

Dear Author

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- Please return your proof together with the permission to publish confirmation.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the journal title, article number, and your name when sending your response via e-mail, fax or regular mail.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections **within 48 hours**, we will send you a reminder.

Please note

Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI.

Further changes are, therefore, not possible.

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00289-x>

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information, go to:

<http://www.springerlink.com>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

1	Article Title	Psychometric Validation of the Bangla Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Rasch Analysis	
2	Article Sub- Title		
3	Article Copyright - Year	The Author(s) 2020 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
4	Journal Name	International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction	
5	Corresponding Author	Family Name	Griffiths
6		Particle	
7		Given Name	Mark D.
8		Suffix	
9		Organization	Nottingham Trent University
10		Division	International Gaming Research Unit, Psychology Department
11	Corresponding Author	Address	50 Shakespeare Street, Nottingham NG1 4FQ, UK
12		e-mail	mark.griffiths@ntu.ac.uk
13		Family Name	Pakpour
14		Particle	
15		Given Name	Amir H.
16		Suffix	
17	Corresponding Author	Organization	Jönköping University
18		Division	Department of Nursing, School of Health and Welfare
19		Address	Jönköping, Sweden
20		Organization	Qazvin University of Medical Sciences
21		Division	Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Research Institute for Prevention of Non-Communicable Diseases
22		Address	Shahid Bahonar Blvd, Qazvin 3419759811, Iran
23	Corresponding Author	e-mail	apakpour@qums.ac.ir
24		Family Name	Mamun
25		Particle	
26		Given Name	Mohammed A.
27		Suffix	
28		Organization	Undergraduate Research Organization
29	Corresponding Author	Division	

30		Address	Gerua Road, Savar 1342, Dhaka, Bangladesh
31		Organization	Jahangirnagar University
32		Division	Department of Public Health & Informatics
33		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
34		e-mail	mamun.abdullah@phiju.edu.bd
35		Family Name	Sakib
36		Particle	
37		Given Name	Najmuj
38		Suffix	
39		Organization	Undergraduate Research Organization
40	Author	Division	
41		Address	Gerua Road, Savar 1342, Dhaka, Bangladesh
42		Organization	Jashore University of Science and Technology
43		Division	Department of Microbiology
44		Address	Jashore, Bangladesh
45		e-mail	
46		Family Name	Bhuiyan
47		Particle	
48		Given Name	A. K. M. Israfil
49		Suffix	
50	Author	Organization	Undergraduate Research Organization
51		Division	
52		Address	Gerua Road, Savar 1342, Dhaka, Bangladesh
53		e-mail	
54		Family Name	Hossain
55		Particle	
56		Given Name	Sahadat
57		Suffix	
58	Author	Organization	Jahangirnagar University
59		Division	Department of Public Health & Informatics
60		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
61		e-mail	
62		Family Name	Mamun
63		Particle	Al
64		Given Name	Firoj
65		Suffix	
66		Organization	Undergraduate Research Organization
67		Division	

68		Address	Gerua Road, Savar 1342, Dhaka, Bangladesh
69		Organization	Jahangirnagar University
70		Division	Department of Public Health & Informatics
71		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
72		e-mail	
73	Author	Family Name	Hosen
74		Particle	
75		Given Name	Ismail
76		Suffix	
77		Organization	Undergraduate Research Organization
78		Division	
79		Address	Gerua Road, Savar 1342, Dhaka, Bangladesh
80		Organization	Jahangirnagar University
81		Division	Department of Public Health & Informatics
82		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
83		e-mail	
84	Author	Family Name	Abdullah
85		Particle	
86		Given Name	Abu Hasnat
87		Suffix	
88		Organization	Undergraduate Research Organization
89		Division	
90		Address	Gerua Road, Savar 1342, Dhaka, Bangladesh
91		Organization	Jahangirnagar University
92		Division	Department of Public Health & Informatics
93		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
94		e-mail	
95	Author	Family Name	Sarker
96		Particle	
97		Given Name	Md. Abedin
98		Suffix	
99		Organization	Undergraduate Research Organization
100		Division	
101		Address	Gerua Road, Savar 1342, Dhaka, Bangladesh
102		Organization	Jahangirnagar University
103		Division	Department of Public Health & Informatics
104		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
105		e-mail	

106		Family Name	Mohiuddin
107		Particle	
108		Given Name	Mohammad Sarif
109	Author	Suffix	
110		Organization	Aichi Medical University
111		Division	Division of Diabetes, Department of Internal Medicine
112		Address	Nagakute, Aichi, Japan
113		e-mail	
114		Family Name	Rayhan
115		Particle	
116		Given Name	Ishtiak
117	Author	Suffix	
118		Organization	Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University
119		Division	Department of Economics
120		Address	Gopalganj, Bangladesh
121		e-mail	
122		Family Name	Hossain
123		Particle	
124		Given Name	Moazzem
125	Author	Suffix	
126		Organization	Institute of Allergy and Clinical Immunology of Bangladesh
127		Division	
128		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
129		e-mail	
130		Family Name	Sikder
131		Particle	
132		Given Name	Md. Tajuddin
133	Author	Suffix	
134		Organization	Jahangirnagar University
135		Division	Department of Public Health & Informatics
136		Address	Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh
137		e-mail	
138		Family Name	Gozal
139	Author	Particle	
140		Given Name	David
141		Suffix	

