



# Examining bone, muscle and fat in middle-aged longterm endurance runners: A cross-sectional study

4 Ulrike H. Mitchell <sup>1,\*</sup>, Bruce Bailey <sup>1</sup> and Patrick J Owen <sup>2</sup>

- Department of Exercise Sciences, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, United States of America;
   bruce\_bailey@byu.edu
- <sup>2</sup> Deakin University, Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), School of Exercise and Nutrition
   Sciences, Geelong, Victoria, Australia; p.owen@deakin.edu.au
- 9 \* Correspondence: rike\_mitchell@byu.edu; Tel.: (001) 801 422 3344
- 10 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

11 Abstract: Aerobic exercise training has many known cardiovascular benefits that may promote 12 healthy aging. It is not known if long-term aerobic exercise training is also associated with structural 13 benefits (e.g. lower fat mass, higher areal bone mineral density (BMD) and greater muscle mass). 14 We evaluated these parameters in middle-aged long-term endurance runners compared to sex-, 15 age-, height- and weight-matched non-running controls. Total and regional lean and fat mass and 16 areal BMD were assessed by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. Sagittal magnetic resonance images 17 captured the cross-sectional area and thickness of the lumbar multifidus. Runners (n=10; all male) 18 had a mean (standard deviation; SD) age of 49 (4) yr, height of 178.9 (4.9) cm, weight of 67.8 (5.8) kg, 19 body mass index (BMI) of 21.4 (1.4) kg/m<sup>2</sup> and had been running 82.6 (27.9) km/wk for 23 (13) yr. 20 Controls (n=9) had a mean (SD) age of 51 (5) yr, height of 176.0 (5.1) cm, weight of 72.8 (7.1) kg and 21 BMI of 23.7 (2.1) kg/m<sup>2</sup>. BMI was greater in controls (p=0.010). When compared to controls on 22 average, runners had a 10 percentage-point greater total body lean mass than controls (p=0.001) and 23 14% greater trunk lean mass (p=0.010), as well as less total body (8.6 kg; p<0.001), arm (58%; p=0.002), 24 leg (52%; *p*<0.001), trunk (73%; *p*<0.001), android (91%; *p*<0.001) and gynoid fat mass (64%; *p*<0.001). 25 No differences were observed between groups for BMD outcomes or multifidus size. These results 26 underscore the benefits of endurance running to body composition that carry over to middle-age.

- 27 Keywords: exercise; body composition; adipose tissue; healthy aging; running
- 28

1

Article

## 29 1. Introduction

30 As the number of older people in the world rapidly increases, determining lifestyle behaviors 31 that may positively impact health are warranted [1]. Regular aerobic exercise training, such as 32 running, has been touted as having a 'multi-system anti-aging effect' [1], capable of improving a 33 range of health-related outcomes associated with chronic diseases [2], such as those underscoring 34 cardiometabolic risk [3]. Potential explanations for these overall benefits of aerobic exercise training 35 may in part stem from training-induced increases in lean mass and decreases in fat mass [4,5], as well 36 potential increases in bone mineral density (BMD) [6,7]. However, there is limited evidence to date 37 supporting that these benefits of aerobic exercise training are also seen in middle-aged adults.

38 In clinical practice, body composition is commonly measured with dual energy X-ray 39 absorptiometry (DXA) in part due to accessibility, ease of analyses and lower radiation dose when 40 compared to computed tomography (CT) [8]. DXA can measure total body and regional fat mass with 41 high precision (CV of 0.9-4.4%) [9] and correlation compared to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; 42 r=0.990) and CT (r=0.979) at the same sites [10]. While total body fat percentage is a robust measure 43 of relative adiposity and energy stores [11], patterns of fat distribution (e.g. android and gynoid) 44 appear more important when assessing the health of an individual. For example, excess visceral fat 45 (i.e. that accumulated in the android region) was identified as a risk factor for coronary heart disease

and metabolic syndrome [12,13] and android to gynoid fat mass ratio was shown to better predict
cardiometabolic (CM) risk when compared to general obesity (e.g. body mass index >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) [14].
Given that there is evidence that aerobic exercise training can decrease visceral fat stores in general
populations [15], it is of value to determine if similar effects are associated with long-term aerobic
exercise training in middle-aged adults.

