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ABSTRACT: For some time now Tony Fry has promoted the idea of 'The Sustainment', an idea that asserts a paradigm shift in attitudes to consumption. 'The Sustainment' recognises that increasingly human futures are products of self-determination and not chance. Fry’s hypothesis can be understood through his concept of Defuturing, a philosophy that questions the role of design and the responsibility of designers to facilitating the ability to sustain (Fry 1999).

Central to Fry’s philosophy is an awareness that it is in the best interests of designers and their clients, as inhabitants of cultures increasingly driven by technology, to be aware of the relationships between the products and theories of design and the processes and implications of technological change. This is an awareness that is central to the concepts, work, and methodologies of the ‘UN Studio’ of Van Berkel and Bos described and elaborated upon in Move – Imagination, Techniques, and Effects (Van Berkel & Bos 1999). Here, Ben Van Berkel defines the parameters and methodologies employed by UN Studio in an environment of technological and socio-economic change. The Dutch practice could be said to exemplify something of a zeitgeist in current architectural design that sees architects, as Van Berkel and Bos view them, as “fashion designers of the future, dressing events to come and holding up a mirror to the world (Van Berkel & Bos 1999, back cover).” It is a zeitgeist that Fry might see as aligned to the resilient hype of ‘new creativity’, ‘globalisation’ the ‘romance with technology’, and the vacuous-ness of the world of fashion. (Fry The Voice of Sustainment: on Design Intelligence 2005).

A source of breaking down such design propaganda is identified by Fry in the notion of ‘scenarios,’ which “provide a mechanism for politico-practice assemblage in which dialogues and narratives of change can be rehearsed in ways that enable participants to re-educate themselves via critical confrontations” (Fry The Voice of Sustainment: on Design Intelligence 2005). From such a perspective this paper aims to practically illustrate and ground the Defuturing of Fry by establishing a dialogue between his writings and the theories that have generated the architectural designs of Van Berkel and Bos and there UN Studio. This will be a ‘scenario’ that examines therefore an appropriation and transformation of the applied intellectual practice of Van Berkel and Bos. Through this confrontation we shall explore the question of why sustainability appears to be so low in the agenda of many pre-eminent contemporary architects, and how we might refocus therefore practice and theory on the ability to sustain.

Conference theme: Social, cultural and political issues
Keywords: Sustainable design theory, technology

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper will review the works of the eminent Dutch architectural practice of UN Studio and its founders Ben van Berkel and Caroline Bos (subsequently to be referred to as van Berkel & Bos), after which the ontological hypotheses of Tony Fry will be explained and in turn reviewed. From such a perspective this paper aims to explore a scenario of design that examines an appropriation and transformation of the applied intellectual practice of van Berkel and Bos. Although it might be objected that the mechanism for politico-practice re-assemblage appropriated to such a scenario hardly follows a conventional method of scientific enquiry, the "Fabrication of Sustainability" this platform paper aims to present is certainly intended to be in the spirit of the theme of ANZAScA 2005. Parallels within the subject matters of Fry and van Berkel & Bos will be drawn on in this scenario. A dialogue will thus be established between commonalities that will form the basis of analysis. Questions will then be raised that probe the relevance and appropriateness of the focus of these differing approaches to the discipline of design and its sustainable practice.

2. VAN BERKEL & Bos
2.1 Van Berkel & Bos: “Move – Imagination, Techniques, and Effects”

In Move van Berkel and Bos define in the three ‘books’ Imagination, Techniques and Effects the parameters and methodologies employed by their practice in the production of architecture. The methodologies are depicted in a thematic manner that relates cognitive conceptual practice towards its application in various projects. The themes include: Liquid Politic, the Deep Plan, Material Organisation and Inclusiveness (covered in Imagination); Diagrams, Hybridization, and Mediation (covered in Techniques); and Orientable and Nonorientable (covered in Effects). The themes are presented by van Berkel and Bos as opposite reflections of contemporary technological culture appropriated to an architectural theory that echoes the zeitgeist of our time. The implicit product of such a theory is an architecture that is entirely appropriate to the context of their practice - in the First World, technologically advanced, early twenty-first century (the term "First World" refers here to the so called developed, capitalist, industrial countries – roughly, the bloc of countries aligned with the United States and with more or less common political and economic interests: North America, Western Europe, Japan and Australia).

