffer constituted the blank while 20 µl of digestive juice plus 100 µl of 13 mmol l⁻¹ glucose and 80 µl of assay buffer constituted the standard. Samples, blanks and standards were incubated with agitation at 40°C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped with 50 µl of 0.3 mol l⁻¹ HCl and the mixture was then neutralized with 10 µl of 2.5 mol l⁻¹ K₂CO₃. Reducing sugars were measured in a 10 µl sample as described above.

**Xylanase.** Xylanase activity was measured as the production of reducing sugars from the hydrolysis of xylan (from birchwood, *Betula*; Sigma Cat. No. X-0502). Digestive juice (20 µl) was incubated with 100 µl of 1% (w/v) xylan and 80 µl of 0.1 mol l⁻¹ Na acetate buffer, pH 5.5. Blanks (20 µl of digestive juice and 180 µl of buffer) and standards (20 µl of digestive juice, 100 µl of 13 mmol l⁻¹ glucose and 80 µl of assay buffer) were run at the same time. Samples, blanks and standards were incubated with agitation at 40°C for 60 min. After this period, the reaction was stopped by precipitating the protein with 50 µl of 0.3 mol l⁻¹ HCl and was neutralized by the addition of 10 µl of 2.5 mol l⁻¹ K₂CO₃. Reducing sugars were measured in a 10 µl sample of this reaction mixture as described above.

**pH**

The activities of β-1,4-glucosidase, EG and total cellulase were measured over a pH range of 4–9. Acetate buffer was used to maintain pH values of 4 and 5.5, and Tris buffer was used for the higher pH values of 7–9. The pH of gut fluid was measured anaerobically at 25°C using freshly drawn samples of fluid from the foregut and a Radiometer G299a capillary pH electrode and Radiometer FHM 73 meter (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark).

**Protein**

The concentrations of protein in samples of digestive juice were measured using a BioRad protein assay kit and bovine γ-globulin standard (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA).
Statistics

Statistical comparisons (ANCOVA and one- and two-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD post hoc tests) were made using the statistical computing package Systat 7 (Systat Software Inc., Richmond, CA, USA) to calculate the statistical probabilities.

Results

Cellulase activity in digestive juice

Incubation of crystalline cellulose with digestive juice from the foregut of G. natalis and D. hirtipes yielded glucose and thus established the presence of total cellulase activity (Fig. 1). As such activity is likely to result from the combined activity of CBH, EG and β-1,4-glucosidase, assays were then conducted to test for activity of each enzyme (Figs 1–3).

The presence of EG was confirmed by the production of reducing sugars during the incubation of carboxymethyl cellulose with digestive juice (Fig. 2). Carboxymethyl cellulose is not a substrate for either CBH or β-1,4-glucosidase. In similar fashion, the presence of β-1,4-glucosidase in digestive juice was confirmed by the production of significant quantities of glucose when cellobiose was incubated with digestive juice (Fig. 3). This enzyme is generally ineffective against crystalline or carboxymethyl cellulose. The activities of total cellulase, EG and β-1,4-glucosidase, from both G. natalis and D. hirtipes, initially increased with substrate concentration but levelled out at high concentrations (Figs 1–3), consistent with saturation of these enzymes at the higher substrate concentrations.

pH and cellulase activities

Foregut fluid from both study species was slightly acidic, with that of D. hirtipes (6.03±0.04, N=7) significantly more acid than the juice from G. natalis (6.69±0.03, N=4) (t-test; P<0.001). The activities of β-1,4-glucosidase, EG and total cellulase from both G. natalis and D. hirtipes were affected by the pH of the incubation buffer (Table 1) and shared similar pH maxima and hence pH ranges for optimal activity. For total cellulase from G. natalis, optimal activity occurred at pH 5.5, but for D. hirtipes total cellulase activities were low with no obvious pH optimum (Table 1). For β-1,4-glucosidase, optimal activity occurred between pH 4 and 7 (Table 1); high levels of activity were measured at both pH 4 and pH 5.5 for G. natalis and at pH 4, 5.5 and 7 for D. hirtipes (Table 1). Endo-β-1,4-glucanase activities were maximal at pH 5.5, 7 and 9 and lower at pH 4 and 8 in digestive juice from both D. hirtipes and G. natalis (Table 1).

