# The economic burden of ill health due to diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol and obesity in the UK: an update to 2006–07 NHS costs

## Peter Scarborough<sup>1</sup>, Prachi Bhatnagar<sup>1</sup>, Kremlin K. Wickramasinghe<sup>1</sup>, Steve Allender<sup>1,2</sup>, Charlie Foster<sup>1</sup>, Mike Rayner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>British Heart Foundation Health Promotion Research Group, Department of Public Health, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK <sup>2</sup>World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Obesity Prevention, Deakin University, Gheringhap Street, Geelong, Victoria 3217, Australia Address correspondence to Peter Scarborough, E-mail: peter.scarborough@dph.ox.ac.uk

#### ABSTRACT

**Background** Estimates of the economic cost of risk factors for chronic disease to the NHS provide evidence for prioritization of resources for prevention and public health. Previous comparable estimates of the economic costs of poor diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol and overweight/obesity were based on economic data from 1992–93.

**Methods** Diseases associated with poor diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol and overweight/obesity were identified. Risk factor-specific population attributable fractions for these diseases were applied to disease-specific estimates of the economic cost to the NHS in the UK in 2006–07.

**Results** In 2006–07, poor diet-related ill health cost the NHS in the UK £5.8 billion. The cost of physical inactivity was £0.9 billion. Smoking cost was £3.3 billion, alcohol cost £3.3 billion, overweight and obesity cost £5.1 billion.

**Conclusion** The estimates of the economic cost of risk factors for chronic disease presented here are based on recent financial data and are directly comparable. They suggest that poor diet is a behavioural risk factor that has the highest impact on the budget of the NHS, followed by alcohol consumption, smoking and physical inactivity.

Keywords economic burden, non-communicable disease (NCD), risk factors, UK

#### Introduction

Chronic diseases are the leading cause of death globally.<sup>1</sup> In the UK, each year >35% of total deaths (c. 200 000) are due to cardiovascular disease (CVD), with cancer causing a further 157 000 deaths.<sup>2</sup> The total cost of CVD to the UK economy is estimated as £30 billion, 60% due to health-care costs.<sup>3</sup> The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence has emphasized the importance of taking population level measures to prevent CVD in the UK.<sup>4</sup>

Many chronic diseases share common behavioural risk factors such as tobacco smoking, unhealthy diet, lack of physical activity and alcohol use.<sup>5</sup> It is estimated that up to 80% of heart diseases, stroke and type 2 diabetes and over a third of cancers could be prevented by eliminating those shared risk factors.<sup>6</sup>

One-fifth of UK adults regularly smoke cigarettes<sup>2</sup> and more than a fifth of men and around 15% of women regularly exceed government benchmarks for heavy drinking.<sup>7</sup> Self-reported physical activity levels in England and Scotland have slowly increased over the last 10 years; however, accelerometry data suggest that actual physical activity uptake may be far lower.<sup>8</sup> Progress towards the

Peter Scarborough, Senior Researcher Prachi Bhatnagar, Researcher Kremlin K. Wickramasinghe, Researcher Steve Allender, Senior Researcher Charlie Foster, Senior Researcher Mike Rayner, Director target consumption level of five portions of fruit and vegetables a day has been slow and the intake of sugar and saturated fat remains higher than recommended levels.<sup>9</sup>

Clear estimates of the economic burden of these risk factors are important to help prioritize public health strategies. Recent work has established a method of producing comparable results for the economic burden to the NHS in the UK of disease associated with poor diet, smoking, alcohol intake, physical inactivity and overweight/ obesity.<sup>10-14</sup> The methods used to develop these results rely on an estimate of the percentage of the total budget of the NHS that is spent on different diseases. Until recently, the most up-to-date breakdown of the NHS budget by disease category was produced in 1996, and referred to the budget of the NHS in 1992–93.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, the estimates of the economic cost of poor diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol and overweight/obesity were based on the assumption that the contribution of different disease categories to the overall NHS budget has not substantially changed since 1992-93. Recently, a new assessment of NHS costs by disease category has been produced, based on the 2006-07 NHS budget for England. This paper reports on an update of the estimates of the economic burden to the NHS of poor diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol and overweight/obesity to 2006-07 prices, using the updated data on cost by disease category.

