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ABSTRACT

This paper explores the influential factors on the founders' view of decision-making about succession in Chinese-Australian family firms. A qualitative exploratory case study was conducted among Chinese-Australian family businesses in the regions of Victoria, Australia. The viewing of succession decisions was found to be determined by the business, personal and family factors. The intention of succession gives priority to business development through hiring quality employees. A strong aspiration of personal willingness and interest is a key predictor in determining the possibility of intergenerational succession. The family factor emphasises on the availability of the resources from the family business that fulfil the individual needs, rather than family obligation and expectation.
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As Chinese immigrants are becoming an important part of the immigration population in Australia (Ho & Coughlan 1997) the businesses established by these Chinese entrepreneurs have contributed to a significant part of the Australian economy (Collins 2002). Family business is a prominent form of organisation among ethnic Chinese expatriate business families (El Kahal 2001). Family is an important resource for the economic activities of the Chinese immigrants in Australia, such as trusted and inexpensive labour, family and personal contacts and the financial support (Lever-Tracy, Ip & Tracy 2002, Park & Luo 2001). When Chinese family businesses make decisions about the future in Australia, they are influenced not only by social and cultural factors within the business-owned family itself, but also socio-economic conditions of the Australian context.

In family business research, family-specific patterns have been recognised that are associated with the manner and extent to which the family context influences the family firms (e.g. values, assumptions, norms and belief system) (Altinay 2008, Danes, Lee, Stafford & Heck 2008). Although there are research studies of a culture-based explanation for Chinese family businesses (e.g. Gomez 2007, Yan & Sorenson 2004), the literature on Chinese-Australian family business has been scant. A consideration of potential effects of family characteristics has included measures of cultural dimensions in the succession research (Yan & Sorenson 2006), but there is a lack of empirical evidence. Therefore, this paper examines the conditions that influence business continuity in Chinese-Australian family businesses to better understand the possible relationship between family culture and the orientation of succession.
We have asked ‘which kinds of factors do a business owner view that its effect has on their decision-making of succession?’ This paper presents findings from a qualitative case study of Chinese-Australian family businesses that investigated the views of business owners regarding whether a family firm is more ‘family’ or ‘firm’. Specifically, we consider which set of cultural norms do the business owners follow in terms of their business practices in relation to succession.

**SUCCESION DECISIONS IN FAMILY BUSINESS**

The question of business continuity plagues all family firms, no matter what their size or structure. Several studies estimate that only 30 percent of family enterprises survive to the second generation, and that many enterprises fail soon after the second generation takes control (Miller, Steier & Breton-Miller 2006, Morris, Williams, Allen & Avila 1997). Reasons for the failures of family businesses are diverse: there are instances of business mismanagement and miscalculations; some are sold simply due to good offers; and other close because better economic opportunities become available. However, many family businesses that break up or are sold do so mainly because there is an unwillingness among the second generations to continue working in the family business (Stavrou 1999).

Generally, it is assumed that there is a strong preference to keep the leadership and ownership in the family (Dyer 1986, Lansberg 1999, Lea 1991). Succession is far more complex in family business, where there is often a small pool of talent from which to appoint leadership candidates, complicating emotional factors in the interpersonal relationships and emotional ties with the family (Gersick, Davis, Hampton & Lansberg 1997, Miller et al. 2006). Furthermore, both the literature and evidence revealed recognise a change from generation to generation among immigrants’ families. Second generation of the business owners are moving away from enterprise, and from what their parents stood for (Wong 1992). This is because they are better integrated into the mainstream employment markets and have been educated locally, without concerns about closed doors and a lack of mobility (Dion & Dion 2001).

Studies have shown that a shift in generation of leadership in the firm has been traditionally initiated, planned and carried out by the founder (Carlock & Ward 2001, Harveston, Davis &
Lyden 1997). The role of founders has been recognised an important influence on the family unit to maintain family integrity (Lumpkin, Martin & Vaughn 2008), and on the business goals to adapt to environmental changes (Tokarczyk, Hansen, Green & Down 2007), as well on managerial practices to retain business stability (Jaffe & Lane 2004). The decision on the family firm succession has been largely influenced by the founder, because they preserve the power in predicting succession outcomes in both the family and business, and thus have an enduring impact on decision-making (Davis & Harveston 1999).

