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Abstract

This article joins recent debates in media and communication studies concerning audience participation in news journalism. Specifically, we investigate the impact of an increasing reliance on audience-generated content on newsroom practice in traditional media organisations. We do this by recounting and analysing the experiences of journalists involved in ABC Radio’s coverage of the dramatic Victorian bushfires of early 2009, which relied heavily on listener contributions and was closely integrated with the ABC’s online coverage. Interviews with two staff at ABC Gippsland, and with the ABC’s Manager of Emergency Broadcasting provide the basis for a case study of the kinds of tensions that media workers routinely confront within an organisation like the ABC. The interviews suggest that in negotiating the possibility of increased audience participation, journalists and their managers are thinking about much more than the rhetorics of democracy and the validity of news values: their focus is also on a complex of techno-organisational dilemmas, about the shape of organisational structure, the need for skill (re)development and the precise mechanics of creating and maintaining productive relationships with local communities. The significance of the research lies in its attempt to bring together a number of related factors: the increasingly active role of audiences in generating and supplying news content; the impact of digital communications technologies on news production practices; and the ABC’s ongoing development of its now contested role as an ‘emergency broadcaster’.

I just love being a community noticeboard. There’s not much we can do to help, except help you speak. (Libby Gore, ABC 774, 4.20 p.m., 11 February 2009)

Journalists work in a rapidly changing media landscape. Both commercial and public service media outlets have been confronted with, and are responding to, significant developments in online and mobile technologies of production, distribution and reception. Media organisations are replacing analogue with digital platforms, and at the same time many audiences/users – or ‘the people previously known as the audience’ (Rosen, 2006) – are experimenting with tools for actively capturing, producing and distributing their own material and sharing it directly or indirectly with news organisations.1 They are the ‘prosumers’ or ‘produsers’ (Bruns, 2007; Fuchs, 2009) in the so-called ‘new media’ world, and their activities are challenging news organisations struggling to remain relevant, causing them to rethink the rationales and practices shaping the news content they produce. Hierarchical forms of journalism (where journalists ‘know’ and audiences are ‘informed’) have been ‘core business’ of traditional media, but as audiences increasingly have embraced digital devices and platforms, producers have responded by incorporating audience contributions...
into redeveloped formats. Their new status as contributor – acknowledged in institutional texts such as codes of practice, memos, speeches and reports – impacts on journalists’ sense of connection to their audience, on the practical and intellectual stages of news production, on staffing quotas, on position descriptions, and on the exercise of professional judgement. As journalists are stretched in an increasingly intensified work environment, with demands that they provide content more quickly and across varying media platforms, and that they acknowledge and incorporate more audience contributions as they do so, we can reasonably ask ‘What is the effect of audience contributions on the content they produce, and on their rationale for practice?’

As with commercial media organisations, one of the key drivers shaping journalism and other media work inside the Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC) is the organisation’s need to market itself to its audience, and to ponder constantly how it remains different and relevant. Right now, this is taking place with particular reference to ongoing technological redevelopments: the turn to platforms and devices that enable audience participation and connectedness, and the production of new forms of content (including traffic reports relying on audience texting, reader comments on online stories, and audience-centric issue-based talkback sessions). As they work with these new platforms, devices and content forms, ABC journalists and program-makers are confronted with new imperatives. Many are being re-skilled and reclassified to ensure they can work in bi- and tri-media environments. At the same time, regional staff are preparing for the introduction of a new band of producers who will work with communities to create their own content. The ABC Open program promises to build ‘new relationships with our audiences and … new opportunities for collaboration and conversation’ (Scott, in Simons, 2010). As they attempt to negotiate these imperatives, ABC staff routinely are confronted with managerial framings that, in corporate documents such as annual reports and speeches by the managing director Mark Scott, repeatedly use terms such as ‘community’, ‘town squares’ and ‘meeting places’ (Scott, 2008a; ABC, 2009).