#### Methods

Estimates were developed and tested using these steps:<sup>10-14</sup>

- (i) Identification of diseases where poor diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol or overweight/obesity are risk factors.
- (ii) Identification of the total economic cost to the NHS in the UK for these diseases.
- (iii) Identification of the population attributable fractions (PAFs) relating to the risk factors for each disease.
- (iv) Application of these PAFs to economic cost data, to calculate the direct burden of those risk factors.
- (v) Sensitivity analysis for each estimate.

Cost data (Step 2) were updated using 2006–07 NHS costs by disease category from the NHS Programme Budgeting estimates for England from 2006 to 07.<sup>16</sup> Previously these estimates were taken from 1992 to 93 cause-specific cost estimates<sup>15</sup> and inflated to 2002 costs for each risk factor listed in Step 1. The disease-specific cost estimates were collected from all PCTs in England, who were asked to categorize all spending in primary and secondary care services into 23 broad and 57 more detailed disease categories, based on the tenth revision of the International Classification of Disease. The more detailed categorization was used for the analyses reported here. The programme budgeting database only provides estimates for costs for the NHS in England. For each disease, we applied the percentage of all costs in England to the total budget of the NHS in the UK (under the assumption that the distribution of NHS costs between diseases in England will be broadly representative of the situation in the UK). The total budget of the NHS in the UK for 2006–07 was provided by combining budgets for the NHS in England,<sup>16</sup> Scotland,<sup>17</sup> Wales<sup>18</sup> and Northern Ireland.<sup>19</sup>

In some cases, the disease categorizations provided in the 1996 burden of disease report<sup>15</sup> and the 2006–07 programme budgeting database had changed. Table 1 provides a comparison of the disease categories in the 1996 and 2008 reports.

For the calculation of the burden of alcohol-related ill health, special attention was made to the 2006–07 disease categorization of 'trauma and injuries'. This broad category needed to be further broken down to drowning, falls, motor vehicle accidents, self-inflicted injuries, and other unintentional injuries in order to apply the necessary PAFs. This further stratification was performed by applying the proportion of deaths from each sub category (compared with all deaths from trauma and injury) to the cost of all trauma and injury (see Table 2). The mortality data were taken from the World Health Organization's (WHO) estimates of mortality for WHO member states in 2004.<sup>20</sup> These estimates were derived by the WHO using disease-specific death certification data from the UK for an earlier year, and then projecting estimates to 2004.

For physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol consumption and overweight/obesity, the counterfactuals associated with the estimates of economic burden are drawn from the WHO global burden of disease project, and relate to the theoretical minimum within a population. The counterfactuals were therefore zero smoking, zero alcohol consumption and everyone within the population achieving at least some activity at work, home, for transport or during discretionary time. Because of the J-shaped relationship between alcohol intake and many health outcomes, this counterfactual allows for the increase in risk for some individuals and decrease in risk for others.<sup>21</sup> For overweight/obesity, the theoretical minimum was taken to be the part of the distribution associated with the lowest risk, i.e. everyone in the population with a body mass index of 21 kg/m<sup>2.21</sup>

The counterfactual for poor diet is more complicated as diets are multi-factorial. The global burden of disease project calculated PAFs for individual risk factors and warns that the PAF for combined risk factors for any specific **Table 1** Comparison of disease categorizations used in World Health Report<sup>5</sup>, published estimates of economic costs related to risk factors and updated disease categorizations provided in the NHS 2006–07 Programme Budgeting Manual<sup>16</sup>

