

The compositional inverse of a class of permutation polynomials over a finite field

AUTHOR(S)

R Coulter, M Henderson

PUBLICATION DATE

01-01-2002

HANDLE

10536/DRO/DU:30001748

Downloaded from Deakin University's Figshare repository

Deakin University CRICOS Provider Code: 00113B

THE COMPOSITIONAL INVERSE OF A CLASS OF PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS OVER A FINITE FIELD

ROBERT S. COULTER AND MARIE HENDERSON

A new class of bilinear permutation polynomials was recently identified. In this note we determine the class of permutation polynomials which represents the functional inverse of the bilinear class.

1. Introduction and Main Result

Throughout \mathbb{F}_q denotes the finite field of $q=p^e$ elements for some prime p and positive integer e with $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ representing the ring of polynomials in the indeterminate X over \mathbb{F}_q . For polynomials $f,g\in\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, we write $f\circ g=f(g(X))$ for the functional composition of f with g. A permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q is a polynomial which, under evaluation, induces a permutation of the elements of \mathbb{F}_q . Clearly, permutation polynomials are the only polynomials which have a (functional) inverse with respect to composition, id est for a permutation polynomial $f\in\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ there exists (a unique) $f^{-1}\in\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $f(f^{-1}(X))\equiv f^{-1}(f(X))\equiv X \mod (X^q-X)$. We call f^{-1} the compositional inverse of f (or vice versa).

The problem of discovering new classes of permutation polynomials is non-trivial and has generated much interest, see the surveys and open problems given in [3, 4, 6]. Discovering classes where the inverse polynomials can also be described seems to be even more difficult: there are very few known classes of permutation polynomials for which their compositional inverses are also known. To the authors knowledge, the classes with explicit formulae for inverses are:

- (1) The linear polynomials: X + a where $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is trivially a permutation polynomial of \mathbb{F}_q with the inverse polynomial being X a.
- (2) The monomials: X^n is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if (n, q 1) = 1. In such cases, the compositional inverse of X^n is obviously the monomial X^m where $nm \equiv 1 \mod (q 1)$.

Received 10th December, 2001

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council and the Information Security Research Centre at Queensland University of Technology.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/02 \$A2.00+0.00.

(3) The Dickson polynomials of the 1st kind: $D_n(X, a)$ is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if $(n, q^2 - 1) = 1$, see [5, Chapter 3]. In such cases, for $a \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, the compositional inverse of $D_n(X, a)$ is $D_m(X, a)$ where $nm \equiv 1 \mod (q^2 - 1)$, see [5, Chapter 3].

We note that there are classes for which inverses can be determined (for example linearised and sub-linearised polynomials) but that no explicit formulas for the inverses are known.

Recently, a new class of permutation polynomials was introduced in [1]. Here we give a description for the compositional inverse of this class of permutation polynomials.

THEOREM 1. Let $q = 2^k$ for some integer k. Let n be an odd positive integer and set $Q = q^n$. Denote the trace mapping from \mathbb{F}_Q to \mathbb{F}_q by

$$Tr(X) = X + X^q + \dots + X^{q^{n-1}}$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0,1\}$, the polynomial

$$f_{\alpha}(X) = X \operatorname{Tr}(X) + (\alpha + 1)X^{2}$$

is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_Q . For α as above and for any integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, define

$$C_i = \frac{\alpha^{2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1-i} - 1} + 1}{\alpha + 1}.$$

Set

$$A_{\alpha}(X) = C_{k-1}(X^{2^{nk-1}} + \alpha^{2^{k-1}-1}Tr(X)^{2^{k-1}})$$

and

$$B_{\alpha}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1-i}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} (X \operatorname{Tr}(X) + X^2)^{2^{2jk-2-i}} \right).$$

The polynomial $g_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}$ is the compositional inverse of f_{α} over \mathbb{F}_{Q} .

The polynomials f_{α} were shown to be permutation polynomials in [1]. From Theorem 1 we have the following obvious corollary.

COROLLARY 2. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0,1\}$, the polynomials g_{α} , as defined in Theorem 1, are permutation polynomials over \mathbb{F}_Q .

2. The Proof of Theorem 1

Our attention from this point is directed to establishing the remaining statements of Theorem 1, which is to show that g_{α} is the compositional inverse of f_{α} . Our proof involves establishing a set of sequential propositions, basically involving closer examination of $f_{\alpha} \circ g_{\alpha}$, primarily in terms of the two polynomials A_{α} and B_{α} . For n odd, we have Tr(Tr(x)) = Tr(x). This identity is used many times in the following propositions. We begin by collecting some useful identities.