The themes could be referred to as axioms, although this might not be the best description for they are intended to facilitate merely a freedom of thought (and ultimate architectural expression) that negates previous typologies rather than establishing the descriptions of new typologies. However, similarities to the rationally focussed axiom occur here in the choice to utilise the theme as a point of departure and as a source of solutions to enquiry into the design process. It can be seen that the designs of Van Berkel & Bos are ‘referenced’ to in the themes and that this is a reflection of their process driven methods. Van Berkel & Bos tackle their projects with a particular logical progression – employing their themes to stimulate the creation of process rather than the tangible products of design. Although they are seeking here to break the confines of rational determinism, they are utilizing many of the same tools that have been used (in the past) by Rationalists, namely the overriding use of logic and rationalism as it has been employed by many of the purveyors of the "movement" commonly termed as Modernism in architecture. We shall briefly now reflect on some of the key themes explored in Move.

2.2 Imagination

Liquid politic is the intertwining of ideas and thought (philosophies) cross-fertilised through all areas of practice and resulting in the changing of previously stratified discipline specific orders and hierarchies. Van Berkel & Bos name the application of this form of project engagement as the professional network, which is applied by “plug-in professionalism” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:1:27) in the creation of teams of specialists into a united-network.

The Deep Plan is an approach to urban design that implements the concept of a “plug-in professionalism” into an actualised project, where the product is a result of the cultural and technological forces in play and not necessarily based on a preconceived solution.

Material Organisation is the application of new virtual techniques that allow the organisation and application of materials to be redefined. With new technologies improving existing materials and providing new ones, material organisation is required to compose these materials in a manner that will optimise their use and performance within a building. Thus, the re-organisation of materials allows the opportunity for new creativity in the design process. The tactile interface of architecture can therefore be re-defined through the application of Material Organisation.

Inclusiveness builds on the notion of the united professional network and refers to the technological link between the participants and gathered data. This is achievable through new data organisational techniques that enable all aspects of a project to be combined and given “relationality” – as we shall see it might be termed by Fry. Thus, as van Berkel & Bos state in Imagination, “Inclusiveness is about efficiency” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:1:221).

Van Berkel & Bos believe that including from the outset the inputs of other disciplines into the design process is paramount to successful project outcomes, for all of these inputs will influence the resulting architectural production. This ideology focuses on the successful functioning of the project as a combined single entity resulting form multiple inputs. The three concepts Deep Plan, Professional Network, and Inclusiveness present therefore the intentions of a holistic approach to design, but this holism does notably not include a place for what Fry terms Sustain-ability.

2.2 Techniques

Technology is described in the second book of Move - Techniques - as having a direct impact on the process and products of design (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:17). As van Berkel & Bos state; “each new technology changes the world” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:15). Moreover, technology facilitates new techniques that in turn stimulate the imagination. They acknowledge in this that technology affects everything, from culture and society to interpersonal relationships. This view forms an important point in Fry’s hypothesis and is, as we shall see, one that he addresses vigorously.

In Techniques the theme of Diagram acts for van Berkel & Bos as an abstract tool in the creation of new architectural objects and situations (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:21). It is not, they claim, a metaphor or a paradigm. According to van Berkel & Bos, the diagram has three stages of use; namely, selection, application, and operation. As interpretations of the diagram are subjective, the iteration of diagrams increases the value of them, for each interpretation instils greater meaning into the original diagram. The intrinsic value of the Diagram increases therefore with each interpretation. The application of the theme Diagram, as well as the theme of Orientable (space), can be observed in UN Studio design for the Möbius House (1993-98. Figure 1). Here the diagram of the Möbius strip
(Figure 2) has been applied to a representation of the lifestyle of the clients. This lifestyle could be applicable to many young professionals who are living together but driven apart by their independently busy careers. The diagram shows their activities operating in a continual cycle that is never truly stationary such that they pass each other only at certain times in certain places.