Enzyme activities

Total cellulase activity

The total cellulase activity on crystalline cellulose was higher in the digestive juice from G. natalis than in that from D. hirtipes (Fig. 1); 2.8× higher at the highest substrate concentration used of 13.3 mg ml⁻¹ cellulose (Table 2). Total cellulase activity from G. natalis followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics since the Hanes plot of its activity was linear (r²=0.624, P=0.001; Fig. 4). Total cellulase activity had a Kₘ of 2.43 mg ml⁻¹ cellulose incubation mixture and a Vₘₐₓ of 0.117 μmol min⁻¹ ml⁻¹ digestive juice (Table 2). By contrast, the Hanes plot of total cellulase activity from D. hirtipes was

![Fig. 1. Total cellulase activity in digestive juice from G. natalis and D. hirtipes. Activity differed significantly among cellulose concentrations (P<0.05) and between G. natalis and D. hirtipes (two-way ANOVA).](image)

![Fig. 2. Activities of endo-β-1,4-glucanase in digestive juice of G. natalis and D. hirtipes. Enzyme activities were significantly different (P<0.05) between concentrations of carboxymethyl cellulose and between species (two-way ANOVA).](image)
not linear ($r^2=0.003$, $P=0.774$; Fig. 4) and did not follow Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Fig. 4), so its kinetic parameters could not be calculated.

**Endo-$\beta$-1,4-glucanase**

Endo-$\beta$-1,4-glucanase activities in digestive juice were slightly, but consistently, higher in *G. natalis* than in *D. hirtipes* ($P<0.05$; two-way ANOVA; Fig. 2). The differences in activities between species were maintained across the range of substrate concentration used. Endo-$\beta$-1,4-glucanase activity from *G. natalis* was 1.17× the EG activity from *D. hirtipes* at a substrate concentration of 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ CMC (Table 2). The Hanes plot of the EG activity from *G. natalis* was linear ($r^2=0.514$, $P=0.0001$) while that from *D. hirtipes* was not ($r^2=0.041$, $P=0.2$; Fig. 5). Hence, the EG from *G. natalis* followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Fig. 5) with a $K_m$ of 3.03 mg mL$^{-1}$ CMC incubation mixture and a $V_{\text{max}}$ of 6.11 $\mu$mol min$^{-1}$ mL$^{-1}$ digestive juice (Table 2). Equivalent values could not be calculated for EG from *D. hirtipes*.

**$\beta$-1,4-glucosidase**

The activity of $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase from *D. hirtipes* was higher than that from *G. natalis* ($P<0.05$; two-way ANOVA; Fig. 3). At a cellobiose concentration of 29.22 mmol L$^{-1}$, the activities differed by a factor of 2.8 (Fig. 3; Table 2). $\beta$-1,4-Glucosidase from both species followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics since Hanes plots of $\beta$-glucosidase activities were linear (Fig. 6). The $V_{\text{max}}$ of $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase from *D. hirtipes* was $3.4\times$ higher than that from *G. natalis* ($P<0.005$; ANCOVA; Table 2) and the $K_m$ value for $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase from *D. hirtipes* was lower than that from *G. natalis* ($P<0.001$; ANCOVA; Table 2). Hence, $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase activity from *D. hirtipes* saturated at lower concentrations of cellobiose and was higher at all substrate concentrations than $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase from *G. natalis*.

**Effect of inhibitor on the activity of $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase**

$V_{\text{max}}$ values for inhibited and uninhibited $\beta$-glucosidase were similar in both *G. natalis* and *D. hirtipes* (Figs 3, 6; Table 2). The $K_m$ of $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase in the presence of 20 mmol L$^{-1}$ glucono-δ-lactone was much higher than in its absence (Figs 3, 6; Table 2). This situation (similar $V_{\text{max}}$ but different $K_m$ values in the presence and absence of an inhibitor) is indicative of competitive inhibition of $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase by glucono-δ-