Risk factor	Associated condition (World Health Report)	Disease categorization (1992–93 cost categories) <sup>a</sup>	Disease categorization (2006–07 cost categories)
Overweight/obesity	Diabetes mellitus	Diabetes mellitus (250)	Diabetes
	lschaemic heart disease	Ischaemic heart disease (410–414)	Coronary heart disease
	lschaemic stroke	Stroke (430–438)	Cerebrovascular disease
	Hypertensive disease	Hypertension (401–405)	Problems of circulation (other) <sup>b</sup>
	Breast cancer	Female breast cancer (174)	Cancer, breast
	Colon/rectum cancer	Colorectal cancer (153–154)	Cancer, lower gastrointestinal system
	Corpus uteri cancer	Genito-urinary cancers, female (179, 181–184)	Cancer, gynaecological
	Osteoarthritis	Osteoarthritis (715)	Problems of musculoskeletal system
oor diet	N/A	Cardiovascular disease (390–459)	Problems of circulation
	N/A	Cancer (140–239)	Cancers and tumours
	N/A	Diabetes and other disease of the hormone and immune system (240–279)	Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic disorders
	N/A	Dental caries and other diseases of the digestive system (520–571)	Dental problems
moking	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (490–492, 494–496)	Obstructive airways disease
	Mouth and oropharynx cancers	Mouth cancers (140–149)	Cancer, head and neck
	Trachea/bronchus/lung cancers	Larynx, lung and other respiratory cancers (161–165)	Cancer, lung
	Other cancers	Other cancers (150–159, 170–239)	Other cancers <sup>c</sup>
	Other medical conditions	Ulcers and respiratory conditions excluding chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (460–487, 493, 500–519, 531–533)	Problems of the respiratory system (other) <sup>d</sup> , problems of the gastrointestinal system
	CVD	CVD (390–459)	Problems of circulation
lcohol <sup>e</sup>	Cirrhosis of the liver	Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis (571)	Hepatobiliary
	Epilepsy	Epilepsy (345)	Neurological (other) <sup>f</sup>
	Stroke <sup>g</sup>	Stroke (430–438)	Cerebrovascular disease
	Ischaemic heart disease	Ischaemic heart disease (410–414)	Coronary heart disease
	Unipolar depressive disorders	Other non-organic psychoses (296–299)	Mental health disorders, other <sup>h</sup>
	Liver cancer	Kidney and other urinary cancers (189)	Cancer, urological
	Mouth and oropharynx cancers	Mouth cancers (140–149)	Cancer, head and neck
	Oesophagus cancers	Oesophagus cancer (150)	Cancer, upper gastrointestinal system
	Other cancers	Other cancers (151–188, 190–239)	Other cancers <sup>i</sup>

Continued

Risk factor	Associated condition (World Health Report)	Associated condition (World Health Report) Disease categorization (1992–93 cost categories) <sup>a</sup>	Disease categorization (2006–07 cost categories)
Physical inactivity	Ischaemic heart disease	lschaemic heart disease (410–414)	Coronary heart disease
	Ischaemic stroke	Stroke (430–438)	Cerebrovascular disease
	Breast cancer	Female breast cancer (174)	Cancer, breast
	Colon/rectum cancer	Colorectal cancer (153–154)	Cancer, lower gastrointestinal system
	Diabetes mellitus	Diabetes mellitus (250)	Diabetes

All cancers other than 'cancer, head and neck' and 'cancer, lung'

'All problems of the respiratory system excluding 'obstructive airways disease'

<sup>a</sup>ICD-9 codes in brackets

All neurological conditions excluding 'chronic pain'

<sup>3</sup>The World Health Report provides separate PAFs for haemorrhagic stroke and ischaemic stroke.

Since cost data are not available by stroke subtype, we have averaged the PAFs using the weighting of 2:1 in favour of ischaemic stroke, in accordance with the estimated ratio of mortalities by stroke subtype in the UK $^{41}$ 

and 'child and adolescent mental health disorders' disorders' psychotic organic mental disorders', substance misuse', All mental health disorders excluding '

gastrointestinal system' upper and 'cancer, 'cancer, head and neck' All cancers other than 'cancer, urological', disease may be less than the sum of PAFs for individual risk factors. In order to calculate a combined PAF for diet, we triangulated estimates of the percentage of disability adjusted life years (DALYs) that were due to diet-related risk factors in the WHO European region,<sup>22</sup> the EU<sup>23</sup> and Australia,<sup>24</sup> and conservatively estimated that 10% of DALYs were due to diet.<sup>14</sup> Since 30% of DALYs in the WHO European region were due to CVD and cancer, we assumed a PAF of 0.33 for diet. Using this method, it should be assumed that the counterfactual for poor diet is a combination of all counterfactuals for diet-related risk factors in the global burden of disease project: everyone consuming 600 g per day of fruit and vegetables, having total cholesterol levels of 3.8 mmol/l and a body mass index of 21 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

A sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess how sensitive the results were to the assumption that PAFs generated for the WHO EUR-A region were suitable for use in the UK. Prevalence rates used to generate the PAFs for each risk factor were compared with equivalent rates drawn from the Health Survey for England 2006<sup>25</sup> and the General Household Survey 2006.<sup>26</sup> The ratio between the UK prevalence rate and the EUR-A prevalence rate was applied to the modelled cost estimates, and the range for the sensitivity analysis was between the estimates adjusted for UK prevalence rates and the original estimates using the EUR-A prevalence rates. The cost estimates for poor diet were not included in the sensitivity analysis because of the difficulties in defining PAFs for poor diet, as described already.

### **Results**

In 2006-07, 46% of total NHS costs (over £43 billion) were due to diseases related to poor diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol and overweight/obesity (although not all of these costs were due to the risk factors, since not all of the incident cases of these conditions were due to the risk factors) (Table 3). Of the behavioural risk factors, £5.8 billion was spent on poor diet-related ill health,  $f_{3.3}$  billion on alcohol-related ill health, £3.3 billion on smoking-related ill health and £0.9 billion on physical inactivity-related ill health. Over £5 billion was spent on overweight/ obesity-related ill health, although this includes costs from poor diet and physical inactivity.

Proportional NHS costs due within disease categories were similar in 1992-93 and 2006-07 for most of the diseases with some notable exceptions. For example, the percentage of NHS costs due to cerebrovascular disease fell from 4.1% in 1992-93 to 1.2% in 2006-07, and costs due to osteoarthritis increased from 1.6% in 1992-93 to 5.0% in

World Health Report Category	Number of deaths, 2004	Percentage of all trauma and injury deaths	Estimated percentage of NHS costs
Drowning	2000	10	0.4
Falls	4000	19	0.8
Motor vehicle accidents	3700	18	0.8
Self-inflicted injuries	4700	23	1.0
Other unintentional injuries	5200	25	1.1
All trauma and injuries	20 770	100	4.3

Table 2 Further stratification of 'trauma and injuries' disease category for calculation of the economic costs to the NHS of alcohol-related conditions

2006-07. A combination of the changes in costs by disease, the differences in disease categorization displayed in Table 1 and the change from 2002 or 2006 prices to 2006-07 prices explains the difference between the original estimates and the updated estimates presented here. The original estimates of the cost of poor diet, physical inactivity and overweight/ obesity were based on 2002 prices; so it would be expected that updating to 2006-07 prices would increase the estimates. That has been the case for the costs of overweight/ obesity, which increased from  $f_{...,3.2}$  to  $f_{...,5.1}$  billion. However, the costs for both physical inactivity and poor diet have slightly reduced in the updated estimates, because of a downwards revision in the total percentage of NHS costs of related conditions between 1992-93 and 2006-07. The original estimates for the cost of smoking and alcohol were based on 2006 prices, where the estimate used for the total budget for the NHS (£94.2 billion) was higher than that used in 2006-07 (£81.3 billion). This difference explains some of the reduction in the estimate of smoking-related ill health, from  $f_{4.4}$  to  $f_{3.3}$  billion. The estimate for the cost of alcohol-related ill health increased, due to an increase in the percentage of NHS costs due to alcohol-related conditions.

Table 4 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis. For physical inactivity, alcohol consumption, and overweight and obesity, the prevalence estimates for the WHO EUR-A region were very similar to equivalent estimates for the UK in 2006, and as such the sensitivity range for the costs associated with these risk factors is narrow (ranging from  $\pounds 5.1$  to  $\pounds 5.2$  billion for overweight and obesity). This was not the case for smoking, where the UK prevalence of smoking is considerably lower than the prevalence in the EUR-A region. The sensitivity range for smoking was  $\pounds 2.3$  to  $\pounds 3.3$  billion.

