

COMMENTARY

Domestic violence and interventions to reduce alcohol use: a commentary on Stuart *et al.*'s randomized clinical trial

This study, reported by Gregory Stuart and colleagues, offers empirical evidence to support the hypothesis that perpetrators of domestic violence who receive a brief intervention to address alcohol use in addition to a 40-hour group batterer programme will not only consume fewer drinks (and on fewer days) than those who only receive the batterer programme, but will also be less likely to be aggressive and violent [1]. Although these effects fade over time, these findings are significant in the context of an area in which the efficacy of many behaviour change programmes has yet to be demonstrated adequately [2], and in which there is a pressing need to develop more effective interventions [3]. Given that the alcohol intervention utilized in this study was only 90 minutes long, there is considerable potential for brief interventions of this type to be integrated into other batterer programmes in ways that place minimal additional demands on both service providers and clients. Brief alcohol interventions have been shown to be consistently effective in other populations [4], and this study provides further evidence that focused intervention around alcohol use is likely to pay dividends in terms of preventing further violence.

Findings such as these should not, however, come as a particular surprise. Those who deliver programmes will know how common it is for perpetrators to view alcohol use as a trigger for violence [5], and the co-occurrence between alcohol consumption and/or substance misuse and episodes of domestic violence is now well documented [6,7]. It has also been established that alcohol consumption not only influences the severity of the violence [8], but also reduces programme attendance and efficacy [9]. Alcohol use is relevant to domestic violence not only when intoxication over-rides the broad disposition to self-regulate aggressive behaviour [10], but also in terms of how it influences the way in which perpetrators (mis-)perceive provocation [11]. What is more surprising, perhaps, is the apparent lack of attention that is given to alcohol use in many contemporary batterer programmes [10], although programmes exist that include modules to promote abstinence [12]. More intensive and specialized programmes are, however, rare. A recent review of programmes published by the Washington State Institute for Public Policy [13] identified only one rigorous evaluation of a substance abuse treatment that had been designed specifically for batterers. As such, questions remain about how alcohol treatment might be best be integrated into existing programme content and the optimal intensity or type of treatment that is required.

It is interesting to note that the alcohol intervention used in this study drew heavily upon the principles of motivational interviewing [14]; an approach that is genuinely collaborative in nature. Uncertainty also exists within the domestic violence sector about how the extent to which interventions should be delivered collaboratively, with some programmes predicated on the need to actively confront the attitudes and values that support violence from the outset of intervention in order to overcome claims that the behaviour was not serious, or that in some way the victim provoked or deserved the violence [15]. It is sometimes assumed that the perpetrator must be confronted or 'jolted' into active behaviour change, and more didactic, psycho-educational approaches to group work are recommended. Other programmes can be considered to be more psychotherapeutic in nature and consistent with the approach to intervention that was adopted in this study. Such differences can be understood in terms of broader questions about how to best match clients who are at different stages of change to different styles of intervention [16,17], although the work of Stuart and colleagues seems to suggest that more collaborative and client-centred methods of intervention will be broadly applicable to changing the behaviour of perpetrators of domestic violence.

Declaration of interests

None.

Keywords Alcohol, batterer treatment, domestic violence.

ANDREW DAY

Deakin University—Psychology, Geelong, Vic. 5220, Australia. E-mail: andrew.day@deakin.edu.au

References

1. Stuart G., Shorey R., Moore T., Ramsey S., Kahler C., O'Farrell T. *et al.* Randomized clinical trial examining the incremental efficacy of a 90-minute motivational alcohol intervention as an adjunct to standard batterer intervention for men. *Addiction*; in press; 2013. 1
2. Babcock J. C., Green C. E., Robie C. Does batterers' treatment work? A meta-analytic review of domestic violence treatment. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2004; **23**: 1023–53. 2
3. Day A., Chung D., O'Leary P., Carson E. Programs for men who perpetrate domestic violence: an examination of the issues underlying the effectiveness of intervention programs. *J Fam Violence* 2009; **24**: 203–12. 3