**Table 1. The effect of pH on the activity of cellulase enzymes from the foregut of the gecarcinid crabs Gecarcoidea natalis and Discoplax hirtipes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>pH 4</th>
<th>pH 5.5</th>
<th>pH 7</th>
<th>pH 8</th>
<th>pH 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cellulase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. natalis</em></td>
<td>0.018±0.016$^a$</td>
<td>0.043±0.016$^b$</td>
<td>0.017±0.010$^a$</td>
<td>0.021±0.020$^a$</td>
<td>0.011±0.011$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. hirtipes</em></td>
<td>0.014±0.005$^a$</td>
<td>0.020±0.005$^a$</td>
<td>0.023±0.006$^a$</td>
<td>0.005±0.002$^a$</td>
<td>0.010±0.003$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$-1,4-glucosidase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. natalis</em></td>
<td>0.397±0.253$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>0.521±0.253$^a$</td>
<td>0.254±0.131$^{b,c}$</td>
<td>0.075±0.032$^{c,d}$</td>
<td>0.031±0.019$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. hirtipes</em></td>
<td>1.779±0.083$^a$</td>
<td>1.729±0.066$^a$</td>
<td>1.961±0.074$^a$</td>
<td>0.214±0.046$^b$</td>
<td>0.121±0.028$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endo-$\beta$-1,4-glucanase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. natalis</em></td>
<td>0.297±0.174$^a$</td>
<td>2.076±0.104$^b$</td>
<td>2.304±0.116$^b$</td>
<td>0.945±0.189$^b$</td>
<td>1.98±0.106$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. hirtipes</em></td>
<td>0.627±0.092$^a$</td>
<td>1.534±0.206$^b$</td>
<td>1.434±0.101$^b$</td>
<td>0.710±0.169$^a$</td>
<td>0.04±0.151$^{b,a}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total cellulase and $\beta$-1,4-glucosidase activities were measured as the rate of glucose production from the hydrolysis of either crystalline cellulose or cellobiose, respectively. Endo-$\beta$-1,4-glucanase activities were measured as the rate of reducing sugars produced from the hydrolysis of carboxymethyl cellulose. Within a row, similar superscript letters indicate similar means ($P>0.05$). Values are means ± S.E.M. (N=10).
Table 2. Kinetic parameters (\(K_m\), \(V_{max}\)) and activities of total cellulase, endo-\(\beta\)-1,4-glucanase and \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase from the foregut fluid of Gecarcoidea natalis and Discoplax hirtipes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Gecarcoidea natalis</th>
<th>Discoplax hirtipes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activity ((\mu)mol min(^{-1}) mL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(K_m) ((\mu)mol min(^{-1}) mL(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cellulase</td>
<td>0.01±0.23</td>
<td>2.43 mg mL(^{-1}) cellulose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endo-(\beta)-1,4-glucanase</td>
<td>1.96±0.17</td>
<td>3.03 mg mL(^{-1}) CMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-1,4-glucosidase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninhibited</td>
<td>0.655±0.11</td>
<td>5.84 mmol L(^{-1}) celllobiose(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibited (20 mmol L(^{-1}) glucano-d-lactone)</td>
<td>0.49±0.13</td>
<td>16.28 mmol L(^{-1}) celllobiose(^d)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities of total cellulase, endo-\(\beta\)-1,4-glucanase (EG) and \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase were measured at substrate concentrations of 13.3 mg mL\(^{-1}\) cellulose, 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\) carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and 29.22 mmol L\(^{-1}\) celllobiose, respectively. Enzyme activities are expressed as \(\mu\)mol glucose min\(^{-1}\) mL\(^{-1}\) digestive juice (total cellulase and \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase) or as \(\mu\)mol reducing sugars min\(^{-1}\) mL\(^{-1}\) (EG). Inhibited \(\beta\)-glucosidase from both \(G.\) natalis and \(D.\) hirtipes had a similar \(V_{max}\), and a significantly higher \(K_m\) than the uninhibited enzyme. Within a column, significant differences between values for \(\beta\)-glucosidase are indicated by different superscript letters. There were also significant differences in \(K_m\) and \(V_{max}\) between species for uninhibited \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase. Values are means ± S.E.M.

Lactone in both species. Glucano-d-lactone has also been identified as a competitive inhibitor of \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase in previous studies (Scrivener and Slaytor, 1994; Shewale and Sadana, 1981; Santos and Terra, 1985). The inhibitory constant of glucano-d-lactone on \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase \((K_i)\) was calculated using \(K_m\) values from Table 2 and the equation:

\[
K_i = \frac{(K_m[I] \times (K_{app} - K_m))}{K_{app}}
\]

where \(K_m\) is the \(K_m\) for \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase in the absence of glucano-d-lactone, \(K_{app}\) is the apparent \(K_m\) for \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase in the presence of 20 mmol L\(^{-1}\) glucano-d-lactone, and \([I]\) is the concentration of glucano-d-lactone used (20 mmol L\(^{-1}\)).

The \(K_i\) of glucano-d-lactone on \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase was 11.12 mmol L\(^{-1}\) for \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase from \(G.\) natalis and 4.53 mmol L\(^{-1}\) for \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase from \(D.\) hirtipes.