In this article, we draw upon interviews with three ABC Local Radio staff whose work was crucial in framing, preparing and presenting news reports about bushfires in Gippsland during January and February 2009, and who routinely negotiate the meaning of what we call the ‘turn to community’. The interviews explored the increasingly active role of audiences in generating and supplying news content, and the impact of digital communications technologies on news production practices. We asked them how these factors, mediated through the particular organisational and cultural structures of the ABC (including its role as an ‘emergency broadcaster’), shaped their role in and experience of ABC Local Radio’s coverage of both fires. By analysing these interactions and processes, we present a case study of the negotiation of media production practices during times of weather-related disaster.

**Black Saturday and before**

Before exploring the tensions and decision-making dilemmas involved in ABC Local Radio’s coverage of the Black Saturday bushfires, it is important to note that another significant fire occurred less than two weeks earlier, in Gippsland’s Latrobe Valley. During 29–31 January, the Delburn Complex fire burned 30 houses, 87 sheds and 10 cars, along with 6385 hectares of land in the rural communities of Boolarra, Mirboo North, Darlimurla and Yinnar (*Latrobe Valley Express*, 2009: 3). Unlike the Black Saturday fires, it began without warning. No lives were lost, but it was an ominous warning – not only for the Gippsland community but for the local media. The two journalists interviewed for this paper had previous experience in disaster reporting of fires and floods in Gippsland in the 2000s, and the Delburn fire reminded them that communication lines with fire authorities are sometimes strained, and in this case they impacted on the ABC’s ability to provide up-to-date information to listeners. As a result, the station’s preparation for the Black
Saturday fires was more organised because the station manager was concerned with being, as he put it in our interview, ‘ahead of the game with the next fire threat’.

Black Saturday claimed the lives of 173 people. It was the worst fire event in Australian history, with more than 1800 homes razed and 7500 people displaced, while the toll on wildlife and livestock ran into the millions. More than 450,000 hectares of land was burnt (Reuters, 2009). Victoria has been at the centre of two other significant Australian fire disasters – Black Friday in 1939 when 71 people died and almost two million hectares were burned (DSE, 2009), and the 1983 Ash Wednesday fires in south eastern Australian that claimed the lives of 47 people in Victoria (Collins, 2006). The Black Saturday fires took hold in many rural Victorian areas, including the Kinglake ranges northeast of Melbourne, Marysville in the Yarra Valley, and the southeast Victorian region of Gippsland. Much of the media coverage concentrated on the Kinglake ranges, where 92 people died (The Age, 2009a). The ranges include the small communities of Arthurs Creek, Kinglake, Pheasant Creek, St Andrews, Strathewen and Whittlesea. The fires that engulfed the areas around Marysville, a popular mountain retreat 100 kilometres northeast of Melbourne, claimed 34 lives (The Age, 2009b). Eleven people died in the Gippsland fires around Calignee, Churchill, Kooramalla and Jeeralang Junction (Hughes, 2009). The Victorian government responded to the high loss of life by establishing the Victorian Bushfires Royal Commission nine days after the devastating fires to investigate the causes and responses to the bushfires (www.royalcommission.vic.gov.au/About-Us). The final report was released in July 2010.

Methodology

The researchers conducted face-to-face, semi-structured interviews with three ABC editorial staff between June and August 2009. The purpose was to explore the processes involved in providing emergency broadcasting through a small regional media outlet, and to consider the impact of changing media producer–audience relations on that outlet’s reporting of the Victorian bushfires in early 2009. Those interviewed were Gerard Callinan, station manager and presenter at ABC Gippsland, Sale; ABC news reporter Mark DeBono, also based in Sale; and Ian Mannix, Head of Emergency Broadcasting and Community Development, based in Adelaide. A purposive strategy was applied in securing the participants. We selected them based on their ability to provide in-depth information about the processes of production and decision-making as they occurred in the particular context of the Black Saturday bushfires in Gippsland. More broadly, we sought their perspective on the increasing reliance on audience contribution to news production and content. The interviews were professionally transcribed and a copy sent to each participant. The three were invited to read the transcript and make any corrections in transcription, verify their account and check facts. The ABC’s proviso to participation was that the three interviewees and ABC management read a draft of any paper produced by this research before it was published.

