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**ABSTRACT**

The diet of a Southern Boobook *Ninox novaeseelandiae* in a Box-Ironbark woodland remnant in central Victoria was studied. An analysis of 42.5 pellets found invertebrates to represent 82% of the total prey items, but vertebrates, in the form of the House Mouse *Mus domesticus*, to represent 88% of the biomass. The proportion of spiders as prey items in the diet in this instance (43%) was significantly higher than in other studies on mainland Australia.
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**Introduction**

The diet of Southern Boobooks *Ninox novaeseelandiae* on mainland Australia is little studied (McNabb 2002). Previous quantitative studies from Victoria include a variety of habitats, from coastal and pastoral areas of western Port Phillip Bay (Baker-Gabb 1984), riverine Black Box-River Red Gum country of northern Victoria (Calaby 1951) and wet and damp forests to the east of Melbourne (McNabb 2002).

Most previous studies in Australia have identified insects and small mammals as constituting the bulk of the diet (Higgins 1999; Penck and Queale 2002), although more recent research has shown mid-sized mammals and birds to constitute a larger portion of Southern Boobook diet than previously reported (McNabb 2002; Olsen et al. 2006).

This paper presents a dietary analysis of a Southern Boobook from the dry Box-Ironbark country of central Victoria, where this owl's diet has not previously been the subject of a detailed quantitative study. Elsewhere in the Victorian Box-Ironbark region, Southern Boobooks have been observed taking “roosting small birds, small mammals, frogs and large invertebrates such as moths and beetles” (Tzaros 2005; C. Tzaros, pers. comm. 2005). Although Robinson et al. (2003) state that Boobooks are “mostly insect-eating” in the eastern Victorian Box-Ironbark, this information is drawn from previously published accounts for the species across Australia (D. Robinson, pers. comm. 2005).

**Methods**

An adult Southern Boobook was first disturbed from a roost site within the roof of an old dilapidated dwelling located within a woodland remnant at Creighton Hills (36° 45' S, 145° 29' E), 7 km west of Euroa, in central Victoria on 16 July 2005. The sex of the bird could not be determined and no pellets were searched for at this time. On revisiting the site on 30 July 2005, 42 whole pellets and one half pellet were collected from two piles approximately 2 m apart below the roost site within the dwelling (Fig. 1). As most of the roof and walls of the dwelling are missing the pellets were exposed to the elements. Subsequent visits to the site failed to locate either the roosting Boobook or further pellets.

**Figure 1.** A sample of Southern Boobook pellets from Creighton Hills, Victoria.
The Creighton Hills woodland comprises ~130 ha of dry woodland/forest dominated by mainly regrowth Grey Box *Eucalyptus microcarpa* and Red Stringybark *E. macrorhyncha*, with smaller areas of Red Box *E. polyanthemos* and Blakely's Red Gum *E. blakelyi*. The woodland is largest remnant on the Longwood Plains, a heavily-cleared region in which only 5% of original vegetation remains (Ahern *et al.* 2003) (Fig. 2). However, the linear roadside and streamside vegetation present throughout the Longwood Plain (comprising Grey Box and River Red Gum *E. camaldulensis* respectively) is considered to be ecologically important in its own right (van der Ree and Bennett 2001). Boobooks are often heard calling in nearby roadides and the bird may have been hunting in either the Creighton Hills woodland or the surrounding agricultural landscape.

Pellets were analysed by ABR, and the minimum numbers of individual prey items determined, by counting skeletal parts and by comparison with reference material.

**Results**

The 42 whole pellets measured 11-40 x 8-20 mm (mean 23.5 x 16.2 mm). Seventy-two individual prey items were recorded, comprising at least six distinct species (Table 1). Invertebrates constituted 82% of the prey items by number, with spiders (Araneae) representing 43% and beetles (Coleoptera) 29.2%. Wolf spiders (Lycosidae) were the most common prey item, representing 36.1% of the total prey individuals. House Mice *Mus domesticus* occurred in most pellets and represented 18.1% of the prey individuals identified. Some fragments of grass were also present in one pellet. By weight, House Mice contributed more than 88% of prey biomass, and spiders 6% (Table 1).

**Discussion**

The diet of the Southern Boobook at Creighton Hills consisted of mainly invertebrate prey (by number), although vertebrate prey represented a significant proportion of the total biomass. This broadly corresponds with studies elsewhere that invertebrates are the main prey item by number (e.g. Higgins 1999; Penck and Queale 2002). However, the results also support the findings by Olsen *et al.* (2006) and McNabb (2002) that the diet of Boobooks in south-eastern Australia is, by biomass, primarily vertebrates. The mean prey weight in this study is much lower than the mean prey weight in McNabb (2002) or Olsen *et al.* (2006) because the heaviest animal taken in this study was 17.5 g. The differences in the composition of prey items in Boobook dietary studies may in part reflect different methods of prey analysis and collecting prey remains (Olsen *et al.* 2006). For example, pellets were found to have proportionally less invertebrates than analysis of stomach contents (Rose 1996).

![Figure 2](image-url) Location of the Creighton Hills Woodland within the landscape.
The high proportion of wolf spiders in this study is of particular note. Other studies in Victoria have found spiders to represent only a very small proportion of the overall dietary items (Baker-Gabb 1984; 1985 – 3%; Calaby 1951 – one record in a small number of pellets; none specified by McNabb 2002, although he noted that traces of small insect remains below roosts were not analysed), as they have been in other mainland states (Campbell and Rose 1996; Rose 1996; Penck and Queale 2002; Osen et al. 2006). However, Green et al. (1986) found trap-door spiders (Ctenizidae) to be abundant in the pellets of Tasmanian Southern Boobook Ninox novaeseelandiae leucopsis in summer, while spiders represented 5.9% and 9.7% of prey items in two studies of New Zealand Moreporks N. n. novaeseelandiae (Haw and Clout 1999; Haw et al. 2001). There are several wolf spider species found in the Victorian Box-Ironbark region (Shield 2001), and the family is known to have a diversity of species in both vegetated and non-vegetated components of fragmented landscapes (Major et al. 2006).

Interestingly, Penck and Queale (2002) suggest that prey with few or no body parts (e.g. Lepidoptera or Araneae) may be found in lower frequencies in owl pellets than in gizzards. In this study, spiders, identified by jaw parts (chelicerae), represented 43% of prey items and were found to occur in 24 pellets.

No native vertebrates were detected in the diet in this study, despite the area being known to contain important populations of small arboreal and terrestrial species such as the Yellow-footed Antechinus Antechinus flavipes, Brush-tailed Phascogale Phascogale tapoatafa, Squirrel Petaurus norfolcensis and Sugar Gliders P. breviceps (van der Ree 2000; 2003; van der Ree et al. 2001; van der Ree and Bennett 2003) and despite Boobooks taking a variety of often cryptic vertebrate prey in other, more forested parts of Victoria (McNabb 2002).
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Table 1. Minimum number of prey individuals and biomass in pellets of a Southern Boobook at Creighton Hills, Euroa, June 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prey species</th>
<th>Minimum no.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Ave weight of prey item (g)*</th>
<th>Total biomass (g)</th>
<th>% of total biomass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House Mouse Mus domesticus</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf spiders (Araneae: Lycosidae)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined spiders (Araneae)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockroaches (Blattodea)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carab (ground) beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pie-dish beetle (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified beetles (Coleoptera)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moth/butterfly (Lepidoptera)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>257</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


