

DRO

Deakin University's Research Repository

This is the published version:

Mohamed, Shady M.K. and Nahavandi, Saeid 2009, Optimal linear data fusion for systems with missing measurements, in *ICONS 2009 : Proceedings of The 2nd IFAC International Conference on Intelligent Control and Signal Processing*, International Federation of Automatic Control, Laxenburg, Austria, pp. 1-4.

Available from Deakin Research Online:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30025589>

Reproduced with the kind permission of the copyright owner.

Copyright : 2009, International Federation of Automatic Control

Optimal Linear Data Fusion for Systems with Missing Measurements

Shady M. K. Mohamed* Prof. Saeid Nahavandi**

* *Centre for Intelligent Systems Research, Deakin University, Australia
(e-mail: shady.mohamed@ deakin.edu.au).*

** *Alfre Deakin Proffessor at Deakin University. Head of Centre for
Intelligent Systems Research, Deakin University, Australia (e-mail:
saeid.nahavandi@deakin.edu.au).*

Abstract:

In this paper, we provide the optimal data fusion filter for linear systems suffering from possible missing measurements. The noise covariance in the observation process is allowed to be singular which requires the use of generalized inverse. The data fusion process is made on the raw data provided by two sensors observing the same entity. Each of the sensors is losing the measurements in its own data loss rate. The data fusion filter is provided in a recursive form for ease of implementation in real-world applications.

Keywords: Data fusion, Kalman filter, generalised inverse.

1. INTRODUCTION

Multi-sensor data fusion is defined as the process of combining data from multiple resources to improve the quality of the estimation process. The data resources may be chosen to be of the same type or of different types. The first case increases system reliability and the second case increases the knowledge about the system. The multi-sensor data fusion has been investigated by many research bodies, Hall (1992), Hall and Llinas (1997) for a typical literature review. Most of the research about multi-sensor data fusion considers the development of algorithms and architectures that increase the usability and feasibility of the estimation process, however, they assumed perfect sensor conditions and perfect communication channels. In this research we handle the problem of data fusion within the non-ideal case of uncertain observations. The sensors involved in the data fusion process are subject to random losses of measurements. The loss in measurements can be due to individual sensor conditions or interruptions in the communication channels.

The problem of missing measurements has been investigated by many researchers. It was first addressed for a class of linear filters by Nahi in Nahi. (1969) who obtained the optimal state estimator for systems with missing measurements. In the former reference, the uncertainty in the observations was assumed to be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). The work in Jaffer and Gupta. (1971) has generalized the work of Nahi where the uncertainty is not necessarily i.i.d. The work in Carazo and Perez (1994) extended Nahi's work to the case where the noise from the state and the noise from the measurements are correlated. Later, the missing measurements problem was considered from covariance assignment viewpoint in NaNacara and Yaz (1994). In the latter reference, all the possible estimation error covariance were characterized and an upper bound on these estimation error covariances

was presented. In Nilsson and Bernhardsson (1998) and Costa and Guerra (2002), the problem was formulated as a jump linear system (JLS) switching between an open-loop configuration and a closed-loop configuration. The proposed new formulation enabled the authors in Nilsson and Bernhardsson (1998) and Costa and Guerra (2002) to study the convergence criteria of the expected estimation error covariance. However, they restricted the Kalman gain to be constant.

In recent years, the work in Yang et al. (2002) proposed the formulation of the problem as a solution to two discrete Ricatti difference equations. Using this formulation, they found the sufficient conditions for the filter that guarantees an optimized upper-bound on the estimation error covariance. The proper formulation of the convergence of the state error covariance was provided in Sinopoli et al. (2004). The problem of missing measurements was considered for a class of discrete-time linear systems that suffer from modeling uncertainties in Wang et al. (2005).

The main difference between the aforementioned research and the proposed results is the consideration of the missing measurements problem in the context of multi-sensor data fusion. This viewpoint of the problem required new formulations and new derivations for the estimation filters.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. The next section presents the results of deriving the data fusion filter for measurements from two linear sensors suffering from missing measurements. Simulation results will be provided in section 3. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. OPTIMAL DATA FUSION FILTER

In this section, the optimal data fusion of data obtained by two sensors will be presented. Generally, there are two different situations:

Situation 1:

Both sensors have the same measurements arrival rate β_k and sequence of missing measurements.