#### Discussion

One of the aims of deriving comparable estimates of the cost of risk factors to the NHS is to provide evidence to allow policy-makers to prioritize interventions aimed at preventing chronic disease. The update of cost estimates using more recent data on cost by disease category is therefore important to ensure that the evidence is up-to-date and reliable. The updated estimates reinforce that the largest economic burden to the NHS is due to poor diet and that much of this food-related burden is due to overweight and obesity. Physical inactivity asserts a considerable burden on the NHS, but not as high as other behavioural risk factors.

The main difference between the results presented here and the original estimates is that the economic burden of alcohol is now similar to the burden due to smoking. It is important to remark that this change in priority is not directly due to changes in the prevalence of smoking or binge drinking in the UK (since the PAFs used to calculate both sets of estimates were the same). Rather, it is due to changes in the disease-specific costs associated with alcohol and smoking. In turn, these differences in costs may be due to changes in the incidence of these diseases (which in turn could be influenced by the prevalence of smoking or binge drinking) or changes in the cost of treatment of these diseases. For example, the impact of unipolar depressive disorders (which are associated with alcohol intake) on the NHS budget has changed considerably between the 1991-92<sup>15</sup> and 2006-07 estimates<sup>27</sup>—due in part to differences in coding these conditions in the two reports (see Table 1). Although changes in coding between the reports hinder comparisons between the two sets of estimates, use of the updated 2006-07 costs ensure a more realistic estimate of the burden of risk factors to the NHS.

The analysis for this paper required a comparison of two sets of cause-specific NHS costs (for the years 1992–93<sup>15</sup> and 2006–7,<sup>16</sup> which revealed some interesting changes in relative cost of conditions to the NHS. Such comparisons can be problematic: percentages may change due to a change in spending on a specific condition, or a change in spending on other conditions; changes in spending on a specific condition could reflect changes in incidence, prevalence or treatment methods for that condition.

Table 3 Original and updated economic costs	of poor diet physical inactivity s	smoking alcohol and overwei	aht/obesity to the NHS
			j

	% of total NHS costs		PAF	Total NHS costs (£millions)	
	1992–93	2006–07		Original costs (2002)	Updated costs (2006–07)
Physical inactivity					
lschaemic heart disease	2.6	2.9	23	526	542
Ischaemic stroke	4.1	1.2	12	347	117
Breast cancer	0.3	0.6	11	26	54
Colon/rectum cancer	0.5	0.5	16	61	65
Diabetes mellitus	1.0	1.3	15	101	158
Total	8.5	6.5		1062	936
Overweight and obesity				Original costs (2002)	Updated costs (2006–07)
Ischaemic heart disease	2.6	2.9	34	778	801
Ischaemic stroke	4.1	1.2	34	983	332
Breast cancer	0.3	0.6	12	29	59
Colon/rectum cancer	0.5	0.5	16	61	65
Hypertensive disease	1.4	4.5	58	576	2121
Corpus uteri cancer	0.1	0.2	49	41	80
Osteoarthritis	1.6	5.0	21	229	853
Diabetes mellitus	1.0	1.3	79	533	835
Total	11.6	16.2		3231	5146
Poor diet				Original costs (2002)	Updated costs (2006–07)
CVD	12.0	9.2	33	2780	2468
Diabetes mellitus	2.0	2.8	33	463	751
Cancer	4.0	6.2	33	927	1663
Dental caries	8.0	3.4	33	1853	912
Total	26.0	21.6	55	6023	5793
Smoking	20.0	21.0		Original costs (2006)	Updated costs (2006–07)
Trachea/bronchus/lung cancers	0.4	0.3	85	235	207
Other cancers	3.7	5.7	10	306	463
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	2.2	0.8	68	1188	442
CVD	12.1	9.2	22	2134	1645
Other medical conditions	5.8	5.7	11	536	510
Total	24.3	18.9		4399	3267
Alcohol	24.5	10.5		Original costs (2006)	Updated costs (2006–07)
Cirrhosis of the liver	0.7	0.9	56	319	410
Epilepsy	0.7	2.7	41	243	900
Unipolar depressive disorders	0.0	7.7	5	0	313
Ischaemic heart disease	2.6	2.9	-1	-21	-24
Stroke	3.2	1.2	0.7	0.7	7
Mouth and oropharynx cancers	0.0	0.2	35	0	57
Oesophagus cancer	0.0	0.2	41	31	100
	0.1			10	156
Liver cancer Other cancer		0.6 5.1	32	291	415
	3.9		10		
Drowning Falls	0.0 0.9	0.4 0.8	34 15	0	115
			15	120	101
Poisoning	0.1	0.2	39	35	63
Motor vehicle accidents	0.9	0.8	38	284	238
Self-inflicted injuries	1.0	1.0	20	181	159
Other intentional injuries	0.0	0.0	26	0	8
Other unintentional injuries	0.7	1.1	24	147	211
Total	14.9	25.9		1742	3228