142		Organization	The University of Missouri School of Medicine
143		Division	Department of Child Health and the Child Health Research Institute
144		Address	Columbia, MO, USA
145		e-mail	
146		Family Name	Muhit
147		Particle	
148		Given Name	M. A.
149		Suffix	
150		Organization	University of South Asia
151	Author	Division	Asian Institute of Disability and Development
152		Address	Dhaka, Bangladesh
153		Organization	CSF Global
154		Division	
155		Address	Dhaka, Bangladesh
156		e-mail	
157		Family Name	Islam
158		Particle	
159		Given Name	S. M. Shariful
160		Suffix	
161	Author	Organization	Deakin University
162		Division	Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences
163		Address	Melbourne, Australia
164		e-mail	
165		Received	
166	Schedule	Revised	
167		Accepted	
168	Abstract	<p>The recently developed Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S) is a seven-item uni-dimensional scale that assesses the severity of fears of COVID-19. Given the rapid increase of COVID-19 cases in Bangladesh, we aimed to translate and validate the FCV-19S in Bangla. The forward-backward translation method was used to translate the English version of the questionnaire into Bangla. The reliability and validity properties of the Bangla FCV-19S were rigorously psychometrically evaluated (utilizing both confirmatory factor analysis and Rasch analysis) in relation to socio-demographic variables, national lockdown variables, and response to the Bangla Health Patient Questionnaire. The sample comprised 8550 Bangladeshi participants. The Cronbach α value for the Bangla FCV-19S was 0.871 indicating very good internal reliability. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis showed that the uni-dimensional factor structure of the FCV-19S fitted well with the data. The FCV-19S was significantly correlated with PHQ-9 ($r = 0.406$, $p < 0.001$). FCV-19S was significantly associated with higher worries concerning lockdown.</p>	

Measurement invariance of the FCV-19S showed no differences with respect to age or gender. The Bangla version of FCV-19S is a valid and reliable tool with robust psychometric properties which will be useful for researchers carrying out studies among the Bangla speaking population in assessing the psychological impact of fear from COVID-19 infection during this pandemic.

169	Keywords separated by ' - '	COVID-19 - Coronavirus - COVID-19 fear - FCV-19S Bangla - Fear of COVID-19 Scale - Bangladesh
170	Foot note information	Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Psychometric Validation of the Bangla Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Rasch Analysis

4

6

Najmuj Sakib, et al. *[full author details at the end of the article]*

7 Q1

© The Author(s) 2020

8

9

Abstract

10

11

The recently developed Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S) is a seven-item uni-dimensional scale that assesses the severity of fears of COVID-19. Given the rapid increase of COVID-19 cases in Bangladesh, we aimed to translate and validate the FCV-19S in Bangla. The forward-backward translation method was used to translate the English version of the questionnaire into Bangla. The reliability and validity properties of the Bangla FCV-19S were rigorously psychometrically evaluated (utilizing both confirmatory factor analysis and Rasch analysis) in relation to socio-demographic variables, national lockdown variables, and response to the Bangla Health Patient Questionnaire. The sample comprised 8550 Bangladeshi participants. The Cronbach α value for the Bangla FCV-19S was 0.871 indicating very good internal reliability. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis showed that the uni-dimensional factor structure of the FCV-19S fitted well with the data. The FCV-19S was significantly correlated with PHQ-9 ($r = 0.406$, $p < 0.001$). FCV-19S was significantly associated with higher worries concerning lockdown. Measurement invariance of the FCV-19S showed no differences with respect to age or gender. The Bangla version of FCV-19S is a valid and reliable tool with robust psychometric properties which will be useful for researchers carrying out studies among the Bangla speaking population in assessing the psychological impact of fear from COVID-19 infection during this pandemic.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Keywords COVID-19 · Coronavirus · COVID-19 fear · FCV-19S Bangla · Fear of COVID-19 Scale · Bangladesh

30

31

32

The novel coronavirus 2019, now called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (causing the disease COVID-19), has currently expanded and shifted from an epidemic to global pandemic with emerging clinical outcomes (Guan et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2020). As of April 11 (2020), 210 countries or territories had confirmed cases of COVID-19 (World Health Organization 2020). To date, the scientific community has described the clinical course of COVID-19, counted cases and monitored its spread country-by-country, and has been trying to develop a vaccine (Dong et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020). However, COVID-19 has a very high infection rate and reasonably high mortality rate (i.e., 3.6% and 1.5% in and outside of China respectively; Baud et al. 2020); individuals are quite naturally afraid of getting the virus. Additionally, death toll overestimation (Roussel et al. 2020) and pandemic-

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

related issues such as social distancing, quarantine, and isolation have amplified fears leading to stigma in several cases (Lin 2020).

Excessive fear alongside the social and economic consequences has the capacity to impair individuals' rational thinking behavior and may lead to mental health suffering and psychosocial challenges (Ahorsu et al. 2020; Pakpour and Griffiths 2020; Pappas et al. 2009; Xiang et al. 2020). Moreover, mental health issues (i.e., depression, stress, panic, distress, etc.) can in more extreme cases result in suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and actual suicide occurrence (Goyal et al. 2020; Mamun and Griffiths 2020a). Indeed, Mamun and Griffiths (2020b) recently reported the first case of suicide due to the fear of COVID-19 in Bangladesh. With a mortality rate of 6.2% in Bangladesh, the number of COVID-19 cases has been rising with individuals aged between 31 and 40 years being most infected (i.e., around 22%; Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research 2020). The rapid spread of COVID-19 appears to be creating a great concern in Bangladesh and the country has had many previous instances of disaster-related psychological suffering (Mamun and Griffiths 2019; Mamun et al. 2019).