51 Lean mass declines with age, with this trend beginning in the fourth decade and accelerating 52 thereafter [16]. Decreases in muscle strength follow and become disproportionately greater than the 53 loss of muscle mass with increasing age [17]. While the loss of muscle mass and strength can be 54 mitigated with regular resistance exercise training [18], currently 50% of those aged 80 yr or greater 55 possess clinically low amount of lean mass [19]. This highlights that preventative practices may be 56 lacking during middle-age. There is less consensus on the effect of aerobic exercise training on age-57 related loss of lean mass. Of these limited studies, one reported that lean mass, but not total body 58 weight, declined in 140 older adults aged 65 to 89 years over a 3-year period of moderate-to-high 59 intensity aerobic exercise training [20]. Another study found similar aerobic exercise training was an 60 effective modality for increasing lean mass and improving muscle function [21]. When the goal was 61 to decrease body weight, 12 months of aerobic exercise training resulted in preservation of thigh lean 62 mass [22]. Therefore, long-term aerobic exercise training is likely beneficial for muscle strength [23], 63 yet long distance running may lead to decreases in lean muscle tissue mass [24]. A notable limitation 64 of these studies was that they all examined older adults; thus, whether these associations are similar 65 in middle-aged adults is unknown.

66 Areal BMD is another DXA outcome and often serves to diagnose osteoporosis. BMD directly 67 correlates with bone mass and low BMD is associated with an increased risk of skeletal fracture [25]. 68 Men reach peak BMD in the third decade of life and experience bone loss thereafter [26]. Bone is a 69 metabolically active organ that responds to mechanical loading with remodeling and osteogenesis 70 [27], a principle that was first articulated in 1892 [28]. However, not every type of mechanical loading 71 is equally beneficial. Brief bouts of multidirectional high impact loading that target bones of interest 72 followed by longer recovery periods are more effective in increasing BMD and in enhancing 73 structural properties than repetitive loading [29]. It has been postulated that bone cells become 74 desensitized and that the osteogenic response to loading becomes saturated after a few loading cycles 75 [30]. This phenomenon is known as the 'diminishing returns' principle [6]. This supposition has been 76 shown in prior research. For example, sports associated with high magnitude short duration ground 77 reaction forces, such as gymnastics or volleyball, are associated with greater BMD in female athletes 78 compared to swimmers and non-active controls [31]. Specifically, one longitudinal study [32] showed 79 that eight months of gymnastics training increased BMD compared to baseline, whereas no change 80 in BMD was observed following swimming training or control. In contrast to these sports, the 81 evidence regarding BMD and aerobic exercise training (e.g. running) is less conclusive. Female 82 athletes involved in repetitive aerobic/impact sports, such as distance running and cross-country 83 skiing, have shown no difference in BMD compared to athletes involved in higher impact sports, 84 such as gymnastics, or controls [33,34]. Conversely, the results of a 5-year longitudinal study [7] 85 showed that older endurance runners of both sexes undergo less age-related bone loss compared to 86 controls. These mixed findings support further examining the relationship between aerobic exercise 87 training and BMD.

To date, most of the research regarding BMD and exercise training has been conducted using an older female population, which likely reflects the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis. We could only find one study [35] that recruited chronically trained and high-mileage middle-aged distance runners and matched their controls by sex, age and weight. Therefore, this demographic appears to represent an understudied population group with regards to these outcomes.

93 The purpose of this study was therefore to assess body composition and BMD in middle-aged 94 men who have been involved in long-term high-mileage running compared to to sex-, age-, height-95 and weight-matched non-running participants.

#### 96 2. Experimental Section

97 Experimental Approach to the Problem: this study had a cross-sectional design; data collection
98 occurred from March to September 2018. The project identification code (protocol number) was
99 F17528, the study was approved on 15 February 2018 by the Institutional Review Board for Human
100 Subjects at Brigham Young University.

#### 101 2.1. Subjects

102 The participants were informed of the benefits and risks of the investigation prior to signing an 103 institutionally approved informed consent document to participate in the study. Members of the 104 running group had to be men, aged 44-62 yr; had to have a history of recreational running for >10 yr 105 with an average of >50 km/wk and no history of regular resistance training. Members in the 106 comparison group (control) had to have performed less than 150min/wk moderate physical activity, 107 walk less than 15min to or from their place of work and not have performed regular sport or exercise 108 training in the past 10yr. Participants were excluded if they had a history of spinal surgery, history 109 of traumatic injury to the spine, known scoliosis or kyphosis for which prior medical consultation 110 was sought, and being a current or prior smoker. Participants were also excluded based on 111 contraindications for MRI, such as known claustrophobia, metal object in the body, pacemaker or 112 implantable cardioverter defibrillator. Participants were recruited by word of mouth. After running 113 group participants were enrolled, we recruited an age-, sex- and height-matched control group. The 114 controls were matched in order of priority to height (within 5cm), age (within 3 years), weight (within 115 3 kg) and BMI (within 1point). Each subject had to match in at least two of the categories.