Situation 2:

Each sensor has its own measurements arrival rate $\beta_k^{(1)}, \beta_k^{(2)}$. In this paper, we will consider this situation.

2.1 Generalized Inverse

Since the proposed technique does not require the noise covariance of the observation process to be non-singular, the use of the generalized inverse approach will be needed. The generalized inverse is defined as follows:

Definition 1. A generalized inverse of a matrix A is a matrix $A^\#$ such that

$$AA^\#A = A$$

and if A is non-singular then

$$A^\# = A^{-1}$$

The following lemma is important for applying the generalized inverse technique

Lemma 2. (Elliot and van der Hoek. (April 2006)). The gen-

eralized inverse of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ is the matrix

$\begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix}$ where

$$E = A^\# + A^\#BS_A^\#CA^\#$$

$$F = -A^\#BS_A^\#$$

$$G = -S_A^\#CA^\#$$

$$H = S_A^\#$$

and $S_A = D - CA^\#B$.

2.2 Solution to Situation 2: Each Sensor Has Different Data Loss Rate

In this section, we will provide the solution to the problem of data fusion for measurements obtained from linear filters subject to missing measurements. It is assumed that each sensor has a different data loss rate.

Problem Formulation and Assumptions The system under consideration is defined as

$$x_{k+1} = A_{k+1}x_k + w_{k+1} \quad (1)$$

and the observation process definition is

$$y_k^{(12)} = \gamma_k^{(12)} H_k^{(12)} x_k + v_k^{(12)} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{where } \gamma_{k+1}^{(12)} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{k+1}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{k+1}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and let } \beta_{k+1}^{(12)} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $y_k^{(i)}, i = 1, 2$ is the output from the first and the second sensor, w_{k+1} and $v_k^{(i)}$ are the uncorrelated noise

sequences with zero mean and covariance $\mathbf{E}[w_k w_k^T] = Q_k \delta_{kl}$ and $\mathbf{E}[v_k^{(i)} v_l^{(i)T}] = R_k^{(i)} \delta_{kl}$ where δ_{kl} is the Kronecker delta function and H_k is the sensor processing matrix. It is assumed that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[x_0 w_k] &= 0 \\ \mathbf{E}[x_0 v_k^{(i)}] &= 0 \\ \mathbf{E}[v_k^{(i)} v_k^{(j)}] &= 0 \\ \mathbf{E}[v_k^{(i)} w_l] &= 0 \\ \hat{x}_0 &= x_0 \\ \mathbf{E}[x_0 x_0^T] &= P_0 \end{aligned}$$

These assumptions assigns the initial values for the state estimate and error covariance. The assumptions also state that there is no correlation between the state and the noises or between the noise from the system and the noise from the sensor.

The variable $\gamma_{k+1}^{(i)}$ is a Bernoulli sequence taking values 0 and 1 randomly, $i = 1, 2$ referencing the sensor number and $\mathbf{E}[\gamma_k^{(i)} = 1] = \beta_k^{(i)}$, where $\beta_k^{(i)}$ is the percentage of measurements that contain the signal and the noise and it is assumed known in prior as it can be estimated approximately by simulation sessions.

Unbiased Data Fusion Filter Form

Lemma 3. The filter form that guarantees estimation unbiasedness will be

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(k+1|k+1)^{(12)} &= A_{k+1} \hat{x}(k|k)^{(12)} + K_{k+1}^{(12)} [y_{k+1}^{(12)} \\ &\quad - \beta_{k+1}^{(12)} H_{k+1}^{(12)} \hat{x}(k+1|k)^{(12)}] \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Computation of the Optimal Filter Gain $K_{k+1}^{(12)}$