Separating facts from fear is vital because scientific information and data related to a disease outbreak change very frequently (Wenzel and Edmond 2003). Additionally, fear itself can be contagious as was demonstrated in 1994 when hundreds of thousands of people fled the Indian city of Surat to escape pneumonic plague, even though no cases had been confirmed based on the World Health Organization criteria (Epstein 2009). Consequently, reducing fear and discrimination among individuals can be important in controlling transmission.

Social marginalization and stigmatization deriving from fear in a disease outbreak may cause people to refute early symptoms that are clinically relevant, and may contribute to the disease remaining undetected in the society (Person et al. 2004). Likewise, there appears to be an epidemic of fear and aversion concerning the community transmission of COVID-19. For instance, local residents from one area protested against the burial of bodies who had died from COVID-19 in their community graveyard (Kamal 2020).

Based on these contextual concerns, Ahorsu et al. (2020) recently developed the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S) so that researchers could assess the fear of COVID-19 among individuals in their countries and communities. The seven-item FCV-19S is a quick and easy-to-use tool that underwent rigorous psychometric testing and appears to be suitable for both genders and all ages. As suggested by Mamun and Griffiths (2020b), there is an urgent need to carry out an epidemiological study nationwide in Bangladesh concerning the relationship between individuals' mental health status (e.g., depression, anxiety, stress) and the fear of COVID-19. Therefore, the present study translated and validated the Bangla FCV-19S, and carried out factor analysis, as well as assessing its reliability and validity.

Methods

Participants and Procedure

The present cross-sectional study was the part of a larger COVID-19 Project, which was carried out between April 1 and April 10, 2020. The target population was the general Bangladeshi population aged 10 years and older and being able to understand spoken Bangla. An online-based survey was developed and participants were recruited via social media (e.g., Facebook) and online blogs. The final sample comprised 8550 participants which far exceeded the recommended 5:1 ratio of number of participants to number of items (Floyd and Widaman 1995).

Ethics

86

The study adhered to the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration, 1975. The study was also approved by the Institute of Allergy and Clinical Immunology of Bangladesh ethics board (i.e., IRBIACIB/CEC/03202005). All participants provided informed consent. Parents provided informed consent for children and adolescent participants ($n = 124$, 1.45%). All participants were ensured concerning the anonymity and confidentiality of their data, and were provided with information about the nature and purpose of the study and the procedure, and were informed about their right to retract their data at any time.

87
88
89
90
91
92
93

Adaptation of FCV-19S into Bangla

94

The forward-backward translation method was applied to adapt the FCV-19S into Bangla following previous studies (Arafat et al. 2016; Beaton et al. 2000; Sousa and Rojjanasrirat 2011). Two independent translators, one subject matter expert (a psychologist) and other experienced in culture and linguistic distinctions in English and Bangla, translated the seven-item scale into Bangla (i.e., forward translation). Both the versions were compiled and further translated back into English by another professional translator with medical translation proficiency and by one bilingual individual who had not seen the English version of the FCV-19S (i.e., back translation). After compiling the back translated versions, all were compared and submitted to an expert panel of five members. The panel scrutinized and finalized the items and all seven questions were retained. Pretesting was conducted among 140 individuals using online platforms across different age groups. The suggested changes were made by the research team. The final version of the questionnaire was then administrated on the large-scale study.

95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

Measures

108

Demographic Information A contextual information sheet was used to obtain demographic and other information of the participants. The questions were related to (i) age, (ii) gender, (iii) educational status, (iv) occupational status, (v) current place of residence, (vi) marital status, (vii) whether they were a current smoker, (viii) whether they were a current alcohol consumer, and (ix) current health status.

109Q3
110
111
112
113

Lockdown-Related Questions To examine out if lockdown-related factors had any influence on participant's fear, participants were asked: "If this lockdown situation persists more than a month, do you think you will have enough food supply?" "If you are a wage earner, do you think you may lose your job and/or face economic hardship in business?" and "Are you afraid of any probable economic recession due to this pandemic?" One of three responses was required for these questions (i.e., "Agree," "Disagree," or "Undecided").

114
115
116
117
118
119

Bangla Patient Health Questionnaire Participants' health was assessed using the nine-item Bangla Patient Health Questionnaire (Bangla PHQ-9 (Chowdhury et al. 2004); original version (Kroenke et al. 2001)). The screening tool is used widely in both non-psychiatric and clinical settings. Symptoms of depression such as depressed mood, sleeping problems, feeling tired, appetite changes, concentration problems, and suicidal thoughts are assessed based on the past 2 weeks (Kroenke et al. 2001). Items are responded to on a 4-point Likert scale (0 = *not at all*,

120
121
122
123
124
125

1 = *several days*, 2 = *more than half of the days*, and 3 = *nearly every day*) and scores range from 0 to 27 (Kroenke et al. 2001). Cronbach's alpha in the present study was 0.83.

Bangla Fear of COVID-19 Scale The Bangla Fear of COVID-19 Scale assesses fear towards COVID-19 and was adapted from the English version of the scale published in the original paper by Ahorsu et al. (2020). The screening tool consists of seven items (e.g., "I cannot sleep because I am worried about getting coronavirus-19") with a five-item Likert point response from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*) and its score range is 7 to 35. The higher the score indicates the greater the fear of coronavirus-19 (Ahorsu et al. 2020). The psychometric properties of the Bangla FCV-19S are presented in the "Results" section.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe study participants' characteristics. Continuous data were reported as means (and SDs) and categorical data were reported as frequencies and percentages. There are two approaches for assessing psychometric characteristics of a scale: classical test theory (CTT) and modern test theory. CTT has been widely used to assess psychometric properties of various self-reported measures, and assumes that the item responses of a scale should be summed to compute a score. This score is a class true score (a representation of assessed outcome: in this study, fear). However, CTT has some limitations including (i) assuming a linear relationship between latent variables and observed scores and (ii) being dependent on sample and group. However, modern test theory such as item response theory (IRT) assumes that the relationship between latent variables and observed scores is not necessarily linear (Wirth and Edwards 2007). Instead, it could vary from one person to another. Several statistics were performed to assess CTT including item ceiling and floor effects (the percentage of participants who obtained highest and lowest possible scores, respectively). Values lower than 50% are acceptable (Strober et al. 2013), internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha > 0.7$ is acceptable), corrected item-total correlation (values > 0.4 are acceptable) (Pakpour et al. 2014), average variance extracted (AVE; values > 0.5 indicate satisfactory convergent reliability), composite reliability (CR; values higher than 0.6 indicate acceptable reliability), standard error of measurement (smaller values indicating better reliability), and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The uni-dimensional factor structure of the FCV-19S was evaluated using CFA with diagonally weighted least squares (WLSMV) estimator. Several indices were used to assess model fit including comparative fit index (CFI) and Tucker–Lewis index (TLI) > 0.9 ; root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) < 0.08 ; weighted root mean square residual (WRMSR) < 1.0 ; and non-significant chi-square (Li et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2017).

Regarding the IRT, a Rasch partial credit model was used to assess item difficulty, uni-dimensionality, item validity, item and person separation reliability, and item and person separation index. Item difficulty was evaluated using logit (an interval scale) with higher scores indicating more difficult items. Information-weighted fit statistic (infit) mean square (MnSq), and outlier-sensitive fit statistic (outfit) MnSq were used to assess item validity. Values ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 are considered acceptable good fit. Values greater than 0.7 for both item and person separation reliability are considered to be acceptable. Item and person separation index values are considered to be acceptable if they are greater than 2.

The uni-dimensionality of the FCV-19S was further assessed by conducting a principal component analysis of the residuals (PCAR). The first residual factor (as representative of uni-

dimensionality) should explain more than 50% of the variance and the eigenvalues of the residuals should not be greater than 2.0 (Linacre 2012). Differential item functioning (DIF) was used to assess whether FCV-19S items are invariant across age and gender groups. A DIF value of 0.5 or higher indicates substantial DIF (i.e., invariance) across two groups. The concurrent validity was assessed by correlation (Pearson's coefficient) of the FCV-19S and Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9). Descriptive statistics were analyzed using SPSS 24.0, CFA using MPLUS 8.0, and Rasch model using WINSTEPS 4.3.0.

Results

Characteristics of participants are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of participants was 26.5 years ($SD \pm 9.1$). Overall, 56.0% were males and 71.6% were single. Most of the participants (82.0%) were educated at tertiary level (Table 1). The mean, SD, skewness, and kurtosis of all items of the FCV-19S are shown in Table 2. All items had skewness and kurtosis values within the ± 2.0 range, confirming that they were normally distributed. Significant floor and ceiling effects were not observed for all items of the FCV-19S, indicating that the scale was able to detect a change of fear score (Table 2). The Cronbach α value for the Bangla FCV-19S was 0.871, indicating very good internal reliability. The corrected item-total correlations were all between 0.59 and 0.70 and positive (Table 3).

The results of the CFA are reported in Table 3 and showed that the single-factor structure of the FCV-19S fitted well with the data ($CFI = 0.964$, $TLI = 0.947$, $RMSEA = 0.071$, and $WRMSR = 0.889$). Factor loadings from the model ranged from 0.72 to 0.80 and were statistically significant. The fit of the data for Rasch model was acceptable: log likelihood chi-square = 116,264.6277, $df = 116,964$, $p = 0.9261$, and root mean square standard error ($RMSE$) = 0.7228. The item separation reliability and index were 1.0 and 55.65, respectively. Moreover, the person separation reliability and index were 0.86 and 2.43, respectively. AVE and CR were higher than 0.58 and 0.89, respectively, suggesting an evidence of construct reliability. The results of the PCAR showed that the raw variance of the FCV-19S explained by the Rasch measure was 61.4%. The unexplained variance in the first contrast was 9.1% (1.64 eigenvalue units), and in the second contrast was 6.9% (1.26 eigenvalue units), indicating the evidence of uni-dimensionality.

The infit and outfit MnSq of all seven items were within the acceptable range (i.e., 0.5 to 21.5) (Table 4). Table 4 shows that item difficulty ranged from -1.04 to 1.16 logits, with the most difficult item being Item 6 ("I cannot sleep because I'm worrying about getting coronavirus-19") and the easiest item being item 1 was ("I am most afraid of coronavirus-19"). As to the measurement invariance, all items in the FCV-19S did not show DIF by age and gender subgroups of the participants. To determine whether there were differences between the gender group and fear scores, an independent t test was performed. The results showed that females reported significantly higher scores than males concerning fear of COVID-19 (mean = 22.75 [$SD = 5.65$] vs. mean = 20.29 [$SD = 5.90$]; $t = -19.46$, $p < 0.001$). In contrast, age did not correlate significantly with total score of the FCV-19S ($r = -0.014$, $p = 0.186$). The partial correlation coefficient showed that total score of the FCV-19S was significantly correlated with PHQ-9 ($r = 0.406$, $p < 0.001$). A possible association between fear and lockdown worries was analyzed using a multiple linear regression analysis with adjustments for age and gender as well as PHQ-9. The results showed that FCV-19S was significantly associated with higher worries concerning lockdown (standardized beta coefficient = 0.141, $p < 0.001$). In addition, higher scores on PHQ-9 were associated with higher worries concerning lockdown (standardized beta coefficient = 0.057, $p < 0.001$).