- 116 2.2. Procedures
- 117 2.2.1. DXA

118 Total and regional lean and fat mass (kg) and total body percent lean and fat mass (%) were 119 assessed by DXA (Lunar iDXA GE Lunar Corp., Madison, USA) and analyzed using enCORE 120 software version 17 (GE Healthcare, Madison, WI) [36-38]. The DXA scanner was calibrated every 121 day before any scans were performed using the GE Lunar calibration phantom. Participants were 122 assigned an individual study identifier code which allowed for blinded assessment of all DXA scans. 123 Patient positioning and manual segmentation using custom regions of interest followed previously 124 established protocols [39]. Manual review and adjustments were made by the DXA technician, as 125 needed. The appendicular regions were defined as the tissue distal to a line bisecting the shoulder 126 joint for the upper appendages and bisecting the hip joint for the lower appendages. Appendicular 127 lean mass (ALM) was calculated as the aggregate of lean mass in both arms (kg) plus both legs (kg). 128 Visceral adipose tissue was estimated using the CoreScan option of the enCORE software [40,41]. 129 Regional scans were performed for BMD assessments of the lumbar spine (L1–L4) and total hip.

130 2.2.2. MRI

To quantify muscle morphology on a 3-Tesla Siemens MAGNETOM Tim Trio (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen Germany) a T2-weighted sequence (slice thickness 5mm, TR 3500ms; TE 99ms, FOV 280x280mm, voxel size 1.3x1.3x4mm2) was used with a 4-channel flexible coil under the low back to collect 20 sagittal images encompassing the volume of the multifidus from L1 to L5. Data were exported for offline processing. ImageJ 1.48v (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) was used to measure multifidus thickness for all lumbar levels.

After tracing around the multifidus muscle a custom written ImageJ plugin ("ROI Analyzer";
 https://github.com/tjrantal/RoiAnalyzer) was used to measure cross sectional, anterioposterior and
 mediolateral area.

#### 140 2.3. Statistical analyses

All analyses were conducted using Stata statistical software version 15 (College Station TX,
 USA). Measures were compared between groups by independent t-test. Analyses considered MRI

#### 145 **3. Results**

146Ten runners and nine controls were included in all analyses, bar MRI-derived multifidus147morphology due to missing data (runners: n=9, controls: n=8). Demographical data are shown in148Table 1. On average, runners had been running 82.6 (27.9) km/wk for 23 (SD: 13, range: 10-39) yr.

#### 149 *3.1. Body composition*

Body composition (lean and fat mass) are shown in Table 2. Runners had 4.4 kg greater mean total body lean mass than controls, which equated to 10.0 percentage-points greater mean total body percent lean mass, albeit only total body percent lean mass reached statistically significant. Runners also had 14% greater trunk lean mass. Compared to controls on average, runners had less total body (8.6kg), arm (58%), leg (52%), trunk (73%), android (91%) and gynoid fat mass (64%). Additionally, android-to-gynoid ratio was lower in runners.

156 3.2. Lumbar multifidus

MRI-derived lumbar multifidus morphology is shown in Table 3. No between-group differenceswere observed.

- 159 3.3. Bone mineral density
- 160 BMD variables are displayed in Table 4. No between-group differences were observed.
- 161 3.4. Tables
- 162 **Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of runners (n=10) and controls (n=9).

	Runners (n=10)	Control (n=9)	t	<i>p</i> -value
Age, years	49 (4)	51 (5)	-0.8985	0.382
Height, cm	178.9 (4.9)	176.0 (5.1)	1.2134	0.242
Weight, kg	67.8 (5.8)	72.8 (7.1)	-1.6938	0.109
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	21.4 (1.4)	23.7 (2.1)	-2.9082	0.010

163 Data are mean (standard deviation).

#### 164 **Table 2.** Body composition (lean and fat mass) in runners (n=10) and controls (n=9).

	Runners (n=10)	Control (n=9)	t	<i>p</i> -value
	Fat mass			
Total body, kg	9.9 (3.7)	18.5 (5.1)	-4.2219	<0.001
Total body, %	17.0 (7.5)	23.8 (6.5)	-2.0916	0.052
Arm, kg	1.1 (0.4)	2.0 (0.7)	-3.6310	0.002
Leg, kg	3.3 (1.3)	5.6 (1.4)	-3.8087	<0.001
Trunk, kg	4.6 (2.1)	9.9 (3.2)	-4.2933	<0.001
Android, kg	0.6 (0.4)	1.6 (0.6)	-4.2698	<0.001
Gynoid, kg	1.5 (0.7)	2.9 (0.7)	-4.2038	<0.001
Android-to-gynoid, ratio	0.379 (0.083)	0.545 (0.122)	-3.4972	0.003
	Lean mass			
Total body, kg	56.2 (4.9)	51.8 (4.3)	2.0202	0.059
Total body, %	84.8 (5.2)	74.8 (5.2)	3.9713	0.001
Appendicular, kg	25.7 (2.3)	24.7 (2.2)	1.0122	0.326
Arm, kg	6.9 (0.9)	6.7 (0.5)	0.3785	0.710
Leg, kg	18.9 (1.8)	17.9 (1.9)	1.1145	0.281

J. Clin. Med. 2020, 9, x FOR PEER REVIEW

	Trunk, kg	27.3 (2.6)	23.8 (2.2)	2.9690	0.010
<b>D</b> .		1 . 1			

165 Data are mean (standard deviation). L: lumbar vertebrae.

166 **Table 3.** Multifidus morphology in runners (n=9) and controls (n=8).

