

**LOGIN TO SUI** 

SDEWES CENTRE

SDEWES CONFERENCES

PUBLISHING

SDEWES

HOME

SCOPE

**EDITORIAL BOARD** 

INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWERS

**PUBLICATION ETHICS** 

COPYRIGHT POLICY

ARTICLE PUBLISHING FEE

WHERE WE ARE INDEXED

AWARDS

Under the patronage of:



## Ministry of Science and Education

# **Journal Metrics**

CiteScore: 1.41

More about CiteScore

Source Normalized Impact per Paper (SNIP): **0.488** 

SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): 0.305

# Publication Ethics and Publication Malpra Statement

Journal of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems (JSDEWES) is cor ensuring the highest standards of publication ethics whereas the publication malpractice is strictly proh possible measure. JSDEWES ethic statements are based on the codes of conduct and international established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

#### 1. Duties of the Editors

## Fair play

Submitted manuscripts are evaluated for their intellectual content without regard to race, gent orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

## Confidentiality

The Editor-in-Chief and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted ma anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial adviser publisher, as appropriate.

#### Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an Editor's own resear the explicit written consent of the author(s).

## **Publication decisions**

The Editors (Editor in Chief/Managing Editor/Editorial board members) of the journal are responsible f which of the submitted articles should be published. The Editors may be guided by the policies of the Editorial Board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libe infringement and plagiarism. The Editor-in-Chief/Managing Editors may discuss with other editors or r making this decision.

### 2. Duties of peer reviewers

#### **Contribution to editorial decisions**

Peer review assists the Editor-in-Chief in making editorial decisions and, through the editorial commun the author, may also assist the author in improving the manuscript.

# **Promptness**

Any invited referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows the review will be impossible should immediately notify the Editor-in-Chief so that alternative reviews contacted.

# Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be s discussed with others except if authorized by the Editor-in-Chief.

# Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inacceptable. Referees shoutheir views clearly with appropriate supporting arguments.

## **Acknowledgement of sources**

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statem observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the

#### JSDEWES - International journal

Journal of Sustainable
Development of Energy,...

Energy Engineering
and Power
Technology
best quartile

SJR 2018

0.31

powered by scimagojr.com

citation. A reviewer should also call to the Editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap be manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

#### Disclosure and conflict of interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interesting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, con institutions connected to the submission.

#### 3. Duties of authors

### **Reporting standards**

Authors reporting results of original research should present an accurate account of the work performe an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the mapaper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Frak nowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

# **Originality and Plagiarism**

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have use and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

### Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in mor journal or primary publication. Parallel submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

### **Acknowledgement of sources**

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should also cite public have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

## Authorship of a manuscript

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the concepti execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section. The corresponding author should ensappropriate co-authors (according to the above definition) and no inappropriate co-authors are incluauthor list of the manuscript, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the have agreed to its submission for publication.

## Hazards and human or animal subjects

If the work involves chemicals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in th authors must clearly identify these in the manuscript.

## Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest the construed to influence the results or their interpretation in the manuscript. All sources of financial supproject should be disclosed.

## Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the obligation to promptly notify the journal's Editor-in-Chief or publisher and cooperate with them to either paper or to publish an appropriate erratum.

# 4. Publisher's confirmation

In cases of alleged or proven scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism the publish collaboration with the Editor-in-Chief, Associate Editor and Editorial Board, will take all appropriate m clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes the prompt publication of an err the most severe cases, the complete retraction of the affected work.

#### **Contacts:**

EML: jsdewes@sdewes.org PHN: +385 1 6168 126