Table 1 Participants characteristics ($N = 8550$)

	Mean \pm SD or n (%)
Age (years)	26.53 \pm 9.09
Gender (male)	4790 (56.0%)
Educational status	
No formal education	140 (1.6%)
Primary school	111 (1.3%)
Secondary school	304 (3.6%)
Higher secondary level	980 (11.5%)
Tertiary education	7015 (82.0%)
Occupational status	
Unemployed	317 (3.7%)
Day-laborer	51 (0.6%)
Farmer	47 (0.5%)
Business	396 (4.6%)
Student	5094 (59.6%)
Government employee	499 (5.8%)
Private employee	1156 (13.5%)
Retired	70 (0.8%)
Housewife	550 (6.4%)
Others	370 (4.3%)
Residence	
Village	1872 (21.9%)
Sub-district town	1174 (13.7%)
District town	2003 (23.4%)
Divisional town	3501 (40.5%)
Marital status	
Single	6120 (71.6%)
Married	2320 (27.1%)
Divorced/widowed	110 (1.3%)
Currently smoker (yes)	1259 (14.7%)
Alcohol use (yes)	215 (2.5%)
Self-reported health status	
Very good	1324 (15.5%)
Good	4563 (53.4%)
Acceptable	2383 (27.9%)
Poor	262 (3.1%)
Very poor	18 (0.2%)

Table 2 Item properties of the FCV-19S

Item #	Mean (SD)	Skewness	Kurtosis	Floor	Ceiling
#1 I am most afraid of Corona	3.62 (1.04)	-0.82	0.18	427 (5.0%)	1425 (16.7%)
#2 It makes me uncomfortable to think about Corona	3.52 (1.06)	-0.77	-0.14	470 (5.5%)	1125 (13.2%)
#3 My hands become clammy when I think about Corona	2.49 (1.13)	0.46	-0.68	1673 (19.6%)	398 (4.7%)
#4 I am afraid of losing my life because of Corona	2.93 (1.22)	-0.09	-1.16	1272 (14.9%)	670 (7.8%)
#5 When I watching news and stories about Corona on social media, I become nervous or anxious	3.53 (1.07)	-0.98	0.14	594 (6.9%)	936 (10.9%)
#6 I cannot sleep because I'm worrying about getting Corona	2.41 (1.11)	0.60	-0.54	1766 (20.7%)	342 (4.0%)
#7 My heart races or palpitates when I think about getting Corona	2.88 (1.24)	0.05	-1.22	1231 (14.4%)	749 (8.8%)

Table 3 Psychometric properties of the FCV-19S in item level

Item no.	Analyses from classical test theory					Analyses from Rasch		
	Factor loading ^a	Item-total correlation	Infit MnSq	Outfit MnSq	Difficulty	Discrimination	DIF contrast across gender ^{cd}	DIF contrast across age ^{ce}
FCV19S1	0.77	0.65	0.99	0.99	-1.04	0.94	-0.10	-0.03
FCV19S2	0.72	0.59	1.12	1.16	-0.84	0.90	-0.17	-0.12
FCV19S3	0.80	0.68	0.82	0.84	1.0	1.07	0.08	-0.09
FCV19S4	0.80	0.70	0.94	0.95	0.25	1.06	0.06	0.01
FCV19S5	0.77	0.65	0.93	0.87	-0.86	1.17	0.08	-0.01
FCV19S6	0.78	0.65	0.91	0.93	1.16	1.07	0.06	0.26
FCV19S7	0.72	0.61	1.20	1.26	0.33	0.84	-0.05	0.16

^aBased on confirmatory factor analysis

^cDIF contrast > 0.5 indicates substantial DIF

^dDIF contrast across gender = Difficulty for females – Difficulty for males

^eDIF contrast across age categories = Difficulty for participants with older age (i.e., ≥ 26.53 years) – Difficulty for participants with younger age (i.e., < 26.53 years)

MnSq, mean square error; *DIF*, differential item functioning

Discussion

The main aim of the present study was to evaluate the psychometric characteristics of the FCV-19S among the Bangladeshi community using both classic (i.e., confirmatory factor analysis [CFA]) and modern (i.e., Rasch analysis) psychometric evaluation methods. The study showed that the Bangladeshi version of the FCV-19S had (i) strong internal consistency (as demonstrated by the very good Cronbach’s alpha), (ii) acceptable construct validity (as demonstrated by CFA), (iii) confirmed uni-dimensional structure (as demonstrated by the CFA and Rasch analysis), (iv) good concurrent validity (as demonstrated by the significant positive correlation with depression scores on the Patient Health Questionnaire), (v) scale items that were invariant

Table 4 Psychometric properties of the FCV-19S at scale level

Psychometric testing	Value	Suggested cutoff
Internal consistency (Cronbach’s α)	0.871	> 0.7
Confirmatory factor analysis		
χ^2 (<i>df</i>)	554.75 (14)*	Non-significant
Comparative fit index	0.964	> 0.9
Tucker–Lewis index	0.947	> 0.9
Root mean square error of approximation	0.071	< 0.08
Weighted root mean square residual	0.889	< 1.0
Average Variance Extracted	0.58	> 0.5
Composite Reliability	0.89	> 0.6
Standard error of measurement	2.130	The smaller, the better
Item separation reliability from Rasch	1.00	> 0.7
Item separation index from Rasch	55.65	> 2
Person separation reliability from Rasch	0.86	> 0.7
Person separation index from Rasch	2.43	> 2
Test-retest reliability by Pearson correlation	0.87	> 0.4

* $p < 0.001$

across age and gender groups (as demonstrated by the Rasch analysis), and (vi) good face validity (as demonstrated by the significant association between higher worries concerning lockdown and score on the FCV-19S).