CULTURAL INFLUENCE ON SUCCESSION DECISION

To gain an understanding about the choices related to family succession in Chinese-Australian family businesses, it is helpful to start with an understanding of the context of the inside and outside environment. Behaviour related to both business practices and culturally based values have been widely researched (e.g. Chu 2000, Qian, Razzaque & Keng 2007, Zheng 2002). Such researches offer insight into the behaviour patterns within family firms. In this comparison of different cultures, we use Hofstede’s (2001) model of cultural dimensions to provide insight into how certain cultural factors may foster or hamper succession across generations. First, it is generally assumed that the senior generations want and expect their children to join the family business regardless of the business’s interests (Aronoff, McClure & Ward 2003, Whiteside, Aronoff & Ward 1993). In cultures where the relationship between parents and children is characterised by high power distance, then the children would be more likely to join the family business, due to the obedience to the expectations of the parents (Lin & Fu 1990). In contrast, in cultures with relatively low power distance, the children would not feel obliged to join the family business (Westwood & Posner 1997).

Secondly much research has indicated that the family wants to keep the business in the family by passing on across generations (Brun de Pontet, Wrosch & Gagne 2007, Lambrecht 2005, Salvato & Melin 2008, Thomas 2009). In cultures with long-term orientation, the young generation would be expected to take over the business by joining the business, because this expectation is based on Confucian norms and values that represent virtues of perseverance, respects for family history and ancestors, and a sense of duty and filial piety (Yan & Sorenson 3
However, for cultures with relatively short-term orientation, the young generation are more likely to be allowed to explore various options and opportunities available to them and not anticipate following the elders' path (Douglas & Shepherd 2002).

In addition, it is accepted that intergeneration succession is an expected and safe solution for the family business continuity (Bjuggren & Sund 2001). In cultures where uncertainty avoidance is high, the family member involvement in the business seeks to avoid the uncertainty of a vacancy position in leadership after the elders' retirement or death (Brun de Pontet et al. 2007). However, in cultures where uncertainty avoidance is low, the young generation is more likely to embrace uncertainty and explore other options of career interest (De Massis, Chua & Chrisman 2008).

Furthermore, it is a norm that requires the eldest son of an Asian family to assume the patriarch's baton in terms of succession in family business (Dhaliwal & Kangis 2006, Zheng 2002). In more masculine cultures where the differentiation between male and female roles is clearly pronounced, the male members of a family are more likely to join the business with the purpose of succession (Janjuha-Jivraj 2006). In more egalitarian cultures where the gender roles are more overlap, the female members of a family would less restricted to be attributed to gender-rooted definitions of work and family responsibilities (Haberman & Danes 2007).

Finally, in a collectivist culture, a norm stresses the collective interests within society. An individual is identified as being a member of a specific group, leading to a sense of belonging and loyalty (Yan & Sorenson 2006). For such cultures, it is anticipated that succession decisions will be made based on family considerations (Chu 2000). However, in an individualistic culture, succession decision is made on the basis of personal reasons (Egri & Ralston 2004). It emphasises the individual's needs, goals and abilities. Their interests in the business and alternative career options are also influential factors in their decision-making (Stavrou & Swiercz 1998).

Given the present study, examining Chinese and Australian cultures, the Chinese culture can be characterised as a culture of high power distance, clear gender roles, collectivist-oriented, long-term perspective and high uncertainty avoidance. In contrast, the Australian culture is
considered as a culture of low power distance, more equality in gender roles, individual-based, a relatively short-term perspective and low uncertainty avoidance. When Chinese immigrant families in Australia espouse more individualistic values, we were interested in how they would weigh the decision of succession.

METHODS

This study utilised a qualitative exploratory case study design within the framework of an interpretive research paradigm, which claims that truth is relative and it is dependent on one’s perspective (Creswell 2007). There is a certain depth in this study that is required to talk to people (Babbie 1999). To understand the experience of participant, this study seeks to understand a subjectivist world view with inductive inquiry (Hesse-Biber & Leavy 2006). Through the narratives, the participants are able to describe their views of reality and this helps to better understand their thoughts and actions.

Three key criteria were used in the selection of cases. The characteristics of the case were sought with some criteria applied. First, there was a descriptive definition of family business used to select participants in which the majority ownership or control was within a single family, and at least two family members are or were managing and/or operating in the business (Litz 1995). Second, the population of family-owned firms was identified as small to medium size, ranging from a small business employing less than 20 people, to a medium business employing 20 or more people, but less than 200 people. This classification follows statistics published by Small Business in Australia (Trewin 2002).