	Runners (n=9)	Control (n=8)	t	<i>p</i> -value
Cross-sectional area, mm <sup>2</sup>	4788.2 (473.2)	4992.9 (687.2)	-0.7067	0.491
Mean mediolateral thickness, mm	49.6 (7)	49.4 (6.8)	0.6422	0.531
Mean anteroposterior thickness, mm	133.1 (6.4)	144.2 (18.2)	-0.5933	0.563

167 Data are mean (standard deviation) and average of all lumbar levels.

169 controls (n=9).

	Runners (n=10)	Control (n=9)	t	<i>p</i> -value		
Areal bone mineral density, g/cm <sup>2</sup>						
L1	1.014 (0.192)	1.102 (0.126)	-1.1588	0.263		
L2	1.120 (0.197)	1.220 (0.149)	-1.2484	0.229		
L3	1.143 (0.192)	1.268 (0.180)	-1.4505	0.165		
L4	1.112 (0.211)	1.230 (0.195)	-1.2594	0.225		
L1-L4	1.099 (0.190)	1.209 (0.161)	-1.3438	0.197		
Femoral neck	0.984 (0.117)	0.937 (0.095)	0.9501	0.355		

170

Data are mean (standard deviation). L: lumbar vertebrae.

### 171 4. Discussion

In this study we compared the body composition and bone density of two groups of middleaged age-, height- and weight-matched men that differentiated by their amount of endurance running. Runners had a greater total body (%) and trunk lean mass, as well as lower total body (kg) and regional fat mass. Neither BMD nor multifidus muscle morphology differed between the two groups.

Although there was no significant difference in body mass between the two groups, total body fat mass was approximately two times greater in the control group compared to the runners, which reflected approximately 2-fold greater arm, leg and trunk fat mass. Healthy body fat percentage for a man between the ages 40-59 years is 11.0% to 22.9% [42]. It is of interest that, despite matching for proxy markers of height and weight, the runners' average was well within this range, but the nonrunners fell within the lower boundaries of the overweight category (23.0 to 28.9 cm/kg<sup>2</sup>).

Regional fat depots differed in size and relative contribution in the two groups. For example, controls stored over 5 kg more fat mass in the trunk area compared to the runners, and the nonrunners' android and gynoid fat masses were on average three times and two times higher, respectively, than that of their runner counterparts. Greater trunk fat accumulations in the nonrunners suggest that they may have a higher risk for coronary heart disease and metabolic syndrome compared to the endurance runners [12,13].

189 The gynoid fat mass was approximately 15% in both groups. The android fat mass represented 190 approximately 6% of the total body fat mass in the runners, compared to about 9% in the non-runners. 191 The android-to-gynoid fat mass ratio was lower in runners compared to control. Higher 192 android/gynoid fat mass ratio is associated with greater cardiovascular disease risk factors [43]; 193 however, despite a between-group difference, both ratios were smaller than 0.9 and are thus 194 considered within healthy range [44]. In our study the android fat mass index of runners was less 195 than half of that in runners. Similarly, gynoid fat mass index in runners was approximately half of 196 that in the control. A recent DXA-based cross-sectional analysis of 1,133 men (age range, 20-87 years; 197 mean age, 63 years) reported that when compared to men aged 20-30 years, men aged ≥80yr had a 198 23% greater gynoid fat mass index and a 82% greater android fat mass index [45]. These results 199 suggest that android fat stores increase with age, which may increase cardiometabolic risk [45]. The

<sup>168</sup> Table 4. Areal bone mineral density and body composition (lean and fat mass) in runners (n=10) and

results of our study show that, compared to non-runners, long time endurance runners have less fatmass in the trunk area, suggesting that they may have a lower cardiometabolic risk.

202 The appendicular fat distribution in the upper extremity was similar for both groups with 203 approximately 11% of the total body fat mass. In the lower extremity the runners exhibited on average 204 2.3kg less leg fat mass compared to the non-runners, yet the fat mass percentage represented 33% 205 and 30% of the total body fat, respectively. While we do not know if this fat is located subcutaneously 206 or intramuscularly, we believe that the relative higher fat content might be a function of the greater 207 need for energy stores. For example, intramyocellular triacylglycerol, or intramuscular fat, is a readily 208 available substrate source used during longer periods of increased energy expenditure, such as 209 during long distance running [46].