In general, the results of the study were comparable with the original Iranian validation (Ahorsu et al. 2020) and a recent Italian validation study (Soraci et al. 2020). However, factor loadings of the Bangla version of the FCV-19S were greater than those of both Iranian and Italian versions. The potential reason could be due to mean age of the sample and large sample size of the present study ($N = 8677$; mean age = 26.53 years, $SD = 9.09$) compared with the studies in Iran ($N = 717$; mean age = 31.25 years, $SD = 12.68$) and Italy ($N = 249$, mean age = 34.5 years, $SD = 12.21$; predominantly female sample). However, it can be argued that when there is a global pandemic, people at all ages feel threatened and answer questions in a similar way. Furthermore, the results obtained from the DIF analysis showed that the Bangla version of the fear questionnaire could be used in the general population irrespective of their age. In the present study, women reported higher levels of fear of COVID-19 than men. Fear can be an emotional response to an external factor. Gender differences caused by a reaction to an external threatening agent are not new. Previous studies have shown that women report more fear of contamination and disgust sensitivity than males (e.g., Olatunji et al. 2005).

Assessing fear of COVID-19 can help to assess the mental health of general populations during the pandemic. Knowing such information can help in providing information to targeted specific populations so that they can perform preventive COVID-19 behaviors to help reduce fear levels (Pakpour and Griffiths 2020). Fear in human triggers reactions (mostly psychological) which help in preparing an individual to respond to a threatening agent (Ferraro and Grange 1987). As the results of the present study show, fear of COVID-19 was significantly associated with participants' depression scores and their worries concerning lockdown.

The present study was similar to the results obtained from the previous Iranian and Italian validation studies (Ahorsu et al. 2020; Soraci et al. 2020); both of which included depression scales to test for concurrent and criterion validity. All three validation studies reported significant positive associations between depression and FCV-19S score. This is not surprising but due to the cross-sectional nature of all three studies, it is unclear as to whether being depressed heightens the fear concerning COVID-19 or whether the fear concerning COVID-19 heightens depression (or both). Longitudinal studies are needed to examine the direction of causality.

The large sample size, consideration of broad age group, and utilization of both classic and modern psychometric assessments are among the strengths of the present study. However, the present study has some limitations. First, fear in the present study was assessed using a self-reported measure that can be influenced by factors such as social desirability, memory recall, and other common method biases. Studies using other methodologies are recommended (e.g., in-depth qualitative interviews, diary studies). Second, although a large sample size was included in the present study, the sample was a convenience sample and was not necessarily representative of the general population of Bangladesh. Future studies using nationally representative studies are needed to confirm the results reported here. Third, the study design was cross-sectional, and therefore, the associations found between variables provide little insight into causality. Future research should include longitudinal designs to assess (as aforementioned) relationships between depression and fear of COVID-19. Finally, this study did not examine the stability of the FCV-19S over time. Future research should therefore incorporate test-retest reliability measures into the design of their studies. Overall, the results of the present study showed that the Bangla version of the FCV-19S has robust psychometric properties and can be used to assess fear of COVID-19 during the pandemic among the general Bangladeshi population.

Acknowledgments The authors thank to all the research assistants (see *supplementary file* for notable RA lists); without whom, the project was not possible to carry out. 270Q9
271
272

Authors' Contributions Study planning: MoAM and AKMIB; study plan validation: all authors; project implementation: MoAM, NS, and AKMIB; data collection: AKMIB, SH, FAM, IH, AHA, MAS, MSM, IR, and SH; data interpretation: NS, MoAM, FAM, MDG, and AHP; data analysis: NS, MoAM, and AHP; first draft: NS and AHP with input from MoAM, AKMIB, and MDG; review and edit: MoAM, MSM, MH, MTS, DG, MAM, SMSI, and MDG; rewrite and final editing: MDG; final approval: all authors. Data Availability Data will be available on request. 273
274
275
276
277
278
279

Compliance with Ethical Standards 280

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. 281

Ethical Approval All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of University's Research Ethics Board and with the 1975 Helsinki Declaration. 282
283

Informed Consent Informed consent was obtained from all participants. 284

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. 285
286
287
288

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. 289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296

References 297 298 299Q10

- Ahorsu, D. K., Lin, C.-Y., Imani, V., Saffari, M., Griffiths, M. D., & Pakpour, A. H. (2020). The fear of COVID-19 scale: development and initial validation. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00270-8>. 300
301
302
- Arafat, S., Chowdhury, H., Qusar, M., & Hafez, M. (2016). Cross cultural adaptation and psychometric validation of research instruments: a methodological review. *Journal of Behavioral Health*, 5(3), 129–136. 303
304
- Baud, D., Qi, X., Nielsen-Saines, K., Musso, D., Pomar, L., & Favre, G. (2020). Real estimates of mortality following COVID-19 infection. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30195-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30195-X). 305
306
- Beaton, D. E., Bombardier, C., Guillemin, F., & Ferraz, M. B. (2000). Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine*, 25, 3186–3191. 307
308
- Chowdhury, A. N., Ghosh, S., & Sanyal, D. (2004). Bangla adaptation of Brief Patient Health Questionnaire for screening depression at primary care. *Journal of the Indian Medical Association*, 102(10), 544–547. 309
310
- Dong, L., Hu, S., & Gao, J. (2020). Discovering drugs to treat coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Drug Discoveries & Therapeutics*, 14(1), 58–60. 311
312
- Epstein, J. M. (2009). Modelling to contain pandemics. *Nature*, 460, 687. 313
- Ferraro, K. F., & Grange, R. L. (1987). The measurement of fear of crime. *Sociological Inquiry*, 57(1), 70–97. 314
- Floyd, F. J., & Widaman, K. F. (1995). Factor analysis in the development and refinement of clinical assessment instruments. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3), 286–299. 315
316
- Goyal, K., Chauhan, P., Chhikara, K., Gupta, P., & Singh, M. P. (2020). Fear of COVID 2019: first suicidal case in India. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 49, e101989. 317
318