Succession literatures have all observed that the decision-making process is lengthy (Gersick, Lansberg, Desjardins & Dunn 1999, Miller & Le Breton-Miller 2005, Murray 2003) and the implementation may take 15-20 years (Aronoff & Ward 1992, Cabrera-Suarez 2005, Koiranen 2002). Therefore, it is not possible to pinpoint the exact time at which a family firm begins or ends the succession process. To make allowance for this ambiguity, we screened the case for firms that expected the business continuity for the ensuing 5 to 10 years and those of which the leadership transition would occur within the next 5 to 10 years.
Based on these criteria, this qualitative research study recruited the initial sample of 28 member firms from the Chinese Community Association of Victoria (CAV) located throughout Melbourne (Victoria) metropolitan district. Each firm was mailed a formal letter outlining the research goals, rationale and content, and inviting them voluntarily for interview. Six Chinese-Australian business owners agreed to participate, five were male and one was female. All respondents were assured of complete anonymity in interviews.

In Table 1, we present the background information on each participant and their respective firms. They represent a diverse range of Chinese-Australian people, who have migrated from mainland China, Malaysia and Brunei, with the exception of one who was born in Australia. The cases also represent small- and medium- size family businesses that operate in the service-based sector, including an educational centre, furniture designer, child care, financial advice, real estate and computer retail. Half of the businesses included spouse co-owners. Another half of the businesses were sole proprietorships, with family members’ assistance. The average age of the respondents was 50 years. Age of the business ranged from four to twenty years. The years that owners were involved in business, including their self-employed business, extended to decades of experience. The number of paid full-time employees ranged from three to a hundred. The annual profits ranged from one to ten million dollars.

A series of semi-structured interviews were conducted with each participant. The interviews were guided by open-ended questions and the respondents were encouraged to say as much as they wanted, with few restrictions. The interview questions covered such areas as motivations in starting their businesses, family support and involvement, staff management and future plans. The interviews were recorded, transcribed and analysed using Nvivo 8.

Participants’ interview transcripts were analysed using a series of qualitative analysis and content analysis (Miles & Huberman 1994, Scholz & Tietje 2002). The analysis procedures examined text and categorised the stream of words into meaningful categories. Its aim was to determine patterns of meaning that extracted valuable cultural insights. First, we identified the quotes of the participants in order to organise the data. Second, the quotes were categorised and labelled into core themes, which provide meaning to fragments of ideas that could be seen
as relevant and validated constructs. Then, we defined concepts and patterns in the responses, including topics, meanings and feelings contained within the expressions. The final stage of analysis involved integrating the literature to add a richer understanding and justify the themes identified. In the following section, we have incorporated selected quotations from the participants to illustrate and clarify the themes.

**RESEARCH FINDINGS**

The participants had identified the goal of succession that was to sustain the business growth aligned with the prioritised performance goals. The decision of transferring managerial and leadership roles reflected more emphasis on the business rather than family interests. Intergeneration succession within the family was perceived as an option rather an anticipated goal. For example, the Case 3 business owner explained there were two alternative approaches of succession strategies.

*For me, to expand my business further into more areas, I need good people that are qualified to do this. I can hire them or I can do it within the family. So that’s two approaches, first approach, we are just hiring the people in and paying the people to do the work. Or I want this to be an intergenerational family business, to give them opportunities. I have to find ways to grow the business to fit what they want to do (Case 3)*

To survive and grow, the intentions to continue the family firm were attributed to a combination of business, personal and family factors in the founder’s decision making. Selected excerpts from the interview transcripts are provided to support each theme. Table 2 illustrated the relationships between these factors and succession decisions associated with these business owner’s values.

**Business Factors**

The participants articulated the goals of business continuity, including the intention of expansion into larger-scale firm, adding associated businesses and widening their equity base or customer base. For the business’s best interest, the family was sometimes viewed as a source of inefficiencies as the concern that the family interests may interfere with the business practices.

*Yes, [family has its influence on business] but family is not always right. You start it all right, but if there is an issue that family start to interfere the*
continues of business, you get to start to change that model of the business, like say, some company is gone to [have] public board, external board, just keep it balance. Family starts it, but it doesn’t mean family should rule it forever. you still can call the business by having the family name, corporation of the family, but the structure has been heading to the future is how the family still control 100% or you actually now have a balance as well (Case 3)

In terms of choosing potential leadership candidates, most of the participants addressed they needed people who know how to run the company as a whole and are willing to give their time and provide the best efforts to achieve business goals. They would implement an internal promotion and assessment mechanism to build up employees’ experiences and allow them to take on increased responsibilities culminating in senior managerial position. For example, Case 5 business owner explicated that he promoted his employees to take over his place regarding the leadership transition.