210 Considering that the average total body mass was similar between groups, but total body fat 211 almost twice as high in the controls compared to the runners, we were not surprised that runners 212 exhibited over 4 kg (10.0 percentage points) greater lean mass. While appendicular lean mass was not 213 different between groups, trunk lean mass was 3.5 kg greater in the runners. This did not reflect our 214 observations for the lumbar multifidus. Thus, other trunk muscles are likely greater in runners 215 compared to controls. We contend that this may be due to differences in the size of the heart and/or 216 abdominal muscles. A study [47] compared heart size of sprinters, endurance runners and sedentary 217 subjects via chest X-ray. They found that the heart size was larger in endurance runners compared to 218 other groups. Endurance runners generally breathe deeper and faster for a long period of time 219 compared to non-exercising individuals. This increased breathing frequency and depth could act as 220 strengthening stimulus to the respiratory muscles. While we did not measure the size of any 221 respiratory muscles, we speculate that each of the three functional groups of respiratory muscles, the 222 diaphragm, the rib cage muscles (e.g., intercostals, the parasternals, the scalene and the neck muscles) 223 and the abdominal muscles [48] are greater in runners. This could help explain the great discrepancy 224 in trunk lean mass between endurance runners and non-runners.

225 BMD was not different between the two groups. While the runners had consistently lower values 226 for the lumbar spine and higher values for the femoral neck, none of the differences were statistically 227 different. These results are partially consistent with the results of MacKelvie et al. [35], who found no 228 difference in lumbar spine BMD in male endurance runners ages 40-55 years compared to matched 229 controls. However, the results of that study show significantly higher BMD in the femoral neck of 230 runners compared to their controls, while ours did not. This may be due to the markedly lower 231 femoral neck BMD measures in both runners and controls in the aforementioned study compared to 232 our own.

233 Several other studies have reported that endurance runners exhibit lower vertebral [49-52] and 234 femoral neck bone density [51] compared to non-runners. Comparison between those studies and 235 ours are difficult due to a range of methodological differences. For example, the previous studies all 236 examined younger participants. Moreover, one study did not report the years of running history [50], 237 while the remaining studies used participants who had been training for a shorter time [49,51,52]. 238 One study [52] also used male and female runners, while another [51] used only female runners. In 239 addition, one study [49] used dual photon absorptiometry to obtain aBMD, while we used DXA. 240 Although there is a good correlation between dual photon absorptiometry and BMD, the 241 measurements are lower with DXA and are therefore recommended to not be compared directly [53].

The reasons for the discrepancies between our findings and the findings of other studies are not completely clear. One could argue that, since our participants were older, it is possible that the benefits of long-term high-mileage running (i.e. less BMD loss) only manifest themselves after 20+ years of running. We do not believe that this time frame is necessary to elicit bone changes, especially since it has been shown that bone in healthy younger athletes responds to appropriate stimuli within six [54] and eight months [32], even if the BMD is high initially. It is more likely that long-term running may attenuate age-related BMD loss, which can only become evident as the runner ages.

Our study was strengthened by the use of DXA and MRI analyses, rather than the use of measures that serve as proxies for body composition only (e.g. BMI). Another strength was the matched-nature of controls. Cross-sectional studies, however, have well known limitations. Despite observations that running was associated with better fat mass distribution, we cannot draw a cause and effect conclusion (e.g. running is the cause for better fat distribution). Similarly, we cannot dismiss the possibility that there is reverse causality (e.g. less android fat mass allows people to continue running, while more forces people to stop). Lastly, while we explained why only men were included in this study, these findings may not apply to women.

#### 257 5. Conclusions

Long-term running was associated with a lower total body fat percentage and less total body and regional fat mass, but not with different aBMD or multifidus muscle morphology in middle aged men, when compared to sex-, age-, height- and weight-matched non-running participants. Since body composition is a known risk factor for many age-related diseases, these results underscore the benefits of endurance running that may carry over to middle-age.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, U.M. and B.B.; methodology, U.M. and B.B.; software, P.O..; formal
 analysis, P.O.; data curation, P.O.; writing—original draft preparation, U.M.; writing—review and editing, U.M.,
 B.B. and P.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

- **Funding:** This research received no external funding.
- 267 **Acknowledgments:** We thank the participants for taking part in this study.
- 268 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 269 References