PROPOSITION 3. For $A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{Q}[X]$ and C_{i} as defined in Theorem 1 we have

- (i) $C_i^2 = (\alpha^{(2^{k-i}-1)} + 1)/(\alpha^2 + 1),$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(ii)} & A_{\alpha}^2(X) \equiv C_{k-1}^2\big(X + \alpha^{-1}\text{Tr}(X)\big) \bmod (X^Q + X), \\ \text{(iii)} & \text{Tr}(A_{\alpha}) \equiv \alpha^{2^{k-1}-1}\text{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}} \bmod (X^Q + X), \text{ and} \end{array}$
- (iv) $Tr(B_{\alpha}) \equiv 0 \mod (X^Q + X)$.

PROOF: (i) Squaring C_i we obtain the identity:

$$C_i^2 = \frac{\alpha^{2^k + 2^{k-i} - 2} + 1}{\alpha^2 + 1} = \frac{\alpha^{2^{k-i} - 1} + 1}{\alpha^2 + 1}.$$

- (ii) Squaring $A_{\alpha}(X)$ gives $C_{k-1}^2(X^{2^{nk}} + \alpha^{2^k-2} \operatorname{Tr}^{2^k}(X))$ which reduced modulo $(X^Q + \alpha^{2^{nk}} + \alpha^{2^k-2} \operatorname{Tr}^{2^k}(X))$ X) is $C_{k-1}^{2}(X+\alpha^{-1})$.
 - (iii) Using the definition of $A_{\alpha}(X)$ given in Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr} \big(A_{\alpha}(X) \big) &= C_{k-1} (\operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{nk-1}} + \alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}}) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}} C_{k-1} (1 + \alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)}) \bmod (X^Q + X) \\ &\equiv \alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}} \bmod (X^Q + X). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) This is immediate as $Tr(XTr(X) + X^2) = 0$.

The proof of the following result is tedious but seemingly necessary.

PROPOSITION 4. Using the same notation as above then

$$f_{\alpha}(q_{\alpha}(X)) \mod (X^{Q} + X)$$

$$= X + c_{\alpha} \Big(X^{2^{nk-1}} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}} + \operatorname{Tr}(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k}-2^{k-i}} S_{i}(X) \Big)$$

where $c_{\alpha} = (\alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)}+1)/(\alpha+1)$ and

(1)
$$S_i(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} (X \operatorname{Tr}(X) + X^2)^{2^{2jk-i-1}}.$$

PROOF: By expanding $f_{\alpha}(X) \circ g_{\alpha}(X)$ (with $g_{\alpha}(X) = A_{\alpha}(X) + B_{\alpha}(X)$) and using Proposition 3 (iv),

$$f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(X)) \bmod (X^{Q} + X)$$

$$= (A_{\alpha}(X) + B_{\alpha}(X)) \operatorname{Tr}(A_{\alpha}(X)) + (\alpha + 1)(A_{\alpha}^{2}(X) + B_{\alpha}^{2}(X)).$$

We split the terms of this sum so that $f_{\alpha}(X) \circ g_{\alpha}(X) = a(X) + b(X) \mod (X^{Q} + X)$ where $a(X) = A_{\alpha}(X)\operatorname{Tr}(A_{\alpha}(X)) + (\alpha+1)A_{\alpha}^{2}(X)$ and $b(X) = B_{\alpha}(X)\operatorname{Tr}(A_{\alpha}(X)) + (\alpha+1)B_{\alpha}^{2}(X)$. Using Proposition 3 (ii) and (iii),

$$a(X) = C_{k-1}^{2} (\alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)} + 1) \left(X^{2^{nk-1}} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}} + \alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)} \operatorname{Tr}(X) \right) + C_{k-1}^{2} (\alpha + 1) \left(X + \alpha^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(X) \right) \mod (X^{Q} + X).$$

From Proposition 3 (i), $C_{k-1}^2 = (\alpha+1)^{-1}$ and as $c_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha}\alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)} + \alpha^{-1}$ then

$$a(X) = X + c_{\alpha}(X^{2^{nk-1}}\operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}} + \operatorname{Tr}(X)) \mod (X^{Q} + X).$$

Next put $b_1(X) = \text{Tr}(A_{\alpha}(X))B_{\alpha}(X)$. Identically

$$b_1(X) = \alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^k-2^{k-1-1})} S_{i+1}(X).$$

Using $\alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)}C_i=(\alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)}+\alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)})/(\alpha+1)$ and re-writing the sum in $b_1(X)$ then we arrive at

(2)
$$b_1(X) = \sum_{i=2}^k \left(\frac{\alpha^{(2^{k-1}-1)} + \alpha^{(2^{k-i}-1)}}{\alpha+1} \right) \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^k-2^{k-i})} S_i(X).$$