![Möbius House Het Gooi, 1993-1998](www.unstudio.com)

**Figure 1**: Möbius House Het Gooi, 1993-1998

![The diagram of the double-locked torus](www.unstudio.com)

**Figure 2**: The diagram of the double-locked torus

**Hybridization** is the product of the application of Deep Plan and its Professional Network. It is a theme, as van Berkel & Bos state in *Techniques*, that is “an intense fusion of construction, materials, circulation and programme spaces” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:79). The combination of these components is intentionally melded into a seamless unity and this “seamlessness” reinforces the uncertainty in the reading of the resulting architecture since the origins of the product are unclear. The new creation bears little resemblance in scale and structure to its original constituents. Van Berkel & Bos utilise this technique to create products that are unique. It could therefore be deduced that **Hybridization** is not only a *Technique* - but also an *Effect*. The effects of **Hybridization** will be discussed later in the synopsis of van Berkel and Bos.

The theme of **Mediation** deals with the romance and acceptance of technology into our lives and into society as a whole. “New media technologies” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:159) fill our lives, which are as a result mediated through technology. Van Berkel & Bos claim that architecture and urban design have been slow to embrace this new mediation and they propose to accelerate this process through their work. For architects, they claim, “are obliged to
look for relevance in contemporary practices, events and techniques – or disappear” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:159). This, as we shall see, is another important area for comparison in the views shared on this subject by Fry.

2.3 Effects

Van Berkel & Bos explain in the third book of Move that Effect is created through the “destabilisation of structure, meaning and image” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:3:24), such that when the juxtaposition of dissimilar parts forms an unexpected union or query, resulting in an exclamation, the awareness of a particular relationship is heightened.

The theme of Orientable refers to orientable spatial organisation where the urban grid is described as an orientable mediator. The Effect occurs here when there is an intervention introducing movement into an orientable system with differing direction, density and velocity. For example, when people are introduced into the urban grid their movement patterns initially will comply with the grid, but in time resistance occurs in the form of alternative routes that disrupt the grid. These routes will show no discernable orientation and create a new dynamism in the grid system. The difference between routes and grid is said therefore to create an Effect.

2.4 Van Berkel & Bos: Synopsis

Many of the notions explored by van Berkel & Bos are common to the work of Fry and therefore suggest comparison. In their quest for a reflective appropriate architecture, van Berkel & Bos ask in Techniques (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:24) “which are the appropriate techniques for architecture to use to instrumentalise this new mediated cosmology for its own ends?” They have chosen to employ technology to create a new artificial creation that would have relevance to what might be termed as the zeitgeist of the First World in the early twenty-first century. In this van Berkel & Bos have elected to embrace and reflect the First World, and in doing so they are perpetuating the current system, or what Fry terms the restrictive economy (Fry 2000). This will be further discussed after reviewing the work and thoughts of Fry.

Although Van Berkel & Bos clearly explain how their design process might generate architecture, their explanation of what drives this process might be interpreted as incomplete. Although they project a “forward” thinking approach to their architectural process, they do not confront the consequences of their work to future production. The architect, according to van Berkel and Bos in “Imagination – Liquid Politic”, is merely “the fashion designer of the future” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:1:27), and of course it is in this view of the ephemeral status of the products of architects that the status of sustainable practice is raised. As Fry claims (The Voice of Sustainment: Rematerialisation 2005),

One clear action is to strive to know when and how to move from a transitory aesthetic (fashion) to one that endures (beauty as the eternal elegance of change seen in the objectification of sustainment).

There appears therefore to be a conflict in the design philosophy of van Berkel and Bos, for although their process sees the architect, as they state in Imagination, “concerned with dressing the future, speculating, anticipating coming events and holding up a mirror to the world” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:1:27), such a responsiveness generates an architectural product that is by implication ephemeral. As Fry demands, Van Berkel & Bos question in their work the effect, role, position and meaning of architecture as a mode of production, however they do not engage in the issues that are prevalent in the agenda of Fry towards sustainable production. We shall seek now to elucidate this in a brief analysis of the writings of Fry.