- Garatachea, N.; Pareja-Galeano, H.; Sanchis-Gomar, F.; Santos-Lozano, A.; Fiuza-Luces, C.; Moran, M.;
   Emanuele, E.; Joyner, M.J.; Lucia, A. Exercise attenuates the major hallmarks of aging. Rejuvenation Res
   2015, 18, 57-89, doi:10.1089/rej.2014.1623.
- Pedersen, B.K.; Saltin, B. Exercise as medicine evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in 26 different chronic diseases. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2015, 25 Suppl 3, 1-72, doi:10.1111/sms.12581.
- 3. Miller, T.D.; Balady, G.J.; Fletcher, G.F. Exercise and its role in the prevention and rehabilitation of
  cardiovascular disease. Ann Behav Med 1997, 19, 220-229, doi:10.1007/BF02892287.
- Chaput, J.P.; Klingenberg, L.; Rosenkilde, M.; Gilbert, J.A.; Tremblay, A.; Sjodin, A. Physical activity plays an important role in body weight regulation. J Obes 2011, doi:10.1155/2011/360257.
- 5. Kendall, K.L.; Fairman, C.M. Women and exercise in aging. Journal of Sport and Health Science 2014, 3, 170-178, doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2014.02.001.
- 281 6. Turner, C.H. Three rules for bone adaptation to mechanical stimuli. Bone 1998, 23, 399-407.
- 282 7. Michel, B.A.; Lane, N.E.; Bjorkengren, A.; Bloch, D.A.; Fries, J.F. Impact of running on lumbar bone density:
  a 5-year longitudinal study. J Rheumatol 1992, 19, 1759-1763.
- 284 8. Wells, J.C.; Fewtrell, M.S. Measuring body composition. Arch Dis Child 2006, 91, 612-617, doi:10.1136/adc.2005.085522.
- 286 9. Kaminsky, L.A.; Ozemek, C.; Williams, K.L.; Byun, W. Precision of total and regional body fat estimates
  287 from dual-energy X-ray absorptiometer measurements. J Nutr Health Aging 2014, 18, 591-594,
  288 doi:10.1007/s12603-014-0012-8.
- 10. Kullberg, J.; Brandberg, J.; Angelhed, J.E.; Frimmel, H.; Bergelin, E.; Strid, L.; Ahlstrom, H.; Johansson, L.;
  Lonn, L. Whole-body adipose tissue analysis: comparison of MRI, CT and dual energy X-ray
  absorptiometry. Br J Radiol 2009, 82, 123-130, doi:10.1259/bjr/80083156.
- Shen, W.; Punyanitya, M.; Chen, J.; Gallagher, D.; Albu, J.; Pi-Sunyer, X.; Lewis, C.E.; Grunfeld, C.; Heshka,
  S.; Heymsfield, S.B. Waist circumference correlates with metabolic syndrome indicators better than
  percentage fat. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2006, 14, 727-736, doi:10.1038/oby.2006.83.
- Fox, C.S.; Massaro, J.M.; Hoffmann, U.; Pou, K.M.; Maurovich-Horvat, P.; Liu, C.Y.; Vasan, R.S.; Murabito,
   J.M.; Meigs, J.B.; Cupples, L.A., et al. Abdominal visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue compartments:
   association with metabolic risk factors in the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2007, 116, 39-48,
   doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.675355.
- Liu, J.; Fox, C.S.; Hickson, D.A.; May, W.D.; Hairston, K.G.; Carr, J.J.; Taylor, H.A. Impact of abdominal visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue on cardiometabolic risk factors: the Jackson Heart Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010, 95, 5419-5426, doi:10.1210/jc.2010-1378.