We do not claim this qualitative research project to be neutral or unbiased, since we lived in the area where the devastation occurred, devised the theme areas for the project, chose the interviewees, asked the questions, interpreted transcripts, developed a paper and selected pertinent excerpts. In making this case study contribution about media processes during times of disaster, we therefore acknowledge playing an active role in constituting knowledge about the media; we cannot pretend that we are merely interpreting it. As Mary Hawkesworth (1989) posits:

Discussions of the ‘situatedness’ of knowers suggest that the claims of every knower reflect a particular perspective shaped by social, cultural, political and personal factors and that the perspective of each knower contains blind spots, tacit presuppositions, and prejudgements of which the individual is unaware. (1989: 554)
Finally, we do not suggest that everything interviewees say can be understood as objective ‘truth’, but we do understand and treat their words as part of their individual ‘truth’ and experiential knowledge of key issues within a complex public media organisation. Arguably, this paper may have been enhanced by further in-depth interviews with, for example, the producer at Sale on the days of the fires, or ABC staff in Melbourne. However, we think the significance of this project lies in its exploration of themes developed before the interview and those that arose during the interview process, providing a micro case study of editorial decision-making processes at times of disaster, and wider debates about the changing nature of journalism practice.

News production, the ABC and the rhetoric of ‘community’

The ABC is a large, corporatised bureaucracy, with a complex divisional structure. Content production and delivery are undertaken in four areas – news, radio, TV and online – while various support departments (editorial policy, legal, marketing, ABC Commercial, etc.) provide services that keep the others running (ABC, 2009). Despite funding cuts under successive federal governments, it has, according to long-time media commentator and journalist Margaret Simons, ‘the best current affairs and news service’ in Australia (Simons, 2007: 174). A total of 2240 staff work in news, radio and TV, and a further 380 work in ‘technology and distribution’ (ABC, 2009: 207). Its most significant advantage over other news providers has been its early and eager embrace of new technology and digital service delivery. The ABC is arguably Australia’s best-adapted online news medium, and its 2009 bushfires website (www.abc.net.au/news/events/bushfires) is a convincing and pertinent example.

When Mark Scott was appointed managing director in 2006, the implications of new technologies for traditional journalism practice were becoming a topic of public debate. Newspaper circulation was continuing to fall as the once-rich ‘rivers of gold’ advertising revenue dried up, and as the early tremors of a global financial crisis began to be felt. At the same time, a crisis in the future of journalism was being canvassed in relation to the impact of digital technological advances – advances that make everyone a potential ‘citizen journalist’. In a 2008 lecture, Scott heralded a push for the ABC to better use digital technology and ‘build new ways for Australians to tell their stories to each other’ (Scott, 2008a). In other words, the notion of ‘storytelling’ is a key element of this ‘shift to community’, part of a strategy for positioning the ABC as ‘relevant’ to the lives of its audiences (despite the demise of older notions of ‘public service’) and in particular as leading in the area of online innovation.

The ABC’s role as Victoria’s only emergency broadcaster has been an important element in the Corporation’s ongoing attempt to redefine itself as having a strong community focus. In 2004, ABC Local Radio signed a Memorandum of Understanding with Victorian emergency service agencies to ensure it had access to relevant and up-to-date information, and that emergency services could relay information to communities in times of crisis (Harper, 2008; Lallo, 2009). Under this MoU, other news organisations struggled to gain timely access to emergency information, because the ABC was given priority (Lallo, 2009). ABC Local Radio has used emergency broadcasting as a branding device (e.g. ‘ABC, your emergency services broadcaster’), and in that sense emergency broadcasting can be seen as not just an important ‘service to the community’, but as a key rhetorical marker of relevance and innovation for ‘branding’ the organisation that the ABC has used in seeking to justify public investment. In fact, the ABC’s Head of Emergency Broadcasting and Community Development, Ian Mannix, defines emergency broadcasting (EB) as ‘community development, building stronger networks, saving
communities, maintaining communities, bringing people together to save each other’. In this view, EB is made synonymous with ‘community’.