Downloaded from http://jpubhealth.oxfordjournals.org/ at Deakin University Library on February 13, 2012

Total NHS budget 2002: £70.2 billion; 2006: £94.2 billion; 2006-07: £81.3 billion.

Risk factor	EUR-A prevalence rate	UK prevalence rate	Adjustment factor <sup>a</sup>	Sensitivity range of total costs to NHS
Physical inactivity <sup>b</sup>	17	18 <sup>c</sup>	1.05	£0.9–£1.0 billion
Overweight and obesity <sup>d</sup>	26.7	27.2 <sup>c</sup>	1.01	£5.1-£5.2 billion
Smoking <sup>e</sup>	32	22 <sup>f</sup>	0.69	£2.3-£3.3 billion
Alcohol intake <sup>g</sup>	87	86 <sup>c</sup>	0.99	£3.2-£3.2 billion

Table 4 Sensitivity analysis of the impact of using EUR-A PAFs to generate cost estimates for the UK

<sup>a</sup>Adjustment factor = ratio of UK prevalence rate to EUR-A prevalence rate.

<sup>b</sup>'Prevalence rate' refers to percentage of adults who do no or very little physical activity.

<sup>c</sup>Estimate taken from 2006 Health Survey for England.

d'Prevalence rate' refers to mean BMI.

<sup>e</sup>'Prevalence rate' refers to percentage of adult current smokers.

<sup>f</sup>Estimate taken from 2006 General Household Survey.

<sup>g</sup>'Prevalence rate' refers to percentage of adults who consume no alcohol at all.

Cerebrovascular disease was responsible for 4.1% of NHS costs in 1992–93 and only 1.2% of NHS costs in 2006–07, which seems at odds with the ageing population of the UK. While incidence rates for stroke are falling in the UK, the prevalence of stroke is increasing.<sup>28</sup> It seems likely, therefore, that this change in relative burden to the NHS is either a reflection of considerable uncertainty in the cause-specific NHS cost estimates, or is due to changes in treatment methods for stroke since the early 1990s that have reduced the financial burden to the NHS.

The National Audit Office (NAO) estimated that the direct cost of overweight and obesity to the NHS in 2002 was  $f_{1.1}$  billion.<sup>29</sup> This figure provided a baseline value for simulations of obesity costs in the Foresight project.<sup>30</sup> It is considerably lower than the equivalent reported in this paper, mainly due to the counterfactuals used in the two analyses (BMI = 25 for the NAO report, BMI = 21 here). The cabinet office estimated that population meeting national nutritional guidelines would provide health benefits of £19.9 billion each year in quality-adjusted life years (QALYs).<sup>31</sup> Our estimate is much lower but does not put an economic value on QALYs. The Game Plan<sup>32</sup> estimated that the total cost of physical inactivity is  $f_{1.9}$  billion per annum (£0.3 billion NHS, £0.8 billion work absence and £0.8 billion early mortality)-direct cost to the NHS was higher in our estimate of  $f_{0.9}$  billion, although the authors of Game Plan suggested that the cost to the NHS may be as high as £1.7 billion per annum. Previous estimates of the cost of alcohol consumption to the NHS<sup>33-35</sup> ranged from £1.4 to £1.7 billion (2.5% of total NHS cost) for England and £, 95.6 million for Scotland (9% of total NHS cost). Our cost estimate is between these two estimates when judged as a proportion of NHS costs (4%). Our updated

estimates of the cost of smoking was £3.3 billion while Parrott *et al.*,<sup>36</sup> estimated the cost to be around £1.4 billion in the UK in 1991, and Buck *et al.*,<sup>37</sup> estimated it to be £1.4–1.7 billion in the UK in the same year, but comparisons with our estimate are hampered by a 15 year gap between the studies.