- Wu, C.H.; Yao, W.J.; Lu, F.H.; Wu, J.S.; Chang, C.J. Relationship between glycosylated hemoglobin, blood
  pressure, serum lipid profiles and body fat distribution in healthy Chinese. Atherosclerosis 1998, 137, 157165, doi:Doi 10.1016/S0021-9150(97)00270-0.
- 305
  15. Ohkawara, K.; Tanaka, S.; Miyachi, M.; Ishikawa-Takata, K.; Tabata, I. A dose-response relation between
  aerobic exercise and visceral fat reduction: systematic review of clinical trials. Int J Obes (Lond) 2007, 31,
  307
  1786-1797, doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803683.
- Kim, T.N.; Choi, K.M. Sarcopenia: definition, epidemiology, and pathophysiology. J Bone Metab 2013, 20,
   1-10, doi:10.11005/jbm.2013.20.1.1.
- Goodpaster, B.H.; Park, S.W.; Harris, T.B.; Kritchevsky, S.B.; Nevitt, M.; Schwartz, A.V.; Simonsick, E.M.;
  Tylavsky, F.A.; Visser, M.; Newman, A.B. The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older
  adults: the health, aging and body composition study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2006, 61, 1059-1064,
  doi:10.1093/gerona/61.10.1059.
- 314 18. Peterson, M.D.; Rhea, M.R.; Sen, A.; Gordon, P.M. Resistance exercise for muscular strength in older adults:
  a meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev 2010, 9, 226-237, doi:10.1016/j.arr.2010.03.004.
- Shafiee, G.; Keshtkar, A.; Soltani, A.; Ahadi, Z.; Larijani, B.; Heshmat, R. Prevalence of sarcopenia in the
  world: a systematic review and meta- analysis of general population studies. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2017,
  16, 21, doi:10.1186/s40200-017-0302-x.
- Raguso, C.A.; Kyle, U.; Kossovsky, M.P.; Roynette, C.; Paoloni-Giacobino, A.; Hans, D.; Genton, L.; Pichard,
  C. A 3-year longitudinal study on body composition changes in the elderly: role of physical exercise. Clin
  Nutr 2006, 25, 573-580, doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2005.10.013.
- Harber, M.P.; Konopka, A.R.; Douglass, M.D.; Minchev, K.; Kaminsky, L.A.; Trappe, T.A.; Trappe, S.
  Aerobic exercise training improves whole muscle and single myofiber size and function in older women.
  Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2009, 297, R1452-1459, doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00354.2009.
- Weiss, E.P.; Racette, S.B.; Villareal, D.T.; Fontana, L.; Steger-May, K.; Schechtman, K.B.; Klein, S.; Ehsani,
  A.A.; Holloszy, J.O. Lower extremity muscle size and strength and aerobic capacity decrease with caloric
  restriction but not with exercise-induced weight loss. J Appl Physiol 2007, 102, 634-640,
  doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00853.2006.
- Crane, J.D.; Macneil, L.G.; Tarnopolsky, M.A. Long-term aerobic exercise is associated with greater muscle
   strength throughout the life span. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2013, 68, 631-638, doi:10.1093/gerona/gls237.
- 331 24. Knechtle, B.; Kohler, G. Running 338 kilometres within five days has no effect on body mass and body fat
  332 but reduces skeletal muscle mass the Isarrun 2006. J Sports Sci Med 2007, 6, 401-407.
- 333 25. McClung, M. The relationship between bone mineral density and fracture risk. Curr Osteoporos Rep 2005,
  334 3, 57-63.
- Sezer, A.; Altan, L.; Ozdemir, O. Multiple comparison of age groups in bone mineral density under
  heteroscedasticity. Biomed Res Int 2015, 2015, 426847, doi:10.1155/2015/426847.
- 27. Demontiero, O.; Vidal, C.; Duque, G. Aging and bone loss: new insights for the clinician. Ther Adv
  338 Musculoskelet Dis 2012, 4, 61-76, doi:10.1177/1759720X11430858.
- Wolff, J. Das Gesetz der Transformation der Knochen [the law of bone remodeling]; Springer Verlag Berlin:
  Heidelbeg, Germany, 1892.
- Gianoudis, J.; Bailey, C.A.; Sanders, K.M.; Nowson, C.A.; Hill, K.; Ebeling, P.R.; Daly, R.M. Osteo-cise:
  strong bones for life: protocol for a community-based randomised controlled trial of a multi-modal exercise
  and osteoporosis education program for older adults at risk of falls and fractures. BMC Musculoskelet
  Disord 2012, 13, 78, doi:10.1186/1471-2474-13-78.
- 345 30. Robling, A.G.; Burr, D.B.; Turner, C.H. Recovery periods restore mechanosensitivity to dynamically loaded
  bone. J Exp Biol 2001, 204, 3389-3399.
- 347 31. Fehling, P.C.; Alekel, L.; Clasey, J.; Rector, A.; Stillman, R.J. A comparison of bone mineral densities among
  348 female athletes in impact loading and active loading sports. Bone 1995, 17, 205-210.
- 349 32. Taaffe, D.R.; Robinson, T.L.; Snow, C.M.; Marcus, R. High-impact exercise promotes bone gain in well-trained female athletes. J Bone Miner Res 1997, 12, 255-260, doi:10.1359/jbmr.1997.12.2.255.
- 33. Nikander, R.; Sievanen, H.; Heinonen, A.; Kannus, P. Femoral neck structure in adult female athletes
  subjected to different loading modalities. J Bone Miner Res 2005, 20, 520-528, doi:10.1359/JBMR.041119.
- 353 34. Egan, E.; Reilly, T.; Giacomoni, M.; Redmond, L.; Turner, C. Bone mineral density among female sports
  participants. Bone 2006, 38, 227-233, doi:10.1016/j.bone.2005.08.024.