*I am planning to try to look for my current staff. That’s what I keep telling them, I say to them all the time “one day I get to retire, someone get to stand out of the crowd and take my position. If you think that’s for you, you apply for the job”...internal promotion is the key element for the growth for the business and staff. (Case 6)*

From a strategic point of view, the business owner promoted the internal employees to carry out the main responsibility of the organisation, because this practice could result in qualified individuals filling key managerial position. In addition, it provides a sufficiently wide range of candidates to facilitate the decision of succession. Meanwhile, the availability of these capable employees can reduce the transactions costs from external candidate search, screening, contracting and monitoring.

**Personal Factors**

Individualistic-oriented beliefs were found in the founders’ viewing of succession, which to a large extent is a matter of personal choice. Regarding the decision of intergenerational succession, all participants disagreed that the next generation was explicitly expected to join the business, at the expense of their own interests and preferences. Nor did the business owners consider imposing their expectations and goals to their children. For example, the Case 3 business owner expressed that he strongly intended to leave his children to sort things out and allow them to make their own decisions for their personal and professional growth.

*I think the children themselves they will decide. They may be interested. They may have motivation. If they want, they can make a lot of money. You will see*
whatever my son would like to do. If he likes it, if he is going to make a lot of money, he probably be happy. Maybe, my daughter eventually in few years time, she says she wants to start her own business, but in different line, we may even look and help them. The idea is that the modern younger generation has no clear direction in what they want to do. It will take them a little bit time. For my generation, you had to set up your mind quiet early, you were going to have this career, you were going to have that career, and then, only after certain time, you said “it is not what I want to do. I think I want to try something different”. (Case 3)

The flexibility and adaptability as they related to different individuals from family is a perception of almost all the participants. It appeared to indicate the founders’ view of emphasis on the personal willingness, which can be considered as an individual commitment towards the business’ operations and practices. The Case 1 business owner explained his opinion that relying on the parental expectation was unlikely to keep the business continuing as it used to be, because he believed that the young generation needs to have space to grow and develop their own creation.

Everyone has its own interests. Nobody would be willing to take on their parents’ business to become us, when parents pass away. They are going to sell it, and going on to something else. So everyone must to have an opportunity to do what they wish to do in what they are good at, then have varieties, have opportunities to experience different things. So at some points of time, they are interested in what you are doing, just come in and do a part of it, for experiences and move on (Case 1)

These statements reveal that the perceptions of individualism might significantly impact on the founder’s viewing of succession in the decision-making. The business owners perceived succession mainly as individual choices on basis of personal factor.

Family Factors

The participants reflected there were reasons of joining the family firm based on family factors, because they might feel a unity as the family in the business or because they were expected or even because they viewed it as working experiences to develop general skills. For example, in Case 2, the owner’s perceptive of collective family influence were partially stemming from his experience within his father’s family business. In spite of explicit encouragement by his father and constant grooming from an entry-level to a senior position, he struggled with his own personal desires and the need to fulfil the expectation. Whilst the business created all jobs for his family members, it also generated its specific problems for the family who operated in a very tight-knit environment. The incompatible partnership between
his brother and himself ultimately led to separation.

*It's also part of the family pattern. It's like not leaving home. Although I left home, when you go back into the family business, it's like being home again. When you go to work, all your family around you, you assumed the same position in your family structure as home. So your older brother is always older than you, you got your little sister, your mother, your father, and they all there. We were very involved family business, in my father's business. Three children were working in the business. My mother didn't. But my father and three children worked in the family business. It is family-oriented hierarchy based. (Case 2)*

In addition, the business owners encouraged mine the resources of the existing family business to align the children interests with new business opportunities. For example, the Case 3 business owner viewed that the development of his business engendered the rise to the familial social capital and thereby increased the socioeconomic standing of him and his family. The resources of the growing business became embedded in the business networks. He believed that this form of reciprocity led to chains of support providing assistance for his children who required help within the workplace.

*It's not just providing them jobs. It's about providing them opportunity for the future. Also, I tell my children that because of the business, I meet a lot people, because I am councilor, I meet a lot people, which means, in the future, they want to have an opportunity to do a training a job, find a job, whatever, I can help them. But if I am not doing this, there will be not connections, there will be not contacts, not know people out there who can possibly help them. (Case 3)*

It seems to reflect a certain degree of collectivistic value of the business owners. The family business can provide important resources in the form of nurture, support and effective networks to provide opportunities for self-expression and realisation for each individual family member to reconcile personal inclinations and ambitions.