Finally, put $b_2(X) = (\alpha + 1)B_{\alpha}^2(X)$. Then

$$b_2(X) = (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i^2 \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^k - 2^{k-1-i})} S_{i+1}^2(X).$$

As $S_{i+1}^2(X) = S_i(X)$, from Proposition 3 (i) we have

(3)
$$b_2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\alpha^{(2^{k-i}-1)} + 1}{\alpha + 1} \right) \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^k - 2^{k-i})} S_i(X).$$

So from Equations 2 and 3 we have

$$b(X) = b_1(X) + b_2(X)$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} c_{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^k - 2^{k-1})} S_i(X) + c_{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^k - 2^{k-1})} S_1(X) + c_{\alpha} S_k(X)$$

$$= c_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^k - 2^{k-i})} S_i(X).$$

The result now follows from calculating the sum a(X) + b(X).

PROPOSITION 5. For $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ then $f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(\beta X)) = \beta f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(X))$.

PROOF: As $Tr(\beta X) = \beta Tr(X)$, it is simple to see

$$(\beta X)^{2^{nk-1}} + \text{Tr}(\beta X)^{2^{k-1}} + \text{Tr}(\beta X) = \beta (X^{2^{nk-1}} + \text{Tr}(X)^{2^{k-1}}).$$

For $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, from Equation 1

$$S_{\underline{i}}(\beta X) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} \beta^{2^{2jk-i}} (X \operatorname{Tr}(X) + X^2)^{2^{2jk-i-1}} = \beta^{2^{k-i}} S_{\underline{i}}(X).$$

and it follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Tr}(\beta X)^{(2^{k}-2^{k-i})} \beta^{2^{k-i}} S_{i}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta^{2^{k}} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^{k}-2^{k-i})} S_{i}(X)$$
$$= \beta \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Tr}(X)^{(2^{k}-2^{k-i})} S_{i}(X).$$

We then have, using Proposition 4 and these identities, that for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(\beta X)) = \beta f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(X))$ as required.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: For $x \in \mathbb{F}_Q$, if Tr(x) = 0 then from Proposition 4 it follows directly that $f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(x)) = x$. Suppose Tr(x) = 1 for $x \in \mathbb{F}_Q$. Using Proposition 4

$$f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(x)) = x + c_{\alpha} \left(x^{2^{nk-1}} + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} (x + x^{2})^{2^{2jk-1-i}} \right)$$

$$= x + c_{\alpha} \left(x^{2^{nk-1}} + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (x + x^{2})^{2^{2jk-1-i}} \right)$$

$$= x + c_{\alpha} \left(x^{2^{nk-1}} + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} x^{2^{2jk}} + x^{2^{(2j-1)k}} \right)$$

$$= x + c_{\alpha} \left(1 + \text{Tr}(x) \right).$$

As we have assumed that $\operatorname{Tr}(x)=1$ then again $f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(x))=x$. Every element $y\in\mathbb{F}_Q$ satisfying $\operatorname{Tr}(y)\neq 0$ can be written in the form $y=\beta x$ where $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q$, and $\operatorname{Tr}(x)=1$ for some $x\in\mathbb{F}_Q$. By Proposition 5, $f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(y))=\beta f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(x))=\beta x=y$. Thus $f_{\alpha}(g_{\alpha}(X))\equiv X \mod (X^q+X)$.

The determination of the inverse class given in this article relied on using the MAGMA algebra package [2] to generate examples for small fields. This result underlines that, in general, inverses for known permutation polynomial classes are not simple to describe.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Blokhuis, R.S. Coulter, M. Henderson and C.M. O'Keefe, 'Permutations amongst the Dembowski-Ostrom polynomials', in *Finite Fields and Applications: Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Finite Fields and Applications*, (D. Jungnickel and H. Niederreiter, Editors) (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001), **pp.** 37-42.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, 'The Magma algebra system I: The user language', J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [3] R. Lidl and G.L. Mullen, 'When does a polynomial over a finite field permute the elements of the field?', Amer. Math. Monthly 95 (1988), 243-246.
- [4] R. Lidl and G.L. Mullen, 'When does a polynomial over a finite field permute the elements of the field?, II', Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993), 71-74.

- [5] R. Lidl, G.L. Mullen and G. Turnwald, *Dickson Polynomials*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Appl. Math. 65 (Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex, England, 1993).
- [6] G.L. Mullen, 'Permutation polynomials: a matrix analogue of schur's conjecture and a survey of recent results', *Finite Fields Appl.* 1 (1995), 242–258.

School of Computing and Mathematics Deakin University 221 Burwood Highway Burwood Vic 3123 Australia

e-mail: shrub@deakin.edu.au

Centre for Discrete Mathematics and Computing Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering The University of Queensland Queensland 4072 Australia

e-mail: marie@itee.uq.edu.au