The methods used to develop the estimates in this paper rely on the PAFs calculated by the WHO.<sup>5,38,39</sup> These PAFs are based on broad WHO regions (specifically the EUR-A region of developed European countries with very low child and adult mortality) and as such they may not accurately represent the picture in the UK. PAFs must take account of the underlying prevalence of a risk factor within a population (since, for example, in a population with zero smokers, none of the ischaemic heart disease could be attributable to smoking), and the use of WHO regional PAFs will therefore affect the accuracy of these estimates. This limitation has been addressed by a sensitivity analysis, which suggests that using EUR-A PAFs for the UK has very little impact on the estimated costs for alcohol consumption, physical inactivity and overweight/obesity, but the results for smoking are more sensitive to this assumption. This is almost entirely due to differences in male smoking rates-the WHO estimates the prevalence of male smoking in the EUR-A region to be 37% compared with only 23% in the UK.25 This suggests that the cost of alcohol consumption in the UK may be higher than the cost of smoking, and this should be considered when using these results to prioritize public health issues in the UK. A separate but related issue is the uncertainty that accompanies these estimates of the cost of risk factors in the UK regarding the PAFs and the use of cause-specific NHS cost estimates. The WHO suggests that the uncertainty associated

with the PAFs for physical inactivity, smoking and alcohol consumption is  $\pm 10\%$ , whereas the uncertainty for the overweight/obesity PAF is about  $\pm 3\%$ .<sup>21</sup> The uncertainty of cause-specific NHS cost estimates provides a significant limitation of the estimates presented here.

A further limitation is that the range of diseases associated with each risk factor was taken to be those for which the WHO has calculated a PAF, although there are possibly other additional conditions associated with these risk factors (e.g. physical activity and depression.<sup>40</sup> The possible overlap between risk factors (such as overweight and obesity) was not addressed here but should be considered when calculating the total economic burden of these risk factors.

This study developed a well-established methodology<sup>10–14</sup> by updating cost data by disease category from 1992–93 to 2006–07. The resultant estimates of the cost of risk factors are directly comparable, based on recent financial estimates and can easily be repeated in future years. This updated comparison of costs should help policy-makers to prioritize resource allocation and make effective use of limited NHS resources.

#### Funding

Peter Scarborough, Prachi Bhatanagar, Kremlin K. Wickramasinghe and Steven Allender were supported by the British Heart Foundation, grant number PPC/Jul05/5b. Mike Rayner and Charlie Foster were supported by the British Heart Foundation, grant number PPC/JAN05/6biii. The funders had no role in study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the paper for publication.

#### References

- Yach D, Hawkes C, Gould CL *et al.* The global burden of chronic diseases: overcoming impediments to prevention and control. *JAMA* 2004;291(21):2616–22.
- 2 Allender S, Peto V, Scarborough P et al. Coronary heart disease statistics. London: British Heart Foundation, 2008.
- 3 Luengo-Fernandez R, Leal J, Gray A et al. Cost of cardiovascular diseases in the United Kingdom. *Heart* 2006;92(10):1384–89.
- 4 NICE. Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease at Population Level. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 2010.
- 5 WHO. World Health Report 2002. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003.
- 6 WHO. 2008–2013 action plan for global stratedy for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2008.