3. TONY FRY

3.1 Tony Fry: Defuturing, Warring, Relationality, Sustain-ability

Tony Fry’s writings focus on the direction and place of design in the world. He calls for design to become the master of its future role as a mode of production rather than as an understudy to the fields of Science, Philosophy, and Art. As Fry suggests, design is everything, everything is designed. Fry seeks to create a new place for design that should be founded on a New Design Philosophy. He constructs the philosophy on the concepts of Defuturing; Warring; Relationality; and Sustain-ability. These concepts will be briefly explained now to create a context for later comparison to the concepts and methodologies van Berkel & Bos.

Defuturing, according to Fry (1999:ix),

Delivers another agenda of thinking, making and living which recognises that the future is not a vast void, but a time and place constituted by directional forces of design, set in train in the past and the present, and which flow into the future.

This is a future described as filled with the actions of our present and past; it is an occupied space – not an empty void. Defuturing is the ability to gain an understanding of the historical nature of the unsustainable. If not addressed, the processes that have developed and that have created the unsustainable system that governs most of the world will continue to consume the finite limited resources that are available. Thus, Defuturing identifies the processes that are destroying our futures.

There is no singular future, for it is through choice that we determine our future. Fry identifies this freedom of choice, which is driven by democracy, as a potential source of the world crisis. Freedom requires that people have a plethora
of choices and options to fulfil their desires in their everyday existence. The objects for this multiplicity of choice have to be created from something and somewhere. Thus, it could be said that choice creates a resource consuming vortex, which in turn is warring against the environment. Thus, through Defuturing it should be possible to gain an understanding of the consequences of our actions on our world, and through Defuturing therefore it is possible to engage the positivist action of Futuring (Fry 1999:14).

Fry’s concept of Warring might be described as the ultimate agent of consumption. It is all consuming because of its destruction of that which has been produced. Warring could be described in military terms as the act of war. This is an interpretation that is applied by Fry to any destructive process, be it socio-political, economic, or environmental. When one form of Warring is activated it draws in and implicates the others for each form is interrelated. Although the acknowledgement of these interrelationships is commonly perceived to involve destructive processes that implicate socio-political and economic interests, the environmental interest is often forgotten.

Relationality is a concept that could be described as a basis for a holistic system that might prevent Warring. This would be a system that is aware of the consequences of its actions in the world. As Fry explains (Fry 1999:13),

Relationality is a way of thought which is not based upon cause-effect relations but on correlative processes and structures.

The following “ideogram” illustrates the position of the concept of Relationality.

\[
\text{RELATIONALITY} = \text{SELF} – \text{CAUSALITY} – \text{WORLD}
\]

A comparison will be drawn later between Relationality as defined by Fry and the similarly focused concept of Inclusiveness that is employed by van Berkel & Bos in their work.

Fry’s use of the term Sustain-ability needs explanation and description. In basic terms Sustain-ability could be defined as ‘true’ sustainability. Furthermore, Sustain-ability could be described as sustainability perceived as Relationality. Sustain-ability is also an action, for it is a response and reaction to everything unsustainable. It is, therefore, a term that needs constant updating, for which is perceived as currently sustainable may no longer be sustainable in the future. This is due to the continuing development of new technologies and their effect on the environment. Sustain-ability as a term and a concept has been diluted and neutralised through its use as a political by-word. This is partly a result of attempts to pacify the increased awareness by certain socio-economic groups of the continuing degradation of the environment. As Fry has discussed (Fry 2000:24), many well-intentioned and conceived initiatives towards protecting the environment have lost their intentioned impact as a result of having to pass through the political (legislative) process. It is here that compromise has to be sought in order to appease all facets of the socio-political spectrum. Thus, the results and implemented legislation amount to very little or insufficient protection of the environment.

3.2 Fry synopsis

The notion of architecture as fashion relates to the current trend of hyper-consumerism that pervades a Western First-World culture that is dominated by capitalism. This is a notion that pervades in the development too of the Third World cultures that are rapidly expanding. Fry asks where this might be leading to, and how can this deterministic system of total consumption (and destruction) be halted or redirected. Fry’s concept of Defuturing describes this unsustainable system of ultimate decline.