- Guan, W., Ni, Z., Hu, Y., Liang, W., Ou, C., He, J., Liu, L., Shan, H., Lei, C. L., Hui, D. S. C., du, B., Li, L. J., Zeng, G., Yuen, K. Y., Chen, R. C., Tang, C. L., Wang, T., Chen, P. Y., Xiang, J., Li, S. Y., Wang, J. L., Liang, Z. J., Peng, Y. X., Wei, L., Liu, Y., Hu, Y. H., Peng, P., Wang, J. M., Liu, J. Y., Chen, Z., Li, G., Zheng, Z. J., Qiu, S. Q., Luo, J., Ye, C. J., Zhu, S. Y., & Zhong, N. (2020). Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *New England Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032>.
- Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., Cheng, Z., Yu, T., Xia, J., Wei, Y., Wu, W., Xie, X., Yin, W., Li, H., Liu, M., Xiao, Y., Gao, H., Guo, L., Xie, J., Wang, G., Jiang, R., Gao, Z., Jin, Q., Wang, J., & Cao, B. (2020). Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet*, 395(10223), 497–506.
- Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research (2020). Covid-19 status Bangladesh. Retrieved April 12, 2020, from: https://www.iedcr.gov.bd/index.php/component/content/article/website/images/files/nCoV/Confirmed%20cases%20in%20Bangladesh_upto%20April%2011_2020.pdf.
- Kamal, R. S. (2020). Fear, hatred and stigmatization grip Bangladesh amid Covid-19 outbreak. *The Business Standard*, March 26. Retrieved April 13, 2020, from: <https://tbsnews.net/thoughts/fear-hatred-and-stigmatization-grip-bangladesh-amid-covid-19-outbreak-61129>.
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. W. (2001). The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16(9), 606–613.
- Lin, C.-Y. (2020). Social reaction toward the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). *Social Health and Behavior*, 3(1), 1–2.
- Lin, C. Y., Broström, A., Nilsen, P., Griffiths, M. D., & Pakpour, A. H. (2017). Psychometric validation of the Persian Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale using classic test theory and Rasch models. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 6(4), 620–629.
- Linacre, J.M., 2012. A user's guide to WINSTEPS® MINISTEP Rasch-Model Computer Programs Program Manual 3.80.0. Chicago, IL: Winsteps.
- Mamun, M. A., & Griffiths, M. D. (2019). PTSD-related suicide six years after the Rana Plaza collapse in Bangladesh. *Psychiatry Research*, Epub ahead of print. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112645>.
- Mamun, M. A., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020a). A rare case of Bangladeshi student suicide by gunshot due to unusual multiple causalities. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 49, 101951.
- Mamun, M. A., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020b). First COVID-19 suicide case in Bangladesh due to fear of COVID-19 and xenophobia: possible suicide prevention strategies. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51, 102073.
- Mamun, M. A., Huq, N., Papia, Z. F., Tasfina, S., & Gozal, D. (2019). Prevalence of depression among Bangladeshi village women subsequent to a natural disaster: a pilot study. *Psychiatry Research*, 276, 124–128.
- Olatunji, B. O., Sawchuk, C. N., Arrindell, W. A., & Lohr, J. M. (2005). Disgust sensitivity as a mediator of the sex differences in contamination fears. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 38(3), 713–722.
- Pakpour, A. H., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020). The fear of CoVid-19 and its role in preventive behaviors. *Journal of Concurrent Disorders*. <https://concurrentdisorders.ca/2020/04/03/the-fear-ofcovid-19-and-its-role-in-preventive-behaviors/>
- Pakpour, A. H., Zeidi, I. M., Yekaninejad, M. S., & Burri, A. (2014). Validation of a translated and culturally adapted Iranian version of the International Index of Erectile Function. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 40(6), 541–551.
- Pappas, G., Kiriaze, I. J., Giannakis, P., & Falagas, M. E. (2009). Psychosocial consequences of infectious diseases. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 15, 743–747.
- Person, B., Sy, F., Holton, K., Govert, B., Liang, A., Garza, B., ... Zauderer, L. (2004). Fear and stigma: the epidemic within the SARS outbreak. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 10, 358–363.
- Roussel, Y., Giraud-Gatineau, A., Jimeno, M.-T., Rolain, J.-M., Zandotti, C., Colson, P., & Raoult, D. (2020). SARS-CoV-2: fear versus data. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105947>.
- Soraci, P., Ferrari, A., Abbiati, F. A., Del Fante, E., De Pace, R., Urso, A., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020). Validation and psychometric evaluation of the Italian version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00277-1>.
- Sousa, V. D., & Rojjanasrirat, W. (2011). Translation, adaptation and validation of instruments or scales for use in cross-cultural health care research: a clear and user-friendly guideline. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 17, 268–274.
- Strober, B. E., Nyrady, J., Mallya, U. G., Guettner, A., Papavassilis, C., Gottlieb, A. B., Elewski, B. E., Turner-Bowker, D. M., Shields, A. L., Gwaltney, C. J., & Lebwohl, M. (2013). Item-level psychometric properties for a new patient-reported psoriasis symptom diary. *Value in Health*, 16(6), 1014–1022.
- Wang, D., Hu, B., Hu, C., Zhu, F., Liu, X., Zhang, J., Wang, B., Xiang, H., Cheng, Z., Xiong, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, Y., Wang, X., & Peng, Z. (2020). Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*, 323(11), 1061–1069.