**Hemicellulase activities in the digestive juice**

Incubation of laminarin, lichenin or xylan with digestive juice from \(G.\) natalis or \(D.\) hirtipes yielded reducing sugars (Table 3), an outcome consistent with the presence of laminarinase, licheninase and xylanase in the digestive juice. The activities of the enzymes in the digestive juice of both \(G.\) natalis and \(D.\) hirtipes were in the order laminarinase > licheninase > xylanase (Table 3). Licheninase activity in the digestive juice from \(D.\) hirtipes was 1.73 × that of \(G.\) natalis (Table 3) whilst the activities of laminarinase were similar between species and this was also the case for xylanase (Table 3).

Activities of the individual hemicellulases (licheninase, laminarinase and xylanase) measured were higher than those for total cellulase activity in the two species (Tables 2, 3). Laminarinase activity was much higher than the activity of EG and \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase (Tables 2, 3). For \(G.\) natalis, licheninase activity was similar to EG activity but higher than \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase activity (Tables 2, 3). Licheninase activity from \(D.\) hirtipes was higher than activities of both EG and \(\beta\)-1,4-glucosidase (Tables 2, 3). Xylanase activities for both \(G.\) natalis and \(D.\) hirtipes were lower than the respective EG and \(\beta\)-glucosidase activities (Tables 2, 3).

**Discussion**

Both Gecarcoidea natalis and Discoplax hirtipes digest significant amounts of the cellulose and hemicellulose present in their diets (Greenaway and

![Graph](image-url)
Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998) and in this study it has been demonstrated that this capacity is conferred by activity of cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes. The confirmed cellulase enzymes present were EG and β-1,4-glucosidase and the hemicellulases were laminarinase, licheninase and xylanase. Several invertebrates lack a separate CBH, and the CBH reaction is instead catalysed by EG (Scrivener and Slaytor, 1994; Watanabe et al., 1997). The presence of a separate CBH in the digestive juice of gecarcinid crabs remains to be established but, as in other animals, it may be absent.

Table 3. Activities of laminarinase, licheninase and xylanase in the digestive juice from G. natalis and D. hirtipes (μmol reducing sugars min⁻¹ ml⁻¹ digestive juice)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G. natalis</th>
<th>D. hirtipes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laminarinase</td>
<td>12.09±0.49 (8)⁷</td>
<td>12.98±0.97 (8)⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licheninase</td>
<td>1.76±0.19 (9)⁴</td>
<td>3.04±0.52 (7)⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylanase</td>
<td>0.33±0.05 (7)⁴</td>
<td>0.22±0.03 (8)⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± S.E.M. (N). Similar superscript letters within a row indicate similar means (P>0.05).

Cellulase activity

Total cellulase and EG activities from G. natalis were higher than those from D. hirtipes (Figs 1, 2) and this should allow more rapid hydrolysis of cellulose by G. natalis, which is consistent with the earlier reports of higher cellulose assimilation efficiency in the latter species (Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998).

In G. natalis, the Km for EG was similar to values reported for other invertebrates (Tables 2, 4). The EG activity reported here may well exceed the in vivo value since the experimental substrate (carboxymethyl cellulose), unlike the natural substrate (crystalline cellulose), is in solution and more available for enzymatic hydrolysis.

β-1,4-glucosidase

β-1,4-glucosidase activity in the digestive juice exhibited a higher Vmax and substrate affinity in D. hirtipes than in G. natalis (Fig 3; Table 2). This contrasted with the findings for the other cellulase enzymes – EG and total cellulase activity – where activity was higher in G. natalis. This difference may be explained by the affinity of β-1,4-glucosidase for the inhibitor glucono-δ-lactone and consequent substrate and product affinity (discussed below).

Competitive inhibition of β-1,4-glucosidase with glucono-δ-lactone

The inhibition constant (Kᵢ) for β-1,4-glucosidase was higher in G. natalis (11.12 mmol l⁻¹) than in D. hirtipes (4.53 mmol l⁻¹), and hence the β-1,4-glucosidase from G. natalis had a lower affinity for the inhibitor than that from D. hirtipes. This is consistent with the β-1,4-glucosidase from G. natalis being resistant to inhibition.