Having reviewed the seemingly antithetical writings of Fry and van Berkel & Bos, we might ask what areas of comparison could be used towards the successful implementation of a design process in tune with the ideologies that inform First World consumption but with more sustainable outcomes than those described by van Berkel and Bos. As we have seen, an epistemological outlook is employed both by Fry and by van Berkel & Bos, and herein is the source of the commonalities between their writings. Van Berkel & Bos address the methodology and direction of architectural theory and practice by questioning the relevance that architecture and design has to contemporary culture and society. Fry, on the other hand, raises an ontological question that queries the manner in which society inhabits the world and the effect this habitation has on the future of the world and its finite resources. The following scenario will elaborate on the relationships between the subjects that are common to the writings of Fry and to van Berkel & Bos.

4. SCENARIO

Is there, it might be asked, the opportunity to establish a dialogue between current design practices and the concepts of change that have been presented by Fry? A change in thought of this magnitude would require, as Fry states, “a rethinking of all that has come before” (Fry 1999:122). What, we might ask in turn, could form the means of delivery of this paradigm shift into the realm of practice? Does the establishment of Sustain-ability require political intervention to dictate, as Fry demands, rather than merely inform the design process; or will this occur through the re-education and revision of designers? In answer to these questions it might be argued that armed with an understanding of Defuturing and its aligned philosophy, it is possible to initiate a paradigm shift that, in tune with the “new creativity”, “globalisation” and the “romance with technology” embraced by van Berkel and Bos, could empower the ability to
sustain. To this end, let us then briefly attempt to link the ideologies of van Berkel and Bos’ Move and of Fry’s A New Design Philosophy.

4.1 Inclusiveness and Relationality

Van Berkel & Bos have established Inclusiveness as an integral component of their process of building production. As has already been described, Inclusiveness describes the inclusion and combining of the organisational techniques governing the design process into a relative or relational whole of flattening hierarchies, with implications to likeminded practitioners of a more “sustainable approach to project engagement” (Gowans and Graham 2000). Fry seeks rather a less exclusive multi-disciplinary dialogue (Fry 2005: Concluding Comment):

More than this, as design intelligence does not strive to be exclusively owned by the design community, or simply serve designing, it could be regarded as the basis of an invitational dialogue with other practices. It thus has the potential of constituting a ‘language of engagement’ of a new collectivity that recognises the existing and coming importance of design.

Van Berkel and Bos offer in Imagination a counter argument (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:22):

The inclusive organisation tolerates any style, any concept. There is no wastage; all the fragmented analyses of the past can be recycled, connected and taken up in the line of continuity proposed by the inclusive model.

Or as Fry sees it (Fry 1999:14):

The relational approach adopted understands that unsustainably cannot be reduced to a singularity. From this viewpoint, it is not worth trading in a history of names – be they of movements, designers or validated designed objects. In actuality the incoherence and difference of the unsustainable is to be found everywhere...Design will be disclosed as being at the very core of unsustainability, as it undoes the very fabric upon which the modern world has been built... At the same time it is posited with a potential sustainability.

According to Fry, Relationality is a true inclusion and recognition of the relationships between self and causality (of actions) and their effect on the world. This recognition, of course, marks the departure of the parallel ideologies of Inclusiveness and Relationality.

4.2 Mediation and Warring

We no longer experience the world directly for it is mediated to us through technology. Technology has been used to fashion our world and we view the results through this creation. How does this effect our perception of the world? Through the expansive influence of technology the natural is now generally perceived and experienced through what van Berkel and Bos term Mediation. This mediated perception is limited for it engages only a few of the senses. Alienation is the result therefore of sensory mediation, and it might be argued that it is from this alienation that there stems a disregard for the environment by people in the capitalist world today. As Fry claims (The Voice of Sustainment: on Design Intelligence 2005):

The popular media’s characterisation of design as style and as an embroidisher of hyped technology is even more crass. Notwithstanding the rise of ‘designer products’, the media reinforces perceptions of a designer as a compliant service provider obsessed with the delivery of ‘sexy things’ to the market place. The promotion of this kind of ‘design consciousness’ among consumers has exactly the reverse effect.