**DISCUSSION**

This study sought to explore how the first generation family firm owners viewed the decision of succession in Chinese-Australian family businesses. From the participants’ view, a business goal of their family firm was like any other form of organisation that was created to generate economic profits. These participants were found to pursue business sustainability and economic efficiency. Along with the firm development, these business owners were in favour of hiring externally when there was an efficient market of qualified leadership candidates. Such attitude assumed to be based on meritocracy criteria and business-first principles. In
other words, the intention of succession was placed greater emphasis on business factors, as opposed to the explicit consideration for family orientation. They attempted to abandon the norms that only allowed family members to assume the leadership positions. Thus, simply focusing on the family member involvement would not be enough to explain the decisions of succession to sustain the viability of the firm.

The earlier literature review suggested that a strong emphasis on family values and kinship ties is what made overseas Chinese family businesses pursuing long-term perspective on business investments (Carney 1998, Lever-Tracy et al. 2002). Evidence from the interviewed, in Case 2, has confirmed that the basis of the tightness of family relationship was the reason why his father’s family firms had involved the family members in the business that ensured the cohesion and integrity of family life (Whiteside et al. 1993, Whyte 1996). In addition, social capital and network of family firms also facilitated business diversification.

However, Chinese culture heritage is not the only source of a family value system in the Chinese-Australian families. These immigrant families have acquired and adopted copying with the prevailing business and cultural environment in host country where they are established and grow their family and their firm over a period of time (Dion & Dion 2001, Hamilton, Dana & Benfell 2008). Reflection from the interviewed suggested that the desire for a sense of independence was considered an important personal factor in the decision-making regarding the possibility of intergenerational succession.

It is important to identify and understand the founders’ views of business, personal and family factors that determine whether the possibility of family member involvement in the business becomes an actuality. This empirical evidence is consistent with the Stavrou and Swiercz’s (1999) intergenerational transitions model of four dimensions of family, business, personal and market factor. In the context of Chinese-Australian family businesses, the family factor would not be considered as a key predictor in the intergeneration succession. This is because these business owners have prioritised the business factors and personal factors in order to benefit the organisational development.
CONCLUSION

This paper contributes to the continuing conversation about the family’s influence over business succession. This paper illustrates that a succession decision is complicated by different dimensions, such as business, personal and family factors in Chinese-Australian family businesses. It highlights the need to explore the influence of culture in different solutions to adapt to the growth challenges in both family and business aspects within Chinese-Australian family businesses. It seems to be whether intergeneration succession is predictable depends on the factors that drive members of the next generation to participate in the business, rather than rigidly defined rules and expectations from the first generation family firm owners. This insight holds that the internal dynamics of a Chinese-Australian family are not restricted to traditional Chinese cultural dimensions, but rather have adapted to individualistic culture. We suggest that understanding the business owners’ view of the business continuity better articulates the complexity of the succession facing Chinese-Australian family businesses.

Even though generalisations would not be supported by the method and scope of this small-scale research, the exploratory nature of the study with a diverse range of business types has highlighted important issues that can be explored further. We need further inquiry to explore the perspective from the young generation about how would they act toward taking over their parent’s business. Further insight into cultural aspects of family business could be gained by future research that incorporates comparison of Anglo-Australian and Chinese-Australian family businesses.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Case1</th>
<th>Case2</th>
<th>Case3</th>
<th>Case4</th>
<th>Case5</th>
<th>Case6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthplace</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Brunei</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>41-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business type</td>
<td>Education Program Franchisee</td>
<td>Furniture customized Design and retail</td>
<td>Childcare</td>
<td>Financial Planning</td>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>Computer retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of business (years)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in business</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Near 30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20 more</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>Sole proprietor</td>
<td>Sole proprietor</td>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>Sole proprietor</td>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family involvement</td>
<td>Children used to involve in events in Education Centre</td>
<td>Wife as bookkeeper</td>
<td>Daughter</td>
<td>Wife as Operation Director</td>
<td>Wife as Office Assistant</td>
<td>Husband as Office Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid employees (non-family)</td>
<td>Less 20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100 plus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual revenue</td>
<td>1-10 million</td>
<td>1-10 million</td>
<td>1-10 million</td>
<td>1-10 million</td>
<td>1-10 million</td>
<td>1-10 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Factors and Succession decisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner’s values</th>
<th>Succession’s objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Factors</td>
<td>Business-first</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competence/Meritocracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Factors</td>
<td>Individualistic beliefs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Factors</td>
<td>Family unity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social capital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>