- 355 35. MacKelvie, K.J.; Taunton, J.E.; McKay, H.A.; Khan, K.M. Bone mineral density and serum testosterone in
   chronically trained, high mileage 40-55 year old male runners. Br J Sports Med2000, 34, 273-278.
- 357 Bailey, B.W.; LeCheminant, G.; Hope, T.; Bell, M.; Tucker, L.A. A comparison of the agreement, internal 36. 358 consistency, and 2-day test stability of the InBody 720, GE iDXA, and BOD POD (R) gold standard for 359 22, assessing body composition. Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci 2018, 231-238, 360 doi:10.1080/1091367x.2017.1422129.
- 361 37. Speakman, J.R.; Booles, D.; Butterwick, R. Validation of dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) by
   362 comparison with chemical analysis of dogs and cats. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001, 25, 439-447,
   363 doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0801544.
- 364 38. Tataranni, P.A.; Pettitt, D.J.; Ravussin, E. Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry: inter-machine variability. Int
  365 J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1996, 20, 1048-1050.
- 366 39. Burkhart, T.A.; Arthurs, K.L.; Andrews, D.M. Manual segmentation of DXA scan images results in reliable
  367 upper and lower extremity soft and rigid tissue mass estimates. J Biomech 2009, 42, 1138-1142,
  368 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.02.017.
- Mohammad, A.; De Lucia Rolfe, E.; Sleigh, A.; Kivisild, T.; Behbehani, K.; Wareham, N.J.; Brage, S.;
  Mohammad, T. Validity of visceral adiposity estimates from DXA against MRI in Kuwaiti men and women.
  Nutr Diabetes 2017, 7, e238, doi:10.1038/nutd.2016.38.
- Rothney, M.P.; Xia, Y.; Wacker, W.K.; Martin, F.P.; Beaumont, M.; Rezzi, S.; Giusti, V.; Ergun, D.L. Precision of a new tool to measure visceral adipose tissue (VAT) using dual-energy X-Ray absorptiometry (DXA).
  Obesity (Silver Spring) 2013, 21, E134-136, doi:10.1002/oby.20140.
- 42. de Onis, M.; Habicht, J.P. Anthropometric reference data for international use: recommendations from a
  World Health Organization Expert Committee. Am J Clin Nutr 1996, 64, 650-658, doi:10.1093/ajcn/64.4.650.
- 43. Latt, E.; Maestu, J.; Jurimae, J. Longitudinal associations of android and gynoid fat mass on cardiovascular
  disease risk factors in normal weight and overweight boys during puberty. Am J Hum Biol 2018, 30, e23171,
  doi:10.1002/ajhb.23171.
- 44. Bacopoulou, F.; Efthymiou, V.; Landis, G.; Rentoumis, A.; Chrousos, G.P. Waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and waist-to-height ratio reference percentiles for abdominal obesity among Greek adolescents.
  BMC Pediatr 2015, 15, 50, doi:10.1186/s12887-015-0366-z.
- 383 45. Szulc, P.; Duboeuf, F.; Chapurlat, R. Age-related changes in fat mass and distribution in men-the cross384 sectional STRAMBO Study. J Clin Densitom 2017, 20, 472-479, doi:10.1016/j.jocd.2016.08.003.
- 385
  46. Schrauwen-Hinderling, V.B.; Hesselink, M.K.; Schrauwen, P.; Kooi, M.E. Intramyocellular lipid content in human skeletal muscle. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2006, 14, 357-367, doi:10.1038/oby.2006.47.
- 387 47. Ikaheimo, M.J.; Palatsi, I.J.; Takkunen, J.T. Noninvasive evaluation of the athletic heart: sprinters versus
  and the series of the athletic heart: sprinters versus
  and the series of the serie
- 389 48. Aliverti, A. Physiology masterclass: The respiratory muscles during exercise. Breathe 2016, 12, 165-168.
- 390 49. Bilanin, J.E.; Blanchard, M.S.; Russek-Cohen, E. Lower vertebral bone density in male long distance
  391 runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1989, 21, 66-70.
- Hetland, M.L.; Haarbo, J.; Christiansen, C. Low bone mass and high bone turnover in male long distance
  runners. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1993, 77, 770-775, doi:10.1210/jcem.77.3.8370698.
- Burrows, M.; Nevill, A.M.; Bird, S.; Simpson, D. Physiological factors associated with low bone mineral density in female endurance runners. Br J Sports Med 2003, 37, 67-71, doi:10.1136/bjsm.37.1.67.
- Hind, K.; Truscott, J.G.; Evans, J.A. Low lumbar spine bone mineral density in both male and female
   endurance runners. Bone 2006, 39, 880-885, doi:10.1016/j.bone.2006.03.012.
- 398 53. Wahner, H.W.; Dunn, W.L.; Brown, M.L.; Morin, R.L.; Riggs, B.L. Comparison of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry and dual photon absorptiometry for bone mineral measurements of the lumbar spine.
  400 Mayo Clin Proc 1988, 63, 1075-1084, doi:10.1016/s0025-6196(12)65502-5.
- 401 54. Nichols, D.L.; Sanborn, C.F.; Bonnick, S.L.; Ben-Ezra, V.; Gench, B.; DiMarco, N.M. The effects of gymnastics training on bone mineral density. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1994, 26, 1220-1225.



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).