- 7 General Lifestyle Survey-Smoking and Drinking Among Adults, 2008. London: Office for National Statistics, 2008.
- 8 *Health Survey for England 2008—Physical Activity and Fitness.* Leeds: The Health and Social Care Information Centre, 2009.
- National Diet and Nutrition Survey. London: Food Standards Agency, 2010.
- 10 Allender S, Foster C, Scarborough P *et al.* The burden of physical activity-related ill health in the UK. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2007;**61(4)**:344–48.
- 11 Allender S, Balakrishnan R, Scarborough P *et al.* The burden of smoking-related ill health in the UK. *Tob Control* 2009;**18(4)**:262–67.
- 12 Allender S, Rayner M. The burden of overweight and obesity-related ill health in the UK. *Obes Rev* 2007;8(5):467–73.
- 13 Balakrishnan R, Allender S, Scarborough P et al. The burden of alcohol-related ill health in the United Kingdom. J Public Health (Oxf) 2009;31(3):366-73.
- 14 Rayner M, Scarborough P. The burden of food related ill health in the UK. J Epidemiol Community Health 2005;59(12):1054–57.
- 15 Department of Health. Burden of Disease. A Discussion Document. Wetherby: Department of Health, 1996.
- 16 Programme Budgeting Guidance 2006-07. London: Department of Health, 2008.
- 17 Scottish Government Health Department. Scottish Health Service Costs, Year Ended 31st March 2007. Edinburgh: Edinburgh Information Services Division, 2008.
- 18 Welsh Assembly Government. NHS Expenditure Programme Budgets: 2003-04 to 2006-07. Cardiff, 2008.
- 19 Department of Health, Social Service, Public Safety. Summary of HPSS Expenditure in Northern Ireland. Belfast: Northern Ireland Executive, 2008.
- 20 WHO. Disease and injury country estimates. World Health Organization, 2009.
- 21 WHO. Comparative quantification of health risks [CD ROM]. In Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A *et al.* (eds). Geneva, 2004.
- 22 Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A et al. Comparative Quantification of Health Risks. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2004.
- 23 NIPH. Determinants of the Burden of Disease in the European Union. Stockholm: National Institute of Public Health, 1997.
- 24 Mathers CD, Vos ET, Stevenson CE *et al.* The burden of disease and injury in Australia. *Bull World Health Organ* 2001;**79(11)**: 1076–84.
- 25 Health Survey for England 2006—Cardiovascular Disease and Risk Factors in Adults. Leeds: The Information Center, 2008.
- 26 General Household Survey 2006—Smoking and Drinking among Adults. London: Office for National Statistics, 2008.
- 27 Department of Health SS, Public Safety. Resource Accounts 2005–06. HC 1680: November 2006. London: Stationery Office, 2007.
- 28 Scarborough P, Peto V, Bhatnagar P et al. Stroke Statistics. London: British Heart Foundation and Stroke Association, 2009.
- 29 Commitee. HoCH: Obesity: Third Report of Session 2003–04/ Great Britain, Volume 1. London: Parliament, 2004.

- 30 Butland B, Jebb S, Kopelman P et al. Foresight. Tackling Obesities: Future Choices. London: Government Office for Sciences, 2007.
- 31 Office C. Food: An Analysis of the Issues. London: The Strategy Unit, 2008.
- 32 Unit DoCMaSS. Game Plan: A Strategy for Delivering Government's Sport and Physical Activity Objectives. London: Cabinet Office, 2002.
- 33 Varney SJ, Guest JF. The annual societal cost of alcohol misuse in Scotland. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2002;**20(13)**:891–907.
- 34 London RCoPo. ALCOHOL—can the NHS afford it?. A Report of a working Party of the Royal College of Physicians. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2001.
- 35 Office C. Alcohol Misuse: How Much Does it Cost?. London: Cabinet Office, 2001.
- 36 Parrott S, Godfrey C, Raw M et al. Guidance for commissioners on the cost effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions.

Health Educational Authority. *Thorax* 1998;**53(Suppl. 5 Pt 2)**: S1-38.

- 37 Buck D, Godfrey C, Parrott S. Cost Effectiveness of Smoking Cessation Interventions. London: Health Education Authority, 1997.
- 38 Murray CJ, Ezzati M, Lopez AD *et al.* Comparative quantification of health risks conceptual framework and methodological issues. *Popul Health Metr* 2003;**1(1)**:1.
- 39 Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet 1997;349(9063):1436–42.
- 40 Services USDoHaH. Effects of Physical Activity on Health and Disease: A Report from the Surgeon General. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1996.
- 41 Lawlor DA, Smith GD, Leon DA *et al.* Secular trends in mortality by stroke subtype in the 20th century: a retrospective analysis. *Lancet* 2002;360(9348):1818–23.