Fig. 6. Hanes plots of the activity data for β-1,4-glucosidase from the digestive juice of G. natalis and D. hirtipes. All plots were linear. G. natalis, uninhibited (circles) [(cellobiose)×[β-1,4-glucosidase activity]=1.65×[cellobiose]+9.65 (r²=0.410, P=0.00, N=7)]; G. natalis, inhibited (stars) [(cellobiose)×[β-1,4-glucosidase activity]=1.387×[cellobiose]+22.88 (r²=0.387, P=0.002, N=7)]. D. hirtipes, uninhibited (circles) [(cellobiose)×[β-1,4-glucosidase activity]=0.480×[cellobiose]+2.033 (r²=0.832, P=0.00, N=7)]; D. hirtipes, inhibited (stars) [(cellobiose)×[β-1,4-glucosidase activity]=0.464×[cellobiose]+10.587 (r²=0.450, P=0.000, N=7)].
The high $K_i$ of β-glucosidase from both gecarcinid species relative to $K_i$ values for insects (Table 4) indicates that this enzyme is not particularly sensitive to inhibition by glucono-δ-lactone in gecarcinids.

Glucono-δ-lactone inhibits activity of β-1,4-glucosidase by mimicking the transitional form involved in the hydrolysis of the β-glycosidic bond (Terra and Ferreira, 1994). A lower affinity for the transitional form, and hence glucono-δ-lactone, means that this form is not stabilized as well and the reaction would proceed at a slower rate, and this may explain why the digestive juice of _G. natalis_ had a lower β-glucosidase activity than that from _D. hiritipe_ (Fig. 3). Reduced β-1,4-glucosidase activity would not affect the overall rate of cellulose hydrolysis unless its activity was lower than that of the slowest step, probably the solubilization and initial depolymerization of the cellulose chains. A lower affinity for the transitional form would also mean that the affinity of the enzyme for its substrate and product would be reduced. This could account for lower substrate affinity exhibited by the β-1,4-glucosidase from _G. natalis_ (cf. _D. hiritipe_; Fig. 3). In comparison with insects, the affinity of β-1,4-glucosidase from _G. natalis_ was low since its $K_m$ was in the upper range of the $K_m$ for these animals (Tables 2, 4).

The inhibition resistance of β-1,4-glucosidase may counteract product inhibition. This means that the cellulase enzymes of _G. natalis_ can hydrolyse cellulose despite the presence of the end product, glucose. Such a property would contribute to the overall efficiency of the cellulase system of _G. natalis_. The cellulase enzymes of _G. natalis_ may also be able to hydrolyse cellulose even in the presence of high levels of sugars from other dietary items such as fruits and seeds. High concentrations of glucose may be present in the stomach given that the stomach is the site of mastication and some enzymatic digestion while the midgut gland is the site of absorption.

By contrast, the cellulases of _D. hiritipe_ had low activity and were susceptible to product inhibition, and overall cellulose hydrolysis in this species was not as efficient as that in _G. natalis_. Thus, _D. hiritipe_ may require a diet that contains a greater amount of more readily digestible material to satisfy its energy requirements. This could explain why _D. hiritipe_ prefers green to brown leaves while _G. natalis_ shows no preference (Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998). Green leaves contain more digestible components such as cellular proteins, storage carbohydrates and lipids and less cellulose than brown leaves (Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998).

**pH optima for cellulase activity**

The activities of the cellulases examined were maintained across quite a broad range of pH (Table 1) but with maximal activities in the pH range 5.5–7. As the measured pH of the digestive juices of the study species (6.69±0.03 for _G. natalis_ and 6.03±0.04 for _D. hiritipe_) fell within this range, the cellulase enzymes will operate at or near their maximal activity. EG and β-1,4-glucosidase from insects and the crustacean _Cherax quadricarinatus_ had similar pH maxima, ranging between pH 4 and 6 (Watanabe et al., 1997; Tokuda et al., 1997; Table 4). The pH values of the digestive juices of _G. natalis_ and _D. hiritipe_ were similar to the pH of the gut of the herbivorous isopod _Porcellio scaber_ (pH 5.5–6.5; Zimmer and Topp, 1997). Endo-β-1,4-glucanase from both _G. natalis_ and _D. hiritipe_ exhibited additional activity peaks at pH 9 (Table 1), however this is considered to be an artefact of the assay and would not occur in vivo.