The rhetoric of community appears to have widespread circulation across the Corporation’s staff, with our three interviewees articulating a desire that the ABC connect with ‘community’, although in somewhat different ways. Reporter Mark DeBono explained community as a kind of reciprocal relationship, which – if well nurtured – is the lifeblood of successful regional journalism:

Community need is a big one in regional Victoria … we like to introduce to our younger journalists who come through that there is an attachment to the community and we feel that more acutely because we are more accessible to the community than perhaps the Melbourne newsroom. (De Bono, 2009: 3)

Here he not only signals a rational journalistic process of contact and story development; the italics highlight a connection to community like that of a personal relationship – the journalists’ attachment, and the community’s reciprocal need for the journalists and what they provide.

Yet Mannix indicates a resistance to this shift to community inside the ABC:

The ABC has limited understanding and connection to the community because of its foundations in news … generally, our staff remain arms length from community networks … we have got people who say to us ‘I can’t be on a school council because I then won’t be able to talk about education’, other people say ‘I can’t be on a charity or welfare agency because then I won’t be impartial’ … and over time the ABC culture in the news division has been to avoid those elements of community … [but on the other hand] ABC Local Radio particularly wants to be right in the centre of its community. (Mannix, 2009)

For ‘community’ to be a key driver of the ABC’s continued existence, Mannix argues, there needs to be a significant shift in how journalism is defined and understood. As Emergency Broadcasting Head, he has sought to bring the ‘traditional values’ of journalism to this particular role. The kind of news work now done under the banner of Emergency Broadcasting, within the domain of Local Radio, should be ‘helping’ the community, rather than challenging it:

our traditional version of news now is about issues, it’s about division, it’s about conflict, it’s about challenging community norms, where I am saying journalism can be about helping the community. Different thing really. (Mannix, 2009)

This softer approach to news (an emphasis on infotainment/entertainment) is reflected in mainstream media around the world, but rarely is it couched as community-building in such a large media organisation. Yet Mannix is confident this shift will not subsume ‘the values of journalism’ – indeed, ‘community’ becomes a news value:

We are changing the nature; our focus needs to get away from entertainment and engagement … here is something new for journalists to focus on, using the values of journalism to build a better community.

By necessity, journalists’ traditional news-gathering tasks and routines need to adapt (to, for example, the recent introduction of an ABC 24/7 free-to-air news channel), and as a consequence fewer stories will be generated by journalists in traditional ways:

PD: If you have a torrent of user-generated content …

IM: Which we do …
**PD:** And you have people who are trained journalists becoming moderators of user-generated content, does that mean they have less time for seeking out stories independently?

**IM:** Yeah absolutely … you have got to take that incoming information and decide whether to use it or not.

**News in regional radio**

Flexibility and multi-skilling are essential skills in regional radio journalism. While editorial staff in metropolitan ABC stations often report across three platforms, producing reports for television, radio and online platforms (tri-media newsroom), Gippsland’s five editorial staff contribute to radio and online content (bi-media). Reporter Mark DeBono provides an interesting take on this multi-skilling. During the Delburn fires, he played a pivotal role in directing Melbourne-based TV crews to Gippsland locations. Those crews relied on DeBono’s local knowledge to enable them to avoid road blocks. Moreover, the multi-skilling demands at the ABC affect regional journalists in a different way than metropolitan journalists: ‘I have to produce bulletins, I have to read bulletins, and I have to write bulletins, whereas at Melbourne there is someone for each of those jobs,’ DeBono said. In small communities, journalists often interview ‘talent’ they know, and this lack of anonymity for Callinan and DeBono creates perhaps an increased sense of responsibility to the source and the story angle. Callinan explained his preference for stories that interest the audience rather than stories that stimulate journalists’ sense of traditional news values:

Historically, there might have been a sense of ‘we should do this story because it’s worthy’, or ‘we should do this story because it’s the story we did this time last year’. (Callinan, 2009)

While Callinan maintains that news values are still employed in news selection processes, the fear of not receiving talkback because of poor story selection is always there. ‘On our morning programs here out of Gippsland we sometimes sit in the editorial meetings and say “But if we do that story we know that the audience is not going to engage.”’ The benefit of connecting with listeners, and the generation of stories that communities want, was made clear by DeBono:

We try and develop a relationship with our listeners, and that’s a relationship of trust and a relationship of accessibility. We get a lot of stories that are just someone ringing up and saying ‘hey, do you know this is happening’, or a letter slipped under the door or an email and it’s the contacts you build in the community. (DeBono, 2009)

**Covering the crisis**

In crisis reporting, the usual journalistic protocols or ‘rules’ governing content production – for example, pre-selection of talkback callers, verification of sources and content accuracy – are put to the test. ‘The most important thing in a situation like this,’ DeBono explained, ‘is to be absolutely adaptable to change. As soon as the situation changes we have to be able to change around it.’ All staff need to produce information at a rapid rate, but in regional studios like Gippsland, workers’ stress levels are exacerbated by working very long shifts, a consequence of fewer available staff, and accompanied by the sense that their community is relying on them. Callinan explained that this has a particular meaning for a broadcaster/journalist in this context, where it is impossible to retain an essential sense of separation from ‘those we report on’:
This is our community that is under threat, and so I personally – and I think the team here also – feel a great sense of wanting to assist and be with the community during that time, not so that they can feel good about themselves and to get the pats on the back and all that sort of stuff, but so they can actually just be with their friends. We know some people who live around Jeeralang Junction and Churchill [where people died in the fires] and even if we don’t, we have been there to events or we have just been there for a beer, or a game of football, or game of golf whatever it might be. So this is our backyard, so there is a sense of wanting to be there for the people in our backyard. (Callinan, 2009)

At the same time, in a crisis like the Black Saturday bushfires there is a reliance on information coming into the station. DeBono notes that ‘when there are limited numbers of journalists, then the people out there on the other end of the phone become more important for gathering stories’. This raises the concomitant issue of who talks and who is trusted to talk:

It depends on what those individuals are saying and how qualified they are to say it. We don’t just let anybody get on and say whatever they like – they have to have either a track record or a qualification or some standing in the community, representative of some upper body in the community. (DeBono, 2009)

This might be the case in the news department, where they take some talkback voices used in general programming for news stories; however, in general programming talkback, by DeBono’s and Callinan’s own admission, consists mainly of ‘regulars’ – and for the most part they are not representative of the community. DeBono’s position here also indicates that particular types of voices are privileged in news production: those known (read safe), and therefore static (and often friends), reinforcing the homogenous and hierarchal nature of talent selection. In a crisis situation like the Black Saturday bushfires, it seemed that almost anyone could get on to talkback. Callinan was asked whether anybody was qualifying the phone calls into the station that potentially contained misinformation about the status of the fires:

LN: It’s a tenuous position to be in.
GC: Very much so, and it’s a conversation that the ABC is having at the moment around its editorial policies about the verification of what people tell you, but at the same time, what we always try to do is … actually trust people. We trust people in a state of emergency to be honest with us and to tell us what they can see and when they tell it, when we ask them ‘What can you see?’, ‘Where are you?’, that they are being accurate and they are being truthful. It’s a huge leap of faith in some ways, but that is what we do.

Conclusion

When Mark Scott said in 2008 that the ABC was focused on building ‘new ways for Australians to tell their stories to each other’, it is likely he wasn’t imagining floods of people phoning in to tell harrowing stories of bushfire survival and fear, or uploading hundreds of images to the ABC’s websites while reporters were few on the ground (in Gippsland, at least), carrying out the traditional task of news-gathering. But that’s precisely what they did. What’s interesting about that? We can see the increased volume of audience contribution to news as both practices enabled by new media, and at the same time as an event performing the very identity the ABC now wishes to take on. In 2000, its mission was ‘to provide audiences with the best programs’ (ABC, 2001: 4, emphasis
added). It saw its role in 2008 as ‘connecting with audiences through distinctive content’ (ABC, 2008: 7, emphasis added). And by the end of 2009, the ABC argued that it had:

acquired the status of the ‘town square’ in which the national conversation takes place. As both catalyst and host of this conversation, the Corporation will continue to use digital platforms and fast networks to redefine ideas about the nature of community. (2009: 24)