Van Berkel & Bos state that ontological and technological permutations are interwoven. This is a view shared by Fry, but addressed in a broader holistic manner that looks to the wider consequences of this relationship. We recall that Van Berkel & Bos choose to utilise mediation and new technologies in their design process, or as they conclude in Techniques (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:167),

Architecture needs the varied and free use of new mediation techniques in order to keep its relevance as a public science with tentacles in areas such as design, art, film, computer technology, engineering and infrastructure

Fry, conversely, sees mediation and technology as part and cause of the problem. This is because mediation and technology are part of the system of destruction, as the agents of Warring, which governs development in the First World.

4.3 Hybridization and Defuturing

According to van Berkel & Bos in Techniques, through the use of Hybridization the structures resulting from the “new design and construction techniques” are referred to as “technological fantasies” and can be “characterised by a sense of alienation” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:79). They further explain this sense of alienation as “a denationalised, genetically manipulated state of dis-authenticity” (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:79). As is stated in Techniques (Van Berkel & Bos 1999:2:84):

The architecture of hybridization, the fluent merging of constituent parts into an endlessly variable whole, amounts to the organisation of continuous difference, resulting in structures that are scale-less, subject to evolution,
expansion, inversion and other contortions and manipulations. Free to assume different identities, architecture becomes endless.

This is an alienation that obverts people’s perceptions of themselves in space and in place, and which could be projected therefore towards their perception of the environment and the world. If a person is made to feel alienated and detached from their surrounds the result is a lessened awareness of the impacts of their existence and the consequences of their actions on the world. The implication is that through their architecture van Berkel & Bos are able to influence people’s attitude towards the environment. Hybridization as alienation could thus be named as the antithesis of sustainability, for Hybridization Defutures. Fry terms the same evolution of new modes of production as “finitudinal delimitation of accumulative unsustainability”, such that (Fry 1999:288):

Its realisation, as knowledge and as incremental acts and agents (sustainments), demands conjunctural learning and relearning as new modes of the unsustainable continually arrive. Sustain-ability is a learnt contestation of the ‘logic’ of the structural connectivism of productivism, the necessity of making time and of vital need to take responsibility for anthropocentric being.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper has not proposed a solution to the problem of unsustainable development and the design processes that inform it. Rather it has chosen to highlight the commonalities and short-comings of only one eminent and high profile design practice’s ability and choice to engage with this problem. The design ideologies given voice to in Move – Imagination, Techniques, and Effects are echoed, of course, throughout the First World and the media that promotes the products of its designers.

Ben Van Berkel and Caroline Bos declared on the eve of the new millennium that architects should form alliances with marketing consultants, engineers, marketing specialists and other ‘creatives’ to become change managers in a world where change is the only constant (Speaks 2000). This Inclusiveness has facilitated interaction between individuals from a wide range of creative disciplines. Such collaboration presents the opportunity too to embrace the material, social and environmental consequences of the impact of design. Yet for collaborative design bodies to inspire a more sustainable mode of architectural production, their professional designers must simplify and even eliminate their output, and in effect weaken their status, to diminish the impact of their product on the consumption of finite recourses.

As Fry proclaims, the crisis of resource depletion is massive, its affect is undiscriminating and there is no singular solution. And it is Design (capitalised to represent Fry’s meta-view of design) that, for Fry, is at the epicentre of this growing crisis. The beginnings of a solution can only be found through a change in perception. This change could be delivered through an understanding and furthering of a new design philosophy, and one that could build on the foundations of Defuturing, Relationality, and Sustain-ability as described by Fry. What is clear from a comparison of the themes and concepts aligned in the writings of Fry and van Berkel & Bos is that both viewpoints need not be viewed as mutually exclusive ideologies. As Fry suggests (Fry 1999:14):

Unsustainability and sustain-ability, defuturing and futuring… are not merely opposite binary terms. Each only arrive out of a relation to another, neither can be characterised by reference to a single form, the one always exists implicated in a struggle with an other.

They are themes and concepts that can be viewed therefore not as conflicting but merely as extremes of a continuum.
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