Tannins inhibit digestive enzymes by binding to the protein and precipitating it. They precipitate more protein under acid than under alkaline conditions, and certain insects [e.g. the cricket _Telleogryllus commodus_, the New Zealand grass grub (_Costelytra zealandica_) and the gypsy moth (_Lymantria dispar_)] have highly alkaline guts (pH range 8–11) to counteract the precipitation of enzymes by dietary tannins (Cooper and Vulcano, 1997; Briggs and McGregor, 1996; Schultz and Lechowicz, 1986). The foregut fluid from _G. natalis_ was slightly more alkaline than that of _D. hiritipe_, but the small difference is unlikely to significantly alter the effects of dietary tannins on the digestive enzymes of the two species as both pH values fell within the acid range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Endo-β-1,4-glucanase</th>
<th>β-1,4-glucosidase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH optimum</td>
<td>$K_m$ (mg ml$^{-1}$ CMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Reticulitermes speratus</em> (termite)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.83, 1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nasutitermes takasagoensis</em> (termite)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Panesthia cribrata</em> (cockroach)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>9.4, 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Geoscapheus dilatatus</em> (cockroach)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.7, 4.6, 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Erimyis ello</em> (cassava hornworm)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Locusta migratoria</em> (locust)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cherax quadricarinatus</em> (decapod crustacean)</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gecarcoida natalis</em> (decapod)</td>
<td>5.5–7</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Disciplax hiritipe</em> (decapod)</td>
<td>5.5–7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_m$, carboxymethyl cellulose. $K_i$ is the inhibitory constant of glucono-δ-lactone on β-1,4-glucosidase.
Hemicellulose activities

Digestive juices from the gecarcinid land crabs *G. natalis* and *D. hirsutipes* hydrolyse laminarin, lichenin and xylan and so contain activities of the hemicellulases laminarinase, licheninase and xylanase. Given that cellulose, laminarin, lichenin and xylose are carbohydrate polymers with sugar units joined by β-glycosidic bonds, it is possible that only one or two multicatalytic enzymes may catalyse all the reactions rather than multiple enzymes each catalysing one reaction. Exactly how many β-glycohydrolases are present in the digestive juice of the gecarcinid crabs is unknown and requires further investigation.

The respective activities of β-glycohydrolases in the study species were laminarinase > licheninase > xylanase > total cellulase. Consequently, assimilation of the different hemicelluloses and cellulose would be expected to follow a similar rank order, notably laminarin > lichenin > xylan > cellulose (Tables 2, 3). Thus, in diets containing equal amounts of hemicellulose and cellulose, both *G. natalis* and *D. hirsutipes* would be expected to gain more carbohydrate from hemicellulose than cellulose. The high activities of laminarinase in the gut fluid of *G. natalis* and *D. hirsutipes* indicate that they are particularly efficient in the hydrolysis of laminarin (Table 3). Laminarin is the chief food reserve of algae (Vonk and Western, 1984), and both species have been observed to scrape algae from rocks, soil and tree root buttresses (S.M.L., personal observation). Mixed β-D-glucans are a major component of cereals and grasses (Terra and Ferreira, 1994; McCleary, 1988). Gecarcinid crabs are able to utilise β-D-glucans since both *G. natalis* and *D. hirsutipes* possess moderate licheninase activity (Table 3). *D. hirsutipes* is better able to assimilate laminarin than *G. natalis* since its digestive juice has a higher licheninase activity (Table 3). *G. natalis* and *D. hirsutipes* possess low xylanase activities and will only be able to hydrolyse xylose slowly.

Differences in assimilation coefficients for hemicellulose reported previously for the study species (Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998) cannot be interpreted in terms of hemicellulase activities measured in this study, as the types of hemicellulose present in *Ficus* and *Erythrina* leaves are not known.

Differences in cellulase activities and properties may explain differences in cellulase assimilation and dietary preferences

*G. natalis* had a higher cellulose assimilation efficiency than *D. hirsutipes* when fed a diet of brown fig leaves (43% and 21%, respectively; Greenaway and Linton, 1995; Greenaway and Raghaven, 1998), and the current study confirms that *G. natalis* hydrolyses cellulose more effectively than *D. hirsutipes* since it has higher total cellulase activity. In addition, the β-1,4-glucosidase from *G. natalis* is resistant to product inhibition and consequently it can hydrolyse cellulose despite the presence of high levels of glucose from cellulose hydrolysis or simple sugars from other dietary items such as fruit. This property may also be indicative of resistance of the enzyme to the effects of dietary tannins.

Origin of the cellulase and hemicellulase enzymes

Cellulase and hemicellulase enzymes may be either produced endogenously or by microorganisms within the gut. Endogenous production is possible in the study species, given that other invertebrate groups such as insects, molluscs and nematodes possess a gene for EG and synthesise this enzyme endogenously (reviewed by Watanabe and Tokuda, 2001). The origin of cellulases in gecarcinid crabs is investigated in a subsequent manuscript.
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