- Wenzel, R. P., & Edmond, M. B. (2003). Managing SARS amidst uncertainty. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 348, 1947–1948. 379
 - Wirth, R. J., & Edwards, M. C. (2007). Item factor analysis: current approaches and future directions. *Psychological Methods*, 12(1), 58–79. 380
 - World Health Organization (2020). Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019): situation report-82. Retrieved April 12, 2020, from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200411-sitrep-82-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=74a5d15_2. 381
 - Wu, T. Y., Lin, C. Y., Årestedt, K., Griffiths, M. D., Broström, A., & Pakpour, A. H. (2017). Psychometric validation of the Persian nine-item Internet Gaming Disorder Scale–Short Form: does gender and hours spent online gaming affect the interpretations of item descriptions? *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 6(2), 256–263. 382
 - Xiang, Y. T., Yang, Y., Li, W., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Cheung, T., & Ng, C. H. (2020). Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7, 228–229. 383
- Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. 384
- Affiliations** 385
- Najmuj Sakib^{1,2} • A. K. M. Israfil Bhuiyan¹ • Sahadat Hossain³ • Firoj Al Mamun^{1,3} • 386
- Ismail Hosen^{1,3} • Abu Hasnat Abdullah^{1,3} • Md. Abedin Sarker^{1,3} • Mohammad Sarif 387
- Mohiuddin⁴ • Ishtiaq Rayhan⁵ • Moazzem Hossain⁶ • Md. Tajuddin Sikder³ • David 388
- Gozal⁷ • M. A. Muhit^{8,9} • S. M. Shariful Islam¹⁰ • Mark D. Griffiths¹¹ • Amir H. 389
- Pakpour^{12,13} • Mohammed A. Mamun^{1,3} 390
- ✉ Mark D. Griffiths 400
- mark.griffiths@ntu.ac.uk 401
- ✉ Amir H. Pakpour 402
- apakpour@qums.ac.ir 403
- ✉ Mohammed A. Mamun 404
- mamunphi46@gmail.com; mamun.abdullah@phiju.edu.bd 405
- ¹ Undergraduate Research Organization, Gerua Road, Savar, Dhaka 1342, Bangladesh 406
- ² Department of Microbiology, Jashore University of Science and Technology, Jashore, Bangladesh 407
- ³ Department of Public Health & Informatics, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh 408
- ⁴ Division of Diabetes, Department of Internal Medicine, Aichi Medical University, Nagakute, Aichi, Japan 409
- ⁵ Department of Economics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University, 410
- Gopalganj, Bangladesh 411
- ⁶ Institute of Allergy and Clinical Immunology of Bangladesh, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh 412
- ⁷ Department of Child Health and the Child Health Research Institute, The University of Missouri School of 413
- Medicine, Columbia, MO, USA 414
- ⁸ Asian Institute of Disability and Development, University of South Asia, Dhaka, Bangladesh 415
- ⁹ CSF Global, Dhaka, Bangladesh 416
- ¹⁰ Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, 417
- Melbourne, Australia 418
- ¹¹ International Gaming Research Unit, Psychology Department, Nottingham Trent University, 50 419
- Shakespeare Street, Nottingham NG1 4FQ, UK 420
- ¹² Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Research Institute for Prevention of Non-Communicable 421
- Diseases, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Shahid Bahonar Blvd, Qazvin 3419759811, Iran 422
- ¹³ Department of Nursing, School of Health and Welfare, Jönköping University, Jönköping, Sweden 423

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.

- Q1. Please check captured authors Given name and Family name if these are presented correctly. Otherwise, kindly amend if deemed necessary.
- Q2. Please check if the affiliations are presented correctly.
- Q3. Please check if the section headings are assigned to appropriate levels.
- Q4. Please check and modify the sentence "Values lower than 50% are acceptable...." for clarity and completeness.
- Q5. Ref. "Li et al., 2017" is cited in the body but its bibliographic information is missing. Kindly provide its bibliographic information in the list.
- Q6. Tables 1 to 5 were slightly modified. Please check if data are presented correctly.
- Q7. The sentence "Fear in human triggers reactions (mostly psychological) help in preparing an individual...." was slightly modified. Please check if the intended meaning was retained. Otherwise, please modify the sentence.
- Q8. The citation "Ferraro and Grange, 1987" has been changed to "Ferraro and Grange, 1987" to match the author name/date in the reference list. Please check if the change is fine in this occurrence and modify the subsequent occurrences, if necessary.
- Q9. Supplementary material is missing. Please check and provide.
- Q10. Reference [Lin et al, 2017] was provided in the reference list; however, this was not mentioned or cited in the manuscript. As a rule, all references given in the list of references should be cited in the main body. Please provide its citation in the body text.
- Q11. Please check captured URL if correct. Otherwise, kindly amend if deemed necessary.