



SDEWES CENTRE

SDEWES CONFERENCES

PUBLISHING

SDEWES

[HOME](#)
[SCOPE](#)
[EDITORIAL BOARD](#)
[INSTRUCTIONS FOR
AUTHORS](#)
[INSTRUCTIONS FOR
REVIEWERS](#)
[PUBLICATION ETHICS](#)
[COPYRIGHT POLICY](#)
[ARTICLE PUBLISHING FEE](#)
[WHERE WE ARE INDEXED](#)
[AWARDS](#)

Under the patronage of:



Ministry of Science and Education

Journal Metrics

CiteScore: **1.41**
[More about CiteScore](#)
Source Normalized Impact per Paper (SNIP): **0.488**SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): **0.305**

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

Journal of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems (JSDEWES) is committed to ensuring the highest standards of publication ethics whereas the publication malpractice is strictly prohibited as a possible measure. JSDEWES ethical statements are based on the codes of conduct and international standards established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

1. Duties of the Editors

Fair play

Submitted manuscripts are evaluated for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The Editor-in-Chief and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, or the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an Editor's own research or in the explicit written consent of the author(s).

Publication decisions

The Editors (Editor in Chief/Managing Editor/Editorial board members) of the journal are responsible for deciding which of the submitted articles should be published. The Editors may be guided by the policies of the Editorial Board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, infringement and plagiarism. The Editor-in-Chief/Managing Editors may discuss with other editors or referees when making this decision.

2. Duties of peer reviewers

Contribution to editorial decisions

Peer review assists the Editor-in-Chief in making editorial decisions and, through the editorial community, the author, may also assist the author in improving the manuscript.

Promptness

Any invited referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that the review will be impossible should immediately notify the Editor-in-Chief so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be discussed with others except if authorized by the Editor-in-Chief.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable. Referees should state their views clearly with appropriate supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement, observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the



citation. A reviewer should also call to the Editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and conflict of interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, their institutions connected to the submission.

3. Duties of authors

Reporting standards

Authors reporting results of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed and an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. The manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

Originality and Plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Parallel submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgement of sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should also cite public works that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of a manuscript

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors (according to the above definition) and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the author list of the manuscript, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Hazards and human or animal subjects

If the work involves chemicals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in them, the authors must clearly identify these in the manuscript.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that could be construed to influence the results or their interpretation in the manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify the journal's Editor-in-Chief or publisher and cooperate with them to either withdraw the paper or to publish an appropriate erratum.

4. Publisher's confirmation

In cases of alleged or proven scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism the publisher, in collaboration with the Editor-in-Chief, Associate Editor and Editorial Board, will take all appropriate measures to clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes the prompt publication of an erratum. In the most severe cases, the complete retraction of the affected work.

Contacts:

EML: jsdewes@sdewes.org
PHN: +385 1 6168 126