Lemma 4. The optimal filter gain $K_{k+1}^{(12)}$ will be of the form

$$K_{k+1}^{(12)} = C_{k+1}^{(12)} D_{k+1}^{(12)\#} \quad (4)$$

where $C_{k+1}^{(12)} = [L_1 \ L_2]$

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \mathbf{E}[(x_{k+1} - A_{k+1} \hat{x}(k|k)^{(12)}) (y_{k+1}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} \hat{x}(k+1|k)^{(12)T})] \\ &= \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} A_{k+1} P_k^{(12)} A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(1)T} + \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} Q_{k+1} H_{k+1}^{(1)} \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= \mathbf{E}[(x_{k+1} - A_{k+1} \hat{x}(k|k)^{(12)}) (y_{k+1}^{(2)} \\ &\quad - \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} H_{k+1}^{(2)} \hat{x}(k+1|k)^{(12)T})] \\ &= \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} A_{k+1} P_k^{(12)} A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(2)T} + \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} Q_{k+1} H_{k+1}^{(2)} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Finding value of $D_{k+1}^{(12)}$, let $D_{k+1}^{(12)} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= \mathbf{E}[(y_{k+1}^{(1)} - \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} \hat{x}(k|k)^{(12)}) (y_{k+1}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} \hat{x}(k|k)^{(12)T})] \\ &= \beta_{k+1}^{(1)2} H_{k+1}^{(1)} A_{k+1} P_k^{(12)} A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(1)} + \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} (1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\beta_{k+1}^{(1)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} A_{k+1} X_k A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(1)T} \\
& +\beta_{k+1}^{(1)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} Q_{k+1} H_{k+1}^{(1)T} + R_{k+1}^{(1)}
\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{12} &= \mathbf{E}[(y_{k+1}^{(1)} - \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} \hat{x}(k|k)^{(12)})(y_{k+1}^{(2)} \\
& - \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} H_{k+1}^{(2)} \hat{x}(k|k)^{(12)})^T] \\
&= \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} A_{k+1} P_k^{(12)} A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(2)} \\
& + \beta_{k+1}^{(1)} \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} H_{k+1}^{(1)} Q_{k+1} H_{k+1}^{(2)T}
\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and in a similar manner

$$L_{21} = L_{12}^T \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{22} &= \beta_{k+1}^{(2)2} H_{k+1}^{(2)} A_{k+1} P_k^{(12)} A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(2)} + \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} (1 \\
& - \beta_{k+1}^{(2)}) H_{k+1}^{(2)} A_{k+1} X_k A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(2)T} \\
& + \beta_{k+1}^{(2)} H_{k+1}^{(2)} Q_{k+1} H_{k+1}^{(2)T} + R_{k+1}^{(2)}
\end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By substituting (5), (6), (7), (8), (9) and (10) into (4) the data fusion gain $K_{k+1}^{(12)}$ can be computed for situation 2.

Computation of the Data Fusion Error Covariance $P_{k+1}^{(12)}$
The data fusion error covariance can be computed using the following lemma:

Lemma 5. The estimation error covariance $P_{k+1}^{(12)}$ will be

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{k+1}^{(12)} &= \mathbf{E}[(x_{k+1} - \hat{x}(k+1|k+1)^{(12)})(x_{k+1} \\
& - \hat{x}(k+1|k+1)^{(12)})^T] \\
&= [A_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1}^{(12)} K_{k+1}^{(12)} H_{k+1}^{(12)} A_{k+1}] P_k^{(12)} [A_{k+1} \\
& - \beta_{k+1}^{(12)} K_{k+1}^{(12)} H_{k+1}^{(12)} A_{k+1}]^T \\
& + [I - \beta_{k+1}^{(12)} K_{k+1}^{(12)} H_{k+1}^{(12)}] Q_{k+1} [I \\
& - \beta_{k+1}^{(12)} K_{k+1}^{(12)} H_{k+1}^{(12)}]^T \\
& + K_{k+1}^{(12)} R_{k+1}^{(12)} K_{k+1}^{(12)T} \\
& + \beta_{k+1}^{(12)} (1 - \beta_{k+1}^{(12)}) K_{k+1}^{(12)} H_{k+1}^{(12)} A_{k+1} \\
& \cdot X_k A_{k+1}^T H_{k+1}^{(12)T} K_{k+1}^{(12)T}
\end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{k+1} &= \mathbf{E}[x_{k+1} x_{k+1}^T] \\
&= A_k X_k A_k^T + Q_k
\end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

By defining the filter form in (3), the optimal filter gain in (4) and the error covariance in (11), the proposed data fusion filter will be fully described. In the next section, a simulation example will demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed filter.