This is evidence of a shift in direction that speaks to the idea of the active audience, as an entity now allocated a voice, able to have input and not just able to listen. The ABC in 2009 no longer simply ‘serves’; it also facilitates ‘conversation’. ‘Storytelling’ has until recently been the province of trained journalists, but new technologies enable new methods of storytelling and allow myriad new voices to be heard. As Bruns (2008) argues, new forms of audience contribution don’t mean professional journalism will suddenly disappear, or is no longer needed, ‘but it does mean that it’s important to recognise where non-journalists know more about an issue than journalists do’. But is it a question only of knowledge? ABC Local Radio’s coverage of the Black Saturday fires presents a very useful example of the complexities that are fuelling debates about the history and possible futures of news journalism. In this article, we have explored how the 2009 Victorian bushfires foregrounded particular tensions, shifts and contentions in the work of news production – and also in the role and status of ‘journalists’ – which bear a particular relationship to changes in the role, status and/or configuration of the audience. We’ve argued that at the level of management, the ABC’s current attempt to subtly reframe the Corporation’s activities and output in terms of connectivity – what we’ve called a ‘shift to community’ – is at least partly a consequence of the felt need to engage with new media (new platforms, new devices, new audience capacities and interests) in order to remain relevant and technologically innovative. We’ve explored how three different ABC workers – a broadcaster, a news journalist and a senior manager – indicate they engage with the concept of community, and what impact that had at the moment of Black Saturday.

The rhetoric of ‘community’ is loud and clear in the language of the ABC. It is a tool in persuasive arguments about the Corporation’s ongoing role and relevance to Australian audiences. At a time when the rhetoric of ‘public service’ appears to have reduced currency, and in the context of the opportunities presented by digital media, tropes of ‘community service’, ‘town squares’ and ‘storytelling’ provide a convenient replacement. The rhetoric of ‘community’ has a number of different meanings, which shift according to the context within which staff work. It is both an organisational imperative for managers like Mannix, as well as a lived reality negotiated on a daily basis by news reporters and program-makers – particularly those based in regional studios. When Libby Gore, reporting on communities recovering from the Black Saturday fires, said that ‘I just love being a community noticeboard. There’s not much we can do to help, except help you speak’, she draws on the organisational imperative of connectedness, and at the same time sells the idea of traditional news-making as somehow reduced in importance, while the community becomes the empowered news-maker.
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Notes

1 For example, journalists now routinely access information from social networking sites, particularly Facebook, in the pursuit and development of news stories. One high-profile case included Sydney’s Daily Telegraph publishing images from Australian swimmer Stephanie Rice’s Facebook site (Saurine, 2008).

2 By ‘audience centric issue-based talkback’, we refer to a particular way of constructing the host–audience interactions that is still a relatively recent addition (i.e. over the last decade) to ABC talkback. Program-makers tend to start with a news story (e.g. ‘The Head of Victoria’s Bushfire Reconstruction and Recovery Authority Christine Nixon is being attacked in the media, but her father has come out in support’), then turn it upside down and ask listeners to respond (‘When was the last time someone stood up for you?’).

3 Formal contact was made with Callinan and De Bono about six weeks after the Black Saturday fires. The researchers informally briefed Callinan and DeBono about the planned research, and asked for their involvement. Both agreed to an interview and we then sought written approval from line managers, which took about four months. Callinan’s interview took place in his office at ABC’s Sale studio on 17 June 2009; DeBono’s interview took place on 31 July 2009 at the ABC studio in Sale. Mannix was interviewed in Melbourne.

4 This was an exclusive position it held until 2009. Prior to the Black Saturday bushfires, Victoria had been the only state to have the ABC as its official emergency broadcaster. In October 2009, the federal government and industry body Commercial Radio Australia (CRA) agreed to allow AM and FM commercial radio stations use the ‘emergency broadcaster’ tag. A new MoU between the Victorian government and CRA allows for nearly 30 commercial radio stations across Victoria to become official emergency broadcasters (www.premier.vic.gov.au/newsroom/bushfire-media-releases/8525-victorias-commercial-radio-stations-sign-on-to-be-official-emergency-broadcasters-.html).
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