2 Commentary

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
4. Kaner E. F. S., Dickinson H. O., Beyer F., Pienaar E., Schlesinger C., Campbell F. *et al.* The effectiveness of brief alcohol interventions in primary care settings: a systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2009; **28**: 301–23.
 5. Day A., O'Leary P., Chung D., Justo D., Moore S., Carson E. *et al.* Integrated responses to domestic violence: legally mandated intervention programs for male perpetrators. *Trends Issues Crime Crim Justice* 2010; **404**: 1–8.
 6. Gilchrist E., Johnson R., Takrili R., Weston S., Beech A., Kebbel M. *Domestic Violence Offenders: Characteristics and Offending Related Needs* (Findings 217). London: Home Office; 2003.
 7. Klostermann K., Fals-Stewart W. Intimate partner violence and alcohol use: exploring the role of drinking in partner violence and its implications for intervention. *Aggress Violent Behav* 2006; **11**: 587–97.
 8. Graham K., Bernard J., Wilshak S., Gmel G. Alcohol may not cause partner violence but it does seem to make it worse. A cross national comparison of the relationship between alcohol and severity of violence. *J Interpers Violence* 2010; **26**: 1503–23.
 9. Easton C. J., Mandel D. L., Hunkele K. A., Nich C., Rounsaville B. J., Carroll K. M. A cognitive behavioral therapy for alcohol-dependent domestic violence offenders: an integrated substance abuse–domestic violence treatment approach (SADV). *Am J Addict* 2007; **16**: 24–31.
 10. Vohs K. D., Baumeister R. F. *Handbook of Self-Regulation: Research, Theory, and Applications*. New York: Guilford Press; 2010.
 11. Howells K., Daffern M., Day A. Aggression and violence. In: Soothill K., Dolan M., Rogers P., editors. *The Handbook of Forensic Mental Health*. Cullompton, Devon: Willan; 2008, p. •••. 4
 12. Fals-Stewart W., Kashdan T. B., O'Farrell T. J., Birchler G. R. Behavioral couples therapy for drug-abusing patients: effects on partner violence. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2002; **22**: 87–96.
 13. Washington State Institute for Public Policy. *What Works To Reduce Recidivism By Domestic Violence Offenders?* 2013. Available at: <http://www.wsipp.wa.gov> (accessed 11 March 2013). 5
 14. Miller W. R., Rollnick S. *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing People for Change*, 2nd edn. New York: Guilford Press; 2002.
 15. Pence E., Paymar M. *Education Groups for Men Who Batter: The Duluth Model*. New York: Springer; 1983.
 16. Levesque D. A., Velicer W. F., Castle P. H., Greene R. N. Resistance among domestic violence offenders: measurement development and initial validation. *Violence Against Women* 2008; **14**: 158–84.
 17. Murphy C. M., Baxter V. A. Motivating batterers to change in the treatment context. *J Interpers Violence* 1997; **12**: 607–20.
- 27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

Dear Author,

During the preparation of your manuscript for publication, the questions listed below have arisen. Please attend to these matters and return this form with your proof.

Many thanks for your assistance.


Query References	Query	Remarks
1	AUTHOR: Authors are required to archive any web references before citing them using WebCite® technology (http://www.webcitation.org). This is an entirely free service that ensures that cited web material will remain available to readers in the future. Please provide the archived web reference, alongside the original URL, when returning proofs, e.g. (Archived at http://www.webcitation.org/5Kt3PxfI on 4 December 2009).	
2	WILEY-BLACKWELL: Please update the volume number and page range for Reference 1.	
3	AUTHOR: Full publication details are available for References 2–4, 7–9, 12, 16, and 17, so the doi numbers have been removed. Please confirm that it is correct.	
4	AUTHOR: Please supply page range for Reference 11.	
5	AUTHOR: Please check this website address and confirm that it is correct. (Please note that it is the responsibility of the author(s) to ensure that all URLs given in this article are correct and useable.)	

USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION


Required software to e-annotate PDFs: Adobe Acrobat Professional or Adobe Reader (version 8.0 or above). (Note that this document uses screenshots from Adobe Reader X)

The latest version of Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at: <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>

Once you have Acrobat Reader open on your computer, click on the [Comment](#) tab at the right of the toolbar:



This will open up a panel down the right side of the document. The majority of tools you will use for annotating your proof will be in the [Annotations](#) section, pictured opposite. We've picked out some of these tools below:



1. Replace (Ins) Tool – for replacing text.



Strikes a line through text and opens up a text box where replacement text can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the [Replace \(Ins\)](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Type the replacement text into the blue box that appears.

standard framework for the analysis of microeconomics. Nevertheless, it also led to the emergence of strategic behavior in the number of competitors in the industry. This is that the structure of the industry, which led to the emergence of strategic behavior, are exogenous to the industry. Important works on this by Shirasaka (henceforth) we open the 'black b



2. Strikethrough (Del) Tool – for deleting text.



Strikes a red line through text that is to be deleted.

How to use it

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the [Strikethrough \(Del\)](#) icon in the Annotations section.

there is no room for extra profits and the number of competitors are zero and the number of (net) values are not determined by Blanchard and ~~Kiyotaki~~ (1987), perfect competition in general equilibrium of aggregate demand and supply in the classical framework assuming monopoly. An exogenous number of firms

3. Add note to text Tool – for highlighting a section to be changed to bold or italic.



Highlights text in yellow and opens up a text box where comments can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight the relevant section of text.
- Click on the [Add note to text](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Type instruction on what should be changed regarding the text into the yellow box that appears.

dynamic responses of mark-ups consistent with the **VAR** evidence

sation... y Ma... and... on n... to a... on... stent also with the demand-



4. Add sticky note Tool – for making notes at specific points in the text.




Marks a point in the proof where a comment needs to be highlighted.

How to use it

- Click on the [Add sticky note](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Click at the point in the proof where the comment should be inserted.
- Type the comment into the yellow box that appears.

and supply shocks. Most of the... a... number... standard fr... cy. Nev... ole of str... ber of competitors and the imp... is that the structure of the secto



USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION


5. Attach File Tool – for inserting large amounts of text or replacement figures.



Inserts an icon linking to the attached file in the appropriate place in the text.

How to use it

- Click on the [Attach File](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Click on the proof to where you'd like the attached file to be linked.
- Select the file to be attached from your computer or network.
- Select the colour and type of icon that will appear in the proof. Click OK.



6. Add stamp Tool – for approving a proof if no corrections are required.




Inserts a selected stamp onto an appropriate place in the proof.

How to use it

- Click on the [Add stamp](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Select the stamp you want to use. (The [Approved](#) stamp is usually available directly in the menu that appears).
- Click on the proof where you'd like the stamp to appear. (Where a proof is to be approved as it is, this would normally be on the first page).

of the business cycle, starting with the
 on perfect competition, constant ret
 production. In this environment goods
 extra profits and the market for marke
 he market for goods is determined by the model. The New-Key
 otaki (1987), has introduced produc
 general equilibrium models with nomin
 and market-clearing. Most of this literat

APPROVED




7. Drawing Markups Tools – for drawing shapes, lines and freeform annotations on proofs and commenting on these marks.

Allows shapes, lines and freeform annotations to be drawn on proofs and for comment to be made on these marks..

How to use it

- Click on one of the shapes in the [Drawing Markups](#) section.
- Click on the proof at the relevant point and draw the selected shape with the cursor.
- To add a comment to the drawn shape, move the cursor over the shape until an arrowhead appears.
- Double click on the shape and type any text in the red box that appears.



For further information on how to annotate proofs, click on the [Help](#) menu to reveal a list of further options:

