Deakin Research Online

This is the published version:

Ball, Kylie, Crawford, David and Warren, Narelle 2004, How feasible are healthy eating and physical activity for young women?, *Public health nutrition*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 433-441.

Available from Deakin Research Online:

http://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30002503

Reproduced with the kind permission of the copyright owner.

Copyright: 2004, Cambridge University Press.

How feasible are healthy eating and physical activity for young women?

Kylie Ball*, David Crawford and Narelle Warren

School of Health Sciences, Deakin University, 221 Burwood Highway, Burwood, Victoria 3125, Australia

Submitted 16 June 2003: Accepted 3 September 2003

Abstract

Objective: This study investigated young women's perceptions of the feasibility of physical activity and healthy eating behaviours, and how these vary by socio-economic status, domestic characteristics and weight status.

Design: This population-based study used a mailed questionnaire to investigate perceptions of the feasibility of commonly recommended healthy eating and physical activity behaviours among a sample of young women. The feasibility of 29 physical activity behaviours (e.g. relating to frequency, intensity, duration, domain/setting) and 15 healthy eating behaviours (e.g. relating to location/setting, fruit and vegetable intake, fat/sugar intake) was assessed. Height, weight and sociodemographic details were also obtained.

Setting: Nation-wide community-based survey.

Subjects: A total of 445 women aged 18-32 years selected randomly from the Australian electoral roll.

Results: Most women reported that they either were already engaged in many of the healthy eating behaviours or saw these as highly feasible. Many physical activity behaviours, on the other hand, were perceived as less feasible, particularly among women with children and women who were overweight.

Conclusions: Health promotion messages and strategies aimed at increasing physical activity and healthy eating are unlikely to succeed unless they take into account perceptions that these behaviours are not feasible. For young women, this may involve promoting more time-effective, flexible ways of achieving recommended physical activity. Messages specifically targeted to women with children, and women who are overweight, are required.

Keywords Feasibility study Physical activity Eating Dietary guidelines Young women Obesity

Young adult women are at high risk of weight gain, of becoming obese and of developing obesity-related conditions over time. For example, longitudinal data from the USA demonstrate that, over a 10-year period, women had twice the risk of major weight gain as men, with the greatest weight gain observed for women in their twenties and thirties¹. In the first published longitudinal study to report weight change data for an Australian population sample, Ball et al.² demonstrated that weight gain over a 4-year study period (1996-2000) was common among young women, with 41% of 18-23-year-olds gaining weight (>5% increase in body mass index (BMI)) over this time. This epidemic of weight gain among young women is likely to have a substantial impact on their risk of morbidity and mortality from a number of chronic health conditions. For instance, in the USA, more women than men have died of cardiovascular disease (CVD), a condition for which obesity is a key risk factor, every year in the last 15 years³.

Women's heightened risks of weight gain, obesity and associated ill-health are likely to be at least partly

attributable to behavioural factors. Physical inactivity and poor diet are two major risk factors for weight gain, CVD and other chronic conditions^{4,5}. Consistent with international findings^{4,6}, recent population data show that Australian women are less likely to exercise regularly than are men⁷, and that physical activity levels decline rapidly in young adult women between the ages of 18 and 29 years⁸. Young women are also high consumers of takeaway foods, which are often energy-dense, high in fat and believed to contribute significantly to increased energy intake and possibly to increases in obesity^{2,9,10}. Recent analyses of population data in Australia showed that almost 50% of 18–23-year-old women reported eating take-away food once a week or more, and this was associated with increased risk of weight gain².

Recognising the significant threat to population health posed by the current obesity epidemic, and by widespread physical inactivity and poor dietary habits, health authorities in many countries have developed guidelines aimed at encouraging the public to change their physical activity and eating habits. For example, in Australia, a national strategic plan for the prevention of obesity has been developed¹¹. That plan focuses on encouraging and supporting individuals to control their weight, through incorporating regular moderate- and vigorousintensity physical activity into their lifestyles, and reducing their fat and energy intakes. These recommendations are generally consistent with those included in Australian Dietary Guidelines¹² and National Physical Activity Guidelines¹³.

In many developed countries, the public is exposed to a plethora of information based on official health recommendations regarding nutrition, physical activity and weight control (e.g. references 12-15). However, the increasing prevalence of weight gain and obesity highlights the lack of effectiveness of such recommendations in preventing weight gain. It is therefore important to ask why activity levels remain low, why dietary patterns are less than desirable and why obesity continues to increase. It has been suggested that the types of strategy that have been promoted by health authorities may not be feasible for or salient to individuals in the context of their daily lives¹⁶. However, to date, public perceptions of the feasibility of adopting weight-gain prevention strategies have not been examined. Investigation of the perceived feasibility of recommended physical activity and dietary habits may shed light on the apparent lack of success in achieving behavioural change. This is particularly important among groups at high risk of weight gain, including young women.

The perceived feasibility of specific physical activity and dietary behaviours is likely to be influenced by a number of key personal, social, environmental and life-stage contexts that are important influences on young women's lives. In a recent review of behaviour change interventions aimed at improving women's cardiovascular health, Krummel et al.¹⁷ highlighted the lack of information available to guide effective physical activity and dietary interventions, and developed a set of research recommendations for interventions targeting physical activity and dietary change in women. For both behaviours, a key recommendation was the tailoring of interventions to the stage of the life cycle. Young women's risk of weight gain, physical inactivity and poor diet may be related to a number of key life events common to this life stage¹⁸. In particular, changes in young women's domestic situation as they leave the family home, enter a de facto partnership or marriage, or have children are likely to have a major influence on their ability to adopt or adhere to healthy behaviours^{2,19-24}. It has also been recommended that interventions targeting women's health behaviours should be tailored to specific subgroups (e.g. socio-economically disadvantaged, obese women), whose particular needs have often not been considered¹⁷. A large body of evidence demonstrates that individuals of lower socioeconomic status (SES) have poorer health behaviours, and are at higher risk of obesity, than those of higher

status^{25,26}. Whether this is due to SES differences in the perceived feasibility of making changes in physical activity and healthy eating behaviours requires investigation. Similarly, few studies have investigated the particular difficulties in making these lifestyle changes among women who are already overweight. In a population-based study, Ball *et al.*² found that almost one in four overweight adults reported being overweight as a key barrier to them being physically active. With such a large and increasing proportion of young women already carrying excess weight, an examination of the feasibility of adhering to recommended physical activity and dietary guidelines among those already overweight is timely.

It is important to determine how factors such as SES, domestic situation and overweight status might influence women's perceptions of the feasibility of incorporating recommendations regarding physical activity and dietary habits into their lives. The present study investigated women's perceptions of the feasibility of a number of specific physical activity and dietary strategies, and how these vary by SES, domestic situation and weight status.

Methods

Procedure

The study was approved by the Deakin University Human Research Ethics Committee. A questionnaire was developed and pilot-tested with women in the same age group as participants, and minor revisions for clarification were made. The questionnaire was then posted to women with a letter describing the study and inviting them to participate; a consent form for participation; and a replypaid envelope for returns. A reminder postcard was sent to non-respondents 2 weeks later, and a second reminder with replacement questionnaire was sent a further 3 weeks later.

Participants

A total of 462 women aged 18–32 years participated in this study. This represented a response rate of 41% (excluding those who had moved and left no forwarding address) among a sample of 1200 women selected from the Australian Electoral Roll using a stratified random sampling procedure, with strata based on the number of eligible cases in each of the eight States/Territories of Australia. Voting is compulsory for Australian adults and hence the electoral roll provides a complete record of population data on Australian residents aged 18 years and over. This response rate is comparable to those reported in similar postal studies with this age group^{27,28}.

Measures

The questionnaire completed by the participants included questions on the following issues.

Feasibility of healthy eating and activity

Sociodemographic background

Sociodemographic details included education level (highest level of schooling: still at school, primary school, some high school, completed high school, technical/trade school certificate/apprenticeship or university/tertiary qualification), which was subsequently categorised as tertiary-educated or not tertiary-educated and used as an indicator of SES. These two broad categories of education were used since the proportions of women in several of the individual categories were very small and hence these categories had to be collapsed. Questions on marital status and parity (number of children) were also included. Domestic situation (household composition) was assessed by asking 'Who lives with you?', with response options noone, I live alone; partner/spouse; own children; someone else's children; parents; brothers/sisters; other adult relatives; and other adults who are not family members. This was subsequently re-categorised as living with parental family; living alone/share 'flatting'; living with partner (no children); or living with children (including those living with partner and child(ren) and single mothers).

Body weight

Participants were asked to self-report their height and weight, which were used to calculate BMI (as weight (kg) divided by square of height (m²)). Self-reported height and weight have previously been shown to provide a reasonably valid measure of actual height and weight for the purpose of investigating relationships in epidemiological studies²⁹. For the purpose of analysis, women were classified as overweight (BMI > 25 kg m⁻²) or not overweight (BMI ≤ 25 kg m⁻²)³⁰.

Feasibility of adopting physical activity and dietary behaviours

The feasibility of adopting 29 physical activity behaviours and 15 eating behaviours was assessed by asking participants: 'How feasible is it for you to do the following?' The complete list of feasibility items is included in the Appendix. These 44 items were based on recommendations included in the Australian Dietary Guidelines and the National Physical Activity Guidelines, and information arising from them that has been promoted to the public^{12,13}.

Of the physical activity behaviours, three were related to frequency (1-2 times/week, 3-4 times/week, 5 or more times/week); three to intensity (walking, moderate and vigorous leisure-time activity); three to duration (10 min, 30 min, > 30 min); five to incidental activity (walk or cycle to work/place of study; walk or cycle to shops; park car further away from work/place of study and walk; get off the train/bus a stop earlier and walk; take stairs instead of the lift); four to the setting (around your home/garden; in your own neighbourhood; at work/place of study; on your way to work/place of study); five to time of the day or

week (before starting the day's activities; during the day's activities; at the end of the day's activities; on weekdays; on weekends); three to social support (on own; with partner/family; with friends/work-mates); and three to the domain (leisure-time, work, sport/gym).

Of the 15 eating behaviours, three related to setting (at home; at work/place of study; out for a meal); two to fruit and vegetable intake (at least two pieces of fruit most days; at least five servings of vegetables most days); five to restricting fat/sugar intake (pastries/cakes/chocolates/biscuits; takeaways; fried foods; margarine; sugar in tea/coffee); four to choosing low-fat or low-calorie products/cooking methods (reduced-fat dairy products; lean meat; low-joule soft drinks; low-fat cooking methods); and one to restricting alcohol intake (two drinks or less a day).

Response options for all feasibility questions were: I already do this: I don't do this but it definitely is feasible: I don't do this but it might be feasible; I don't do this and it's definitely not feasible; and doesn't apply to me. For analyses, the final response 'Doesn't apply to me' was recoded where appropriate (in nine items) as either 'I don't do this and it's definitely not feasible' or 'I already do this'. For example, doesn't apply responses for items involving physical activity at work/place of study were re-coded as 'I don't do this and it's definitely not feasible', since a large proportion of the women reported not currently working/studying. For two eating behaviours, 'Keep to two drinks or less of alcohol a day?' and 'Avoid adding sugar to tea or coffee?', 'Doesn't apply to me' was re-coded as 'I already do this'. For all other items, data from the small proportion of women who reported doesn't apply for any item were excluded from analyses involving that particular item.

Making physical activity and healthy eating easier

Participants were also asked: 'What is the single most important thing that you could do, or that could be done, to make it easier for you to be physically active?' They were also asked: 'What is the single most important thing that you could do, or that could be done, to make it easier for you to eat a healthy diet?' These were open-ended questions.

Statistical analyses

Analyses were conducted using SPSS version 11.0.0 statistical software³¹. Initially, descriptive analyses investigated the percentages of women reporting different levels of perceived feasibility of adopting physical activity and eating behaviours. Content analyses of the openended questions regarding the most important things that could be done to make it easier to be physically active and to eat a healthier diet were undertaken to identify the main recurring themes.

Exploratory factor analysis using SPSS FACTOR was then performed on the 44 feasibility items, to identify underlying patterns of relationships among individual items and reduce the feasibility items to facilitate subsequent analyses. Principal components analysis with varimax rotation (since factors were not correlated) was used. Items that cross-loaded on several factors (i.e. items that had a loading of >0.4 on more than one factor), and items that had a loading of <0.4 on all factors, were subsequently eliminated. Inter-item reliability for each factor was assessed by Cronbach's α coefficients. Kaiser's measure of sampling adequacy was used to confirm the appropriateness of factor analysis³².

Analysis of variance or *t*-tests were then performed separately for each of the standardised factor scores to investigate differences in perceived feasibility between those in the four different domestic situation categories; between those of higher and lower SES; and between women who were overweight and those who were not.

Results

Profile of participants

Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1. A large proportion (42%) of the respondents were tertiary-educated, just over half were either married or living in a *de facto* relationship, and almost a third had at least one child. The median age was 26 (standard deviation 4.4) years. The mean BMI was 24.1 kg m^{-2} , with almost a third of the women classified as overweight or obese. Examination of the sociodemographic profile of the sample compared with that of

Table 1 Sociodemographic and weight characteristics of the sample (n = 445)

Characteristic	% of sample
Mean age (years)	25.7
Age (years)	
18–22	30
23–27	29
28-32	41
Education	
Tertiary educated	42
Not tertiary educated	58
Domestic situation	
Living with parental family	29
Living alone/share 'flatting'	18
Living with partner, no children	24
Living with children	29
Marital status	
Married/de facto/separated/widowed/divorced	53
Never married	47
Number of children	
None	70
One child	12
Two or more children	18
Mean BMI (kg m ⁻²)	24.1
BMI category	
Underweight	18
Healthy weight	51
Overweight	17
Obese	14

BMI - body mass index.

women of similar age (18-44 years) who participated in the most recent (2001) Australian National Health Survey $(NHS)^{33}$ showed that the present sample was reasonably similar to that population in terms of marital status and income level. There were a slightly higher proportions in the present sample of Australian-born women (89% vs. 83% among 18–24-year-olds and 74% among 25–44-yearolds in the NHS) and of women not in the labour force (28% vs. 21% and 27%, respectively); and a slightly lower proportion of women with tertiary qualifications (42% vs. 53% and 61%, respectively).

Feasibility of physical activity and dietary behaviours

The perceived feasibility of various physical activity behaviours is presented in Table 2. The majority (70%) of women reported they were already physically active once or twice a week, and none of them felt it was definitely not feasible to be active this often. Conversely, only one in four women reported they were active five or more times a week, and one in four believed it was definitely not feasible for them to be active this frequently.

A range of physical activity behaviours was perceived as definitely not feasible by a large proportion of the women. These included most of the active transport options (walking or cycling to work, parking the car and walking the extra distance, getting off the train or bus a stop earlier and walking, or being physically active on the way to work), the use of stairs instead of the lift and physical activity in or around their place of work or study. Apart from these, a majority of the women indicated that the other physical activity behaviours were feasible, to varying extents.

Overall, half or more of the women reported they already engaged in many healthy eating behaviours and habits (Table 3). Where they did not currently practise these, most women in this sample indicated that the eating behaviours were either possibly or definitely feasible. Unlike the physical activity behaviours, few participants indicated that any of the healthy eating behaviours were definitely not feasible.

Factor analysis of perceived feasibility of physical activity and dietary behaviours

The factor analysis led to the deletion of five items due to cross-loading (vigorous physical activity; physical activity around your neighbourhood; physical activity before day's activities; eat healthy at home; restrict alcohol intake) and one item (physical activity with partner/family) due to low loading on all factors. The resultant analysis revealed eight distinct, interpretable factors (shown in Tables 2 and 3). The Cronbach's α coefficients for the eight factors ranged from 0.54 to 0.93, indicating moderate to excellent internal reliability. Together the eight factors explained 57% of the total variance.

Feasibility of healthy eating and activity

Table 2 Perceived feasibility of physical activity behaviours (n = 445) (factor loadings > 0.4 are shown)

Be physically active 3-4 times/week 0.78 8 23 24 Be physically active 5 or more times/week 0.68 26 27 23 Spend 10m at a time being active 0.77 0 5 27 Spend 30min at a time being active 0.79 7 19 28 Be physically active on weekdays 0.63 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.61 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.61 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekdays 0.66 1 2 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekdays 0.61 5 29 0 Be physically active on weekdays 0.48 3 8 22 Be physically active on weekdays 0.49 7 24 36 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities	Feasibility of physical activity behaviour	Factor loading	Definitely not feasible (%)	Might be feasible (%)	Definitely is feasible (%)	Already do this (%)
Explained variance: 23.5% Cronbach's alpha: 0.93 Be physically active 3 -4 times/week 0.80 0 11 19 Be physically active 3 -4 times/week 0.78 8 23 24 Be physically active 3 -4 times/week 0.78 8 23 24 Spend 10 min at a time being active 0.77 0 5 27 Spend 30 min at a time being active 0.77 19 28 Be physically active at end of day's activities 0.63 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.86 3 8 22 Be physically active on weekdays 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity 0.77 41 17 17 Be physically active anuon domor or garden 0.43 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></t<>				-		
Crobach's alpha: 0.93 Be physically active 1–2 times/week 0.80 0 11 19 Be physically active 5 or more times/week 0.68 26 27 23 Spend 10 min at a time being active 0.77 0 5 27 Spend 30 min at a time being active 0.78 7 19 28 Be physically active and of day's activities 0.63 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekends 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekends 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekends 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active on weekends 0.66 15 29 25 27 Be physically active in leisure or free time 0.72 1 10 27 Play sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre 0.52 13 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.80 17 21	0					
Be physically active 1 - 2 times/week 0.80 0 111 19 Be physically active 3 - 4 times/week 0.68 26 27 23 Spend 10 min at a time being active 0.77 0 5 27 Spend 10 min at a time being active 0.82 1 14 26 Spend more than 30 min at a time being active 0.79 7 19 28 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.86 3 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.86 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekdays 0.86 3 8 22 De physically active on your own 0.68 3 8 22 De physically active on your own 0.68 3 18 22 De physically active on gue exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle to work/place of study 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of thre to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.52 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.55 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Items not localing on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities - 13 25 26						
Be physically active 3-4 times/week 0.78 8 23 24 Be physically active 5 or more times/week 0.68 26 27 23 Spend 10m at a time being active 0.77 0 5 27 Spend 30min at a time being active 0.79 7 19 28 Be physically active on weekdays 0.63 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.86 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekends 0.66 1 2 27 Be physically active on weekends 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekends 0.56 1 2 27 Be physically active on weekends 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Eigenvalue: 3.16 23% 24 17 17 Eigenvalue: 3.16 23% 24 17 17 Be physically active anow for other activities 0.82						
Be physically active 5 or more times/week 0.68 26 27 23 Spend 10 min at a time being active 0.77 0 5 27 Spend 30 min at a time being active 0.79 7 14 26 Spend atom at a time being active 0.79 7 19 28 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active on weekdays 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active on weekends 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active on weekends 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active ariance: 8.3% 0.77 41 13 11 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>70</td></td<>			-			70
Spend 10min at a time being active 0.77 0 5 27 Spend 30min at a time being active 0.79 7 19 28 Be physically active at end of day's activities 0.83 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 25 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 11 13 11 Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 23 Facto						45
Spend 30 min at a time being active 0.82 1 14 26 Spend more than 30 min at a time being active 0.79 7 19 28 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on your own 0.68 3 8 22 Participation of a walk to get exercise 0.56 12 25 27 Pay sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre 0.52 13 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 17 21 17 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 0.80 17 21 17 Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td></t<>						24
Spend more than 30 min at a time being active 0.79 7 19 28 Be physically active at end of day's activities 0.63 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekends 0.63 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekends 0.68 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekends 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active on weekends 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active in leisure or free time 0.72 1 10 27 Play sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 5 29 0 0 15 29 0 36 17 13 11 13 14 13 11 13 11 13 11 13 11 13 11 13 11 14 23 24 17 17 17 17 17 13 11 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>68</td>			-			68
Be physically active at end of day's activities 0.63 12 22 27 Be physically active on weekdays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weekdays 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on your own 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active with riends/work-mates 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 20 17 17 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.80 17 21 17 Be physically active around home or garden						58
Be physically active on weeklays 0.81 3 14 19 Be physically active on weeklands 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active on your own 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active on your own 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active on your own 0.66 12 25 27 Be physically active on the times centre 0.52 13 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 21 17 17 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 21 13 11 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Walk/cycle is work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Walk/cycle is attrivitie on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 <td< td=""><td>Spend more than 30 min at a time being active</td><td>0.79</td><td></td><td>19</td><td></td><td>47</td></td<>	Spend more than 30 min at a time being active	0.79		19		47
Be physically active on weekends 0.68 3 12 29 Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.52 13 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 7 21 17 Be physically active dring day's activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active dring day's activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active dring day's activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 23 Factor 4: Physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% 77 10 11 Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk 0.72 70	Be physically active at end of day's activities	0.63	12	22	27	40
Be physically active on your own 0.68 3 8 22 Be physically active in leisure or free time 0.72 1 10 27 Play sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre 0.52 13 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 5 29 Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0	Be physically active on weekdays					65
Be physically active with friends/work-mates 0.56 12 25 27 Be physically active in leisure or free time 0.72 1 10 27 Play sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% 7 21 17 Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Factor 4: Physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% 72 10 11 Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk 0.72 60 10 11 Walk	Be physically active on weekends	0.68	3	12	29	56
Be physically active in leisure or free time 0.72 1 10 27 Play sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre 0.52 13 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 5 29 Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 73 8 5 24 17 17 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 23 Factor 4: Physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 27 10 11 Get off train/bus a stope arlier and walk 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 3: Incidental physical activity <t< td=""><td>Be physically active on your own</td><td>0.68</td><td>3</td><td>8</td><td>22</td><td>67</td></t<>	Be physically active on your own	0.68	3	8	22	67
Play sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre 0.52 13 19 26 Go for a walk to get exercise 0.50 1 5 29 Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.80 17 21 17 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 23 Factor 4: Physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Walk/cycle to work/place of study 0.77 60 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Lems not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities - 13 25 26	Be physically active with friends/work-mates	0.56	12	25	27	37
Go for a walk to get exercise0.501529Do moderate kinds of activities0.4972436Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activityEigenvalue: 3.165241717Explained variance: 8.3%7211717Be physically active as part of work or other activities0.82241717Be physically active at work/place of study0.77411311Be physically active around home or garden0.4321423Factor 4: Physical activity for transportEigenvalue: 2.0727601011Go et of driving a stop earlier and walk0.72601011Get of train/bus a stop earlier and walk0.72701011Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops0.43151227Factor 7: Incidental physical activityEigenvalue: 1.2527Factor 7: Incidental physical activityEigenvalue: 1.252.3%7613185Items not loading on any factor0.6241185Do and to activities0.624118518Take stairs instead of lift0.6241185Do roporous kinds of activities-132526	Be physically active in leisure or free time	0.72	1	10	27	63
Do moderate kinds of activities 0.49 7 24 36 Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active during day's activities 0.80 17 21 17 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72 Be physically active to local shops 0.43 15 12 15 Walk/cycle to work/place of study 0.72 60 10 11 Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities - 13 25 26	Play sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre	0.52	13	19	26	43
Factor 2: Work/domestic physical activity Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73Be physically active as part of work or other activities0.82241717Be physically active as part of work or other activities0.80172117Be physically active at work/place of study0.77411311Be physically active around home or garden0.4321423Factor 4: Physical activity for transportEigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72521215Walk/cycle to work/place of study instead of driving0.72601011Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk0.72701011Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops0.43151227Factor 7: Incidental physical activityEigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56561318Park car and walk the extra distance0.54561318Take stairs instead of lift0.6241185Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities-132526	Go for a walk to get exercise	0.50	1	5	29	65
Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.82 24 17 17 Be physically active as part of work or other activities 0.80 17 21 17 Be physically active at work/place of study 0.77 41 13 11 Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 23 Factor 4: Physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Walk/cycle to work/place of study 0.72 60 10 11 Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities – 13 25 26	Do moderate kinds of activities	0.49	7	24	36	33
Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 23 Factor 4: Physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Walk/cycle to work/place of study instead of driving 0.72 60 10 11 Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities – 13 25 26	Eigenvalue: 3.16 Explained variance: 8.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.73 Be physically active as part of work or other activities					43 45
Be physically active around home or garden 0.43 2 14 23 Factor 4: Physical activity for transport Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Walk/cycle to work/place of study instead of driving 0.72 60 10 11 Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities – 13 25 26		0.77	41	13	11	35
Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72 Be physically active on way to work/place of study 0.81 52 12 15 Walk/cycle to work/place of study instead of driving 0.72 60 10 11 Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk 0.72 70 10 11 Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops 0.43 15 12 27 Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities – 13 25 26		0.43	2	14	23	61
Walk/cycle to work/place of study instead of driving0.72601011Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk0.72701011Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops0.43151227Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56Park car and walk the extra distance0.54561318Take stairs instead of lift0.6241185Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities	Eigenvalue: 2.07 Explained variance: 5.4% Cronbach's alpha: 0.72					
Get off train/bus a stop earlier and walk0.72701011Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops0.43151227Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56Park car and walk the extra distance0.54561318Park car and walk the extra distance0.6241185Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities-132526						20
Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops0.43151227Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.561318Park car and walk the extra distance0.54561318Park car and walk the extra distance0.6241185Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities-132526						19
Factor 7: Incidental physical activity Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3% Cronbach's alpha: 0.56 Park car and walk the extra distance 0.54 56 13 18 Take stairs instead of lift 0.62 41 18 5 Items not loading on any factor Do vigorous kinds of activities – 13 25 26						9
Eigenvalue: 1.25Explained variance: 3.3%Cronbach's alpha: 0.56Park car and walk the extra distance0.54561318Take stairs instead of lift0.6241185Items not loading on any factorDo vigorous kinds of activities–132526	Walk/cycle instead of drive to local shops	0.43	15	12	27	37
Take stairs instead of lift0.6241185Items not loading on any factorDo vigorous kinds of activities-132526	Eigenvalue: 1.25 Explained variance: 3.3%					
Take stairs instead of lift0.6241185Items not loading on any factorDo vigorous kinds of activities-132526		0.54	56	13	18	14
Do vigorous kinds of activities – 13 25 26	Take stairs instead of lift	0.62	41	18	5	36
Do vigorous kinds of activities – 13 25 26	Items not loading on any factor					
		_	13	25	26	36
	Be physically active in neighbourhood or suburb	_	7	19	33	41
		_				26
Be physically active before day's activities – 13 22 33 Be physically active with partner/family – 7 19 30						20 44

Provisional names were assigned to the eight factors and standardised factor scores were computed for each factor. Mean standardised factor scores are presented in Table 4 (a higher score representing a higher level of perceived feasibility for items loading on that factor).

Analysis of variance showed that mean scores differed significantly by domestic situation for the following factors: leisure-time physical activity; work/domestic physical activity; physical activity for transport; incidental physical activity; and fruit and vegetables (see Table 4). Women with children perceived leisure-time physical activity and physical activity for transport as less feasible, whilst women living alone or in a shared house perceived these physical activities as more feasible. Women with children also perceived incidental physical activity as less feasible for them, yet perceived work/domestic physical activity as more feasible. They also perceived fruit and vegetable consumption as more feasible, whereas women living along or in a shared house perceived this as less feasible for them.

t-Tests showed that mean scores differed by weight status for three of the factors (see Table 4). Overweight women tended to perceive leisure-time physical activity and physical activity for transport as less feasible than did women who were not overweight. Conversely, consumption of reduced-energy/fat drinks and condiments was reported as being more feasible for overweight women than others. There were no significant differences in mean factor scores by SES (education) for any of the eight factors (data not shown). 438

Table 3 Perceived feasibility of eating behaviours (n = 445) (factor loadings > 0.4 are shown)

Feasibility of eating behaviour	Factor loading	Definitely not feasible (%)	Might be feasible (%)	Definitely is feasible (%)	Already do this (%)
Factor 3: <i>Eating out/high-fat prepared foods</i> Eigenvalue: 2.30 Explained variance: 6.0% Cronbach's alpha: 0.67					
Restrict eating pastries, cakes, etc. to once a week or less	0.74	7	10	42	41
Restrict takeaways to once a week or less	0.73	1	9	20	71
Avoid fried foods	0.69	3	11	33	54
Eat healthy when go out for a meal	0.47	1	15	36	48
Factor 5: <i>Reduced-energy/fat drinks or condiments</i> Eigenvalue: 1.44 Explained variance: 3.8% Cronbach's alpha: 0.64					
Drink low-joule or diet soft drinks	0.71	12	8	31	49
Eat reduced-fat or skimmed milk	0.66	8	7	20	65
Avoid adding sugar to tea or coffee	0.64	12	11	25	52
Eat a scrape of margarine	0.47	2	6	28	64
Factor 6: Low-fat cooking Eigenvalue: 1.35 Explained variance: 3.6% Cronbach's alpha: 0.71 Use low-fat cooking methods	0.79	2	6	14	78
Eat lean meat/poultry, trimmed fat	0.79	2	4	15	80
Factor 8: <i>Fruit and vegetables</i> Eigenvalue: 1.12 Explained variance: 2.9% Cronbach's alpha: 0.54					
Eat two pieces of fruit most days	0.74	2 5	7	43	48
Eat five servings of vegetables most days	0.73	5	15	39	41
Items not loading on any factor					
Eat healthy at home	-	1	4	26	69
Eat healthy at work/place of study	-	12	8	25	55
Keep to two drinks or less of alcohol a day	-	2	4	7	87

Table 4 Mean standardised feasibility factor scores by domestic situation and weight status

		Domestic situation					Weight status		
Factor	Total sample	Parents	Alone/share	Partner	Children	P-value*	Not overweight	Overweight	P-value†
F1: Leisure-time physical activity	0.00	0.16	0.27	-0.04	-0.36	< 0.01	0.15	-0.20	0.02
F2: Work/domestic physical activity	0.07	- 0.04	-0.14	-0.17	0.31	0.03	-0.14	0.03	0.79
F3: Eating out/high-fat prepared foods	0.02	-0.10	-0.05	0.06	0.08	0.69	0.02	-0.10	0.39
F4: Physical activity for transport	0.02	0.04	0.37	-0.04	-0.35	<0.01	0.06	-0.21	0.04
F5: Reduced-energy/fat drinks or condiments	0.01	0.15	0.23	-0.13	-0.18	0.06	0.10	0.21	0.03
F6: Low-fat cooking F7: Incidental physical activity F8: Fruit and vegetables	- 0.04 - 0.14 0.10	- 0.16 0.08 0.01	-0.04 -0.03 -0.28	0.03 0.23 0.08	0.16 -0.35 0.24	0.32 <0.01 0.05	0.01 0.10 0.05	0.04 0.15 0.07	0.75 0.12 0.35

* P-value for analysis of variance/t-tests of significance of differences between women in four domestic situation categories.

+ P-value for t-tests of significance of differences between overweight and not overweight women.

Making physical activity and healthy eating easier Women identified a number of things that could be done to make it easier for them to participate in physical activity. The most common responses were: better time management/making more time for exercise (23.1%); increased motivation (17.5%); reducing or altering work/study hours (10.2%); having inexpensive child support or having a child in school (9.6%); increasing walking (9.4%); and joining a gym (8.4%). With regard to what would help with eating a healthy diet, women most often mentioned: having more time to prepare healthy foods (10.8%); having more fresh and healthy food in the house (10.7%); having tasty, healthy food alternatives available (9.0%); greater motivation and self-control (9%); limiting sugar and confectionery (9.0%); and eating more fruits and vegetables (8.3%).

Discussion

In order to increase population physical activity levels and improve eating habits, it is important that health authorities take into account how feasible it is for individuals to incorporate into their daily lives the kind of behavioural changes that are being promoted. Health promotion messages and intervention strategies aimed at increasing physical activity and healthy eating are unlikely to succeed unless they address perceptions that these behaviours are not feasible. The findings of this study, which focused on a target group at high risk of weight gain, suggest that typically recommended healthy eating behaviours were on the whole considered feasible, with many young women reporting that they already ate healthily at home, used low-fat products and cooking methods, and otherwise adhered to recommendations for healthy eating. For those women who were not already doing so, most eating behaviours were viewed as highly feasible. Achieving optimum physical activity levels, on the other hand, may be difficult, since a number of recommended behavioural changes were perceived by women to be simply not feasible. For example, 'active transport' strategies that have been promoted as ways to increase overall activity levels¹³ were seen as definitely not feasible by more than one in two women. This clearly suggests that physical activity messages being promoted to young women may need to be revised to better address their views of the feasibility of change.

The physical activity guidelines for Australians recommend that adults accumulate 30 min of moderateintensity activity at least 5 days per week¹³. The findings that the majority of participants indicated it was feasible for them to incorporate moderate-intensity activities into their lifestyles, and that they could find time to spend at least 30 min per day being active, are encouraging. However, it is of concern that one in four women felt it was definitely not feasible for them to be active five times a week. Advice on how to incorporate this amount of physical activity into their day may help more women see this recommendation as achievable. The suggestion, for instance, of breaking down 30 min to 10 min three times daily is currently incorporated into Australia's physical activity guidelines, but may need stronger emphasis. Efforts to promote this level of activity could focus particularly on increasing activity in the home or local neighbourhood, as women generally saw activity in these settings as more feasible.

In promoting recommendations for improving physical activity and eating behaviours, health authorities have largely promoted 'one size fits all' strategies intended for the whole population. The data presented here suggest that individual circumstances relating to domestic situation and weight status may have important influences on how achievable such strategies are perceived to be. Specifically, women with children were less likely than were

other women to see many leisure-time physical activity behaviours as being feasible. The findings, consistent with past studies investigating barriers among women³⁴⁻³⁶, support the recommendation that interventions aimed at women should be tailored to this stage of the life cycle¹⁷. Policy-makers may thus need to explore opportunities to create more supportive environments for women with children (e.g. via provision of subsidised childcare facilities or more flexible working hours), so that these women can more feasibly adopt or maintain physical activity. Indeed, these were among women's own suggestions given in response to open-ended questions on what could be done to make physical activity easier for them. On the other hand, women with children saw healthy eating behaviours involving increased fruit and vegetables as being more feasible than did other women. The role of mother may have a positive effect on perceived ability to implement healthy eating behaviours, perhaps due to an increased sense of responsibility among mothers for ensuring healthy food is available in the household for their children.

The present study also showed that overweight women were less likely than other women to see leisure-time activity as being feasible. Public health strategies place an emphasis on increasing levels of physical activity as a means of preventing weight gain and obesity (e.g. references 11 and 30). Given that large proportions of the population in many countries are already overweight or obese, the present findings are a cause for considerable concern. This situation is further compounded by recent evidence showing that young women who are already overweight are at heightened risk of further weight gain^{2,37}. This may result in a cycle in which overweight leads to lower levels of physical activity in young women, and this contributes to further weight gain, and so forth. To prevent this, a more detailed exploration of why it is that overweight women do not see physical activity as feasible is warranted. It is likely that embarrassment, lack of confidence and physical discomfort are key factors³⁸. These and other issues that may influence overweight women's willingness to be active need to be addressed in future physical activity promotion campaigns, and further research to explore how best to achieve this is required.

Somewhat surprisingly, there were no differences in perceived feasibility of healthy eating or physical activity behaviours between women of different SES. It may be that the education variable used as an indicator of SES in this study was not sufficiently sensitive to detect differences in these perceptions. Women in this age range may not yet have completed their education. Another measure of SES, such as income, may have shown significant associations. Alternatively, women's perceptions of the feasibility of these behaviours may not vary by SES, with other influences (e.g. relating to domestic situation and weight) more important. Established socioeconomic differences in eating and physical activity may be mediated by factors other than the perceived feasibility of these behaviours.

This study has some limitations. While the study used a randomly selected, reasonably representative nation-wide sample of young women, the findings reported here might be age- and gender-specific, and not generalisable to other groups. All data were based on self-reported measures. Use of self-reported height and weight may have resulted in underestimation of BMI³⁹; in addition, the self-report feasibility questions were not previously validated. However, the distributions of responses and consistency of the findings with those of studies assessing barriers to healthy behaviours among women^{34–36} suggested that the questions were well-understood and answered honestly.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the feasibility of physical activity guidelines and healthy eating recommendations. The findings thus provide unique information with important implications for health promotion policies and programmes. Results suggest there is a need to revise physical activity promotion messages and/or better inform women as to how they can feasibly adopt the strategies that are being promoted, particularly with regard to physical activity behaviours. While more women reported already following recommended healthy eating advice, better-targeted strategies may succeed in shifting those women who reported these behaviours as feasible towards actually engaging in the behaviours. Messages specifically targeted to women's life stage and circumstances may be more effective in bringing about behaviour change in those groups who perceive these behaviours as difficult. Health promotion strategies addressing perceived time pressures, such as time management training, advice on breaking down physical activity into shorter sessions or lessons in preparing quick healthy meals, may be particularly helpful. Currently there is little evidence of the most effective interventions to prevent weight gain. It is important that future recommendations and interventions take into account the feasibility for individuals to make the kind of behavioural changes that are advocated.

Acknowledgements

K.B. is supported by a Public Health Postdoctoral Research Fellowship from the National Health and Medical Research Council (ID 136925). D.C. is supported by a Career Development Award funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council and National Heart Foundation of Australia.

References

- 1 Williamson DF, Kahn HS, Remington PL, Anda RF. The 10-year incidence of overweight and major weight gain in US adults. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1990; **150**: 665–72.
- 2 Ball K, Brown W, Crawford D. Who does not gain weight?

Prevalence and predictors of weight maintenance in young women. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders* 2002; **26**: 1570–8.

- 3 American Heart Association (AHA). Heart and Stroke Statistical Update. Dallas, TX: AHA, 2002.
- 4 US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). *Physical Activity and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: US DHHS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 1996.
- 5 World Health Organization (WHO). *Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases.* WHO Technical Report Series No. 797. Geneva: WHO, 1990.
- 6 Serdula M, Mokdad AH, Williamson DF, Galuska DA, Mendlein JM, Heath GW. Prevalence of attempting weight loss and strategies for controlling weight. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1999; 282(14): 1353-8.
- 7 Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). *Participation in Sport* and *Physical Activities*. Catalogue No. 4177. Canberra: ABS, 2002.
- 8 Leslie E, Fotheringham MJ, Owen N, Bauman A. Age-related differences in physical activity levels of young adults. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 2001; 33(2): 255-8.
- 9 Neilson SJ, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM. Trends in energy intake in the US between 1977 and 1996: similar shifts seen across age groups. *Obesity Research* 2002; **10**: 370–8.
- 10 Jeffery RW, French SA. Epidemic obesity in the United States: are fast foods and television viewing contributing? *American Journal of Public Health* 1998; 88(2): 277-80.
- 11 National Health and Medical Research Council. Acting on Australia's Weight: A Strategic Plan for the Prevention of Overweight and Obesity. Canberra: Australian Government Printing Service, 1997.
- 12 Kellett E, Smith A, Schmerlaib Y. *The Australian Guide to Healthy Eating*. Canberra: Commonwealth Department of Health and Family Services, 1998.
- 13 Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care. *National Physical Activity Guidelines for Australians*. Canberra: Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care, 1999.
- 14 Health Education Authority (HEA). *Eight Guidelines* for a Healthy Diet: A Guide for Nutrition Educators. Abingdon: HEA (in association with the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the Department of Health), 1997.
- 15 National Institutes of Health (NIH). *Physical Activity and Weight Control*. NIH Publication No. 96-4031. Bethesda, MD: NIH, 1996.
- 16 Crawford D, Ball K. Behavioural determinants of the obesity epidemic. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2002; 11(Suppl): S718–21.
- 17 Krummel DA, Matson Koffman D, Bronner Y, Davis J, Greenlund K, Tessaro I, *et al.* Cardiovascular health interventions in women: what works? *Journal of Women's Health & Gender-Based Medicine* 2001; **10**(2): 117–36.
- 18 Wing R. Physical activity in the treatment of the adulthood overweight and obesity: current evidence and research issues. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 1999; 31(Suppl. 11): S547–52.
- 19 Brown W, Ball K, Powers J. Is life a party for young women? ACHPER Healthy Lifestyles Journal 1998; 45: 21-56.
- 20 Kahn H, Williamson D, Stevens J. Race and weight change in US women: the roles of socioeconomic and marital status. *American Journal of Public Health* 1991; 81(3): 319–23.
- 21 Montero P, Bernis C, Varea C, Arias S. Lifetime dietary change and its relation to increase in weight in Spanish women. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders* 2002; **24**: 14–9.

Feasibility of healthy eating and activity

- 22 Schafer R, Keith P. Marital stress, psychological distress, and healthful dietary behavior: a longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 2000; **30**(8): 1639–56.
- 23 Bray G, Popkin B. Dietary fat intake does affect obesity. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1998; 68: 1157–73.
- 24 Wing R, Klem M. Obesity. In: Gallant S, Keita P, Royak-Schaler R, eds. *Health Care for Women: Psychological, Social and Behavioral Influences*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 1997.
- 25 Lynch JW, Kaplan GA, Salonen JT. Why do poor people behave poorly? Variation in adult health behaviors and psychosocial characteristics by stages of the socioeconomic lifecourse. Social Science & Medicine 1997; 44(6): 809–19.
- 26 Sobal J, Stunkard AJ. Socioeconomic status and obesity: a review of the literature. *Psychological Bulletin* 1989; **105**(2): 260–75.
- Brown W, Bryson L, Dobson A, Lee C, Mishra G, Schofield M.
 Women's Health Australia: recruitment for a national longitudinal cohort study. Women & Health 1998; 28(1): 23-40.
- 28 Bryson L, Strazzari S, Brown W. Shaping families: women, control and contraception. *Family Matters* 1999; 53: 31–8.
- 29 Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Validity of selfreported height and weight in 4808 EPIC-Oxford participants. *Public Health Nutrition* 2002; 5(4): 561-5.
- 30 World Health Organization (WHO). Obesity: Prevention and Managing the Global Epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation on Obesity, Geneva, 3–5 June 1997. Geneva: WHO, 1998.

Appendix - Feasibility items

- 31 SPSS, Inc.. SPSS for Windows, Release 11.0.0. Chicago, IL: SPSS, Inc., 2001.
- 32 Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using Multivariate Statistics, 3rd ed. New York: HarperCollins, 1996.
- 33 Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). Australian National Health Survey 2001. Catalogue No. 4364. Canberra: ABS, 2002.
- 34 Jaffee L, Lutter JM, Rex J, Hawkes C, Bucaccio P. Incentives and barriers to physical activity for working women. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 1999; **13**(4): 215–8.
- 35 Johnson CA, Corrigan SA, Dubbert PM, Gramling SE. Perceived barriers to exercise and weight control practices in community women. *Women & Health* 1990; **16**(3/4): 177–91.
- 36 Zunft HF, Friebe D, Seppelt B, Widhalm K, de Winter AR, vaz de Almeida MD, *et al.* Perceived benefits and barriers to physical activity in a nationally representative sample in the European Union. *Public Health Nutrition* 1999; 2(1a): 153–60.
- 37 Ball K, Crawford D, Hodge AM, Ireland P. Patterns and demographic predictors of five-year weight change in a multi-ethnic cohort of men and women in Australia. *Public Health Nutrition* 2003; **6**(3): 269–80.
- 38 Ball K, Crawford D, Owen N. Too fat to exercise? Obesity as a barrier to physical activity. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 2000; 24(3): 331–3.
- 39 Flood V, Webb K, Lazarus R, Pang G. Use of self-report to monitor overweight and obesity in populations: some issues for consideration. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 2001; 24: 96–9.

Items relating to physical activity	Items relating to healthy eating
How feasible is it for you to: Be physically active 1-2 times/week? Be physically active 3-4 times/week? Be physically active 5 or more times/week? Go for a walk in order to get some exercise? Walk or cycle to work/place of study instead of driving? Park your car further away from work/place of study and walk the extra distance? Get off the train/bus a stop earlier and walk the extra distance home or to work/place of study? Walk or cycle instead of driving to the local shops? Take the stairs instead of the lift at work/place of study or when out and about? Do moderate kinds of activities like social tennis, recreational swimming or dancing? Do vigorous kinds of activities like running, competitive sports or aerobics? Spend 10 min at a time being physically active? Spend 30 min at a time being physically active? Be physically active around your home/garden? Be physically active on the way to work/place of study? Be physically active before you start your day's activities? Be physically active at the end of your day's activities? Be physically active on weekdays (Monday-Friday)? Be physically active on weekdays (Monday-Friday)? Be physically active on your own? Be physically active on your own? Be physically active with your partner/family? Be physically active with your partner/family? Be physically active with your fiends/work-mates? Be physically active in your leisure or free time? Be physically active as part of your work or daily activities? Flay a sport, or attend a gym or fitness centre?	 How feasible is it for you to: Eat healthy when you are at home? Eat healthy when you go out for a meal? Eat healthy when you go out for a meal? Eat at least two pieces of fruit on most days? Eat at least five pieces of vegetables on most days? Use reduced-fat or skimmed milk dairy products instead of full-cream varieties? Restrict eating pastries, cakes, chocolates and biscuits to once a week or less? Restrict eating takeaways to once a week or less? Avoid eating fried and deep-fried foods? Choose lean meat and poultry cuts, trimmed of fat? Use only a scrape of margarine on bread, toast and crispbread? Drink only low-joule or diet soft drinks? Keep to two drinks or less of alcohol a day? Avoid adding sugar to tea or coffee? Use low-fat cooking methods such as microwaving, grilling, boiling or steaming, instead of deep frying or roasting?