3. SIMULATION RESULTS

The proposed filter (3) was tested on a linear scalar discrete-time system with parameters $A = 1$, $H^{(1)} = 3$, $H^{(2)} = 3$ and $\beta^{(1)} = 0.8$, $\beta^{(2)} = 0.7$. It was assumed that




Fig. 1. Data fusion error covariance obtained from conventional Kalman filter against the proposed data fusion filter for linear systems when $\beta^{(1)} = 0.8$ and $\beta^{(2)} = 0.7$.

the measurements were taken from two sensors observing the same system.

The simulation test was made with the assumption that the measurements loss rate for the first sensor was 20% and the measurements loss rate for the second sensor was 30%. The comparison between the error variance obtained from applying the proposed filter and the Kalman filter proves that the proposed filter is more robust against the measurements loss problem.

In the case of different data loss rates, as in Fig. 1, it is meant that the pattern of losing the measurements is different for each sensor. So, even if both sensors have the same data loss rate but they have different measurements loss sequence, then they are considered as having different measurements loss rates and they belong to situation 2.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The problem of data fusion for linear systems with missing measurements was handled in this paper. Since there is no guarantee that the estimation error covariance is nonsingular, the use of generalized inverse technique is adopted in replacement to the conventional inverse of the covariance matrix.

The data fusion filter for measurements obtained from two sensors was designed. The performance of the data fusion filter was compared to the performance of the conventional Kalman filter. The simulation results showed the effectiveness of the proposed data fusion filter. However, if the linear system under consideration is not losing measurements, then, the results from the proposed data fusion filter and the results from the Kalman filter will be identical. Furthermore, the proposed filters were designed to be in a recursive form to be easy for implementation. The estimation error covariance was derived to describe the dynamics of the system.

REFERENCES

Carazo, A. and Perez, J. (1994). Linear estimation for discrete-time systems in the presence of time-correlated

- disturbances and uncertain observations. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 39(8), 1636–1638. doi: 10.1109/9.310039.
- Costa, O. and Guerra, S. (2002). Stationary filter for linear minimum mean square error estimator of discrete-time markovian jump systems. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 47(8), 1351–1356. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2002.800745.
- Elliot, R.J. and van der Hoek., J. (April 2006). Optimal linear estimation and data fusion. *IEEE Tans. on Automatic Control.*, 51.
- Hall, D.L. (1992). *Mathematical Techniques in Multisensor Data Fusion*. Artech House, Inc., Norwood, MA, USA.
- Hall, D. and Llinas, J. (1997). An introduction to multisensor data fusion. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 85(1), 6–23. doi:10.1109/5.554205.
- Jaffer, A.G. and Gupta., S.C. (1971). Recursive bayesian estimation with uncertain observation. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory (Corresp.)*, IT-17, 614–616.
- Nahi., N.E. (1969). Optimal recursive estimation with uncertain observation. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-15, 457–462.
- NaNacara, W. and Yaz, E. (1994). Linear and nonlinear estimation with uncertain observations. *American Control Conference, 1994*, 2, 1429–1433 vol.2. doi: 10.1109/ACC.1994.752299.
- Nilsson, J. and Bernhardsson, B. (1998). Stochastic analysis and control of real-time systems with random time delays. *Automatica*, 34, 57–64.
- Sinopoli, B., Schenato, L., Franceschetti, M., Poolla, K., Jordan, M., and Sastry, S. (2004). Kalman filtering with intermittent observations. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 49(9), 1453–1464. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2004.834121.
- Wang, Z., Yang, F., Ho, D., and Liu, X. (2005). Robust finite-horizon filtering for stochastic systems with missing measurements. *Signal Processing Letters, IEEE*, 12(6), 437–440. doi:10.1109/LSP.2005.847890.
- Yang, F., Wang, Z., and Hung, Y. (2002). Robust kalman filtering for discrete time-varying uncertain systems with multiplicative noises. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 47(7), 1179–